799

LOK SABHA

Thursday, February 15, 1968/Magha 26, 1889 (Saka)

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]
ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

STRIKE BY EMPLOYEES OF NEWSPAPERS
AND NEWS AGENCIES

+

*61. SHRI HIMATSINGKA:
SHRI VASUDEVAN NAIR:
SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES:
SHRI D. C. SHARMA:
SHRI ANANTRAO PATIL;
SHRI YAJNA DATT SHARMA:
SHRI JUGAL MONDAL:
SHRI MOLAHU PRASAD;
SHRI ARJUN SINGH
BHADORIA:
SHRI BAL RAJ MADHOK;
SHRI RAMAVTAR SHASTRI:
SHRI T. P. SHAH:

Will the Minister of LABOUR AND REHABILITATION be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the employees of the newspapers and news agencies made a mass demonstration in front of the office of the Indian and Eastern Newspaper Society on the 19th January, 1968 demanding implementation of the awards of the Journalist and Non-Journalist Wage Boards;
- (b) If so, what are the difficulties in the implementation of the said Wage Board awards; and

800

(c) the steps which are being taken to expedite implementation of these awards?

THE MINISTER OF LABOUR AND REHABILITATION (SHRI HATHI):
(a) Yes.

- (b) Employers complain that the scales fixed for some categories are too high and that some managements are unable to bear the additional financial burden imposed by the recommendations of the two Wage Boards.
- (c) The State Government/Union Territories have been requested to take suitable action under the Working Journalists (Conditions of Service) & Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1955 to secure implementation of the recommendations of the Wage Board for Working Journalists.

The recommendations of the Wage Board for Non-Journalists have no statutory force and their implementation is to be secured through persuasion and advice. State Governments have been requested to do the needful, and they are doing so.

SHRI HIMATSINGKA: May I know whether it is a fact that these employees went on an all-India strike on 24th January on the eve of the Russian Premier Mr. Kosygin's arrival, resulting in a total black-out of publicity machinery and newspapers on that day and if so, whether the Government's attention has been drawn to the necessity for immediate implementation of these awards and by what time Government expect the awards would be enforced?

SHRI HATHI: It is a fact that the employees went on strike because the

recommendations were not implemented. The results are obvious. When a wage board makes some recommendations, I think it is the duty of the employers to see that they are implemented. If there are practical difficulties, I have had talks with the employees also and they are prepared to discuss and negotiate.

SHRI HIMATSINGKA: May I know if some of the newspaper organisations have agreed to implement the award and if so, what percentage of the working journalists and non-journalists are covered by such establishments which have declared their intention to carry them out?

SHRI HATHI: Very few have agreed. I think the percentage will be insignificant. In Delhi 5 have implemented and 4 have agreed.

SHRI VASUDEVAN NAIR: When a wage board make recommendations after thorough enquiry and serious deliberations, they take into consideration the capacity of the management also to pay. If the management refuses to implement the award, is it possible for the Government only to go on sermonising to the management that they should implement it or is there any other method to see to it that these awards are implemented?

SHRI HATHI: So far as the working journalists are concerned, it is a statutory wage board and it is perfectly within the power of the State Governments to enforce it statutorily. I have informed the State Governments that there is no need for discussion so far as the wage board for journalists is concerned and the recommendations should be implemented according to the procedure laid down under the law. In Kerala also, I have spoken to the minister and he says he has taken action. About the non-journalists, it has to be discussed.

SHRI D. C. SHARMA: The wage board was a tripartite body consisting of representatives of newspaper employers, employees and Government. Whether they are journalists or non-journalists, I think the decision of the wage board should have a statutory force. May I know from the hon. Minister what machinery he has at the Centre to see to it that the recommendations of the wage boards are implemented vis-a-vis the journalists and the non-journalists staff and what penalty he can impose on a newspaper if the recommendations with regard to both these categories are not implemented?

SHRI HATHI: It is true that these wage boards are constituted of the representatives of the employers, the employees, independent members and the Chairman appointed by the Government. But all wage boards are not statutory and therefore the recommendations of the wage boards are not capable of being implemented statutorily. The question whether they should be statutory or not has been under the consideration of Government and the National Labour Commission also is looking into it. The Standing Committee has also appointed a sub-committee because the question is that if we make it statutory then the collective bargaining power of the union is reduced. These the points which are being considered. But so far as, as I said, the Journalists' Wage Board is concerned, it is statutory and there are provisions where the employers can also be prosecuted and recovery could be made according to the revenue dues also.

SHRI ANANTRAO PATIL: May I know from the hon. Minister whether it is a fact that small and medium sized language newspapers are not so much opposed to the implementation of the recommendations for journalists as the big newspapers, the monopolles and the groups are opposed? I know some of the members of the Indian Language Newspaper Organisation have already started implementation of the recommendations for journalists but for the implementation of the recommendations for the non-

journalists the small and medium sized language newspapers are facing many difficulties because the increase in the burden of expenditure is so heavy that many of the small units will have to close down their publications. In these circumstances, I would like to know from the Government whether they propose to give some relief to the small newspapers by way of advertisements and reducing their cost of newsprint?

SHRI HATHI: I am glad the hon. Member has raised this point. There are language newspapers which are very small newspapers and they thre some difficulties. I have talked with the representatives of the workers also. They are also prepared to discuss all such matters with us in respect of these small newspapers where they feel they would not be able to the implement recommendations. But so far as the bigger newspapers are concerned I think the recommendations should be implemented.

श्री यक्कदर प्रार्मा : क्या मंत्री महोदय बतायेंगे कि दिल्ली में किन पत्नों ने बेज बोर्ड के इस निर्णय को लागू नहीं किया है श्रीर जिन्होंने लागू नहीं किया है, सरकार ने उनके विरुद्ध इस रीकवरी के श्रपने प्रोसीजर को कहां तक ब्हाया है; श्रगर नहीं बढ़ाया है, तो क्यों नहीं बढ़ाया है?

SHRI HATHI: In Delhi five establishments have implemented, four have agreed to implement and they will be implementing the recommendations.

श्री कंबरलाल ग्प्त: नाम बताइये।

SHRI HATHI: Those who have implemented are: Shankers Weekly,

Thought, Weekly Radiance Review. Hindustan Samachar, and Savera. Those who are prepared to implement and who are discussing the matter with the workers are: Patriot, Link, Pratap and Veer Arjun. There are three papers who say that the journalists have not yet exercised their option whether they want the new scales or the old ones. They are: The Statesman, The Times of India and Navbharat Times. The remaining 20 have not implemented and show cause notices have already been issued against them.

श्री यज्ञवल शर्मा: मेरा ग्रगला प्रश्न या कि उनके विरुद्ध जो रिकवरी की बात यो वह सरकार कहां तक चला रही है ग्रीर नहीं तो क्यों?

SHRI HATHI: The Delhi Administration has taken action against this.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: What action?

MR. SPEAKER: Shri Mondal.

SHRI HATHI: The action is that they should receive a complaint . . .

MR SPEAKER: Order, order.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Sir, he is answering it and you have stopped him from doing that.

ग्रध्यक्ष भहोद्यः जवाय उन्होंने दे दिया।

श्री कंबरला न गुप्तः नहीं, अभी वह जवाव दे रहे के जब भाप ने रोक दिया । एक तो वह पहले ही जवाब नहीं देते, दूसरे श्राप भी उसमें मदद करेंगे तो कैंसे होगा ? वह उन्होंने पूछा है कि दिल्ली ऐडिमिनिस्ट्रेशन ने क्या कार्यवाही की, उस का जवाब वह दे रहें हैं सभी..... MR. SPEAKER: I have no objection if he has anything more to say. I thought, he had answered it.

श्री यंत्रवंति शर्माः शायदं भ्रापं मेरे वकील से नाराज हो गए हों, लेकिन नाराज ने हों श्रीर मेरे सवाल का जवाब देदें।

श्री हाथी: मैं किसी को नाराज नहीं करना चाहता हूं और मेरे पास जितनी इंनफार्में शंन हैं वह मैं देने के लिए तैयार हूं। मैंने लेबर किमश्नर दिल्ली ऐडिमिनिस्ट्रेशन के साथ परसों बातचीत की और जो मिस्टर सिंह इन्बार्ज हैं उन से भी बात की। उन्होंने कहा कि छः महोने का टाइम है जिसमें वह ग्राप्शन न्ता सकें। ग्रभी तान ने ग्राप्शन नहीं दिया है, उन के साथ बातचीत चल रही है।

SHRI J. K. MONDAL: I want to know how many writ petitions are pending in the Delhi High Court as well as in the Supreme Court against the award for journalists.

SHRI HATHI: I think, two: one in the High Court and one in the Supreme Court.

श्री मौलह प्रसाद: अध्यक्ष महोदय, प्रभी मंत्री महोदय ने बताया कि मंजूरी बोर्ड को संवैधानिक प्रधिकार नहीं हैं तो जब तक मंजूरी बोर्ड को संवैधानिक प्रधिकार नहीं हैं तो जब तक मंजूरी बोर्ड को संवैधानिक प्रधिकार नहीं दिये जायेंगे तब तक इनके फैसले मालिक लोग क्या मानेंगे? तो इत्तरीके से सरकार मामले को टरका रही है। प्रभी आज के समाचार पत्नों में छपा है कि डा॰ बी॰ बी॰ गिरि ने कहा है कि मालिकों और मजदूरों के मामलों में कार्यकाल निश्चित होना चाहिये, उसकी अचिध कि किस तारोख तक यह फैलला कर दिया जायगा, निश्चित किया जाना चाहिए नहीं सो मामला दस दस वर्ष तक

लंटका रहता है और जिन न्यांयांघीथों की सहानुभूति नहीं होती है वह कानूनी दृष्टिकोण से पांच पांच सात सात वर्ष लगाते हैं, तब तक तो मंजदूर लटके रह जायेंगे और मरं जायेंगे, इसलिए जो बोर्ड बने हैं उन को संवैद्यानिक प्रधिकार देने चाहिए, इसके दारे में मंत्री जी सफाई दें।

श्री हाथी: मैं ने सफाई पहले ही कर दी। जो बात माननीय सदस्य ने कहीं कि कीट में मामला जाता है और पांच छः साल मजदूर भाइयों को लटके रहना पड़ता है, संइसमें बिलकुल सहमत हूं कि जल्दी से जल्दी उसकी निपटाना चाहिये। दमरी बात जो कही कि बेज बोर्ड को स्टेट्यूटरी इम्पली-मेंटेशन की सत्ता होनी चहिये, जर्नेलिस्ट के लिए है, और बेज बोर्ड स के लिए नहीं है, बह होनी चाहिये या नहीं होनी चाहिये इस बात पर ही सोचने की जरूरत है। इसलिए में ने एक सब-कमेटी बनायी है क्योंकि क्लेक्टिव बारगेनिंग फिर नहीं रह जायगी सभी कानून से करेंगे तो।

श्री मोलहू प्रसाद: जो कोर्ट में मामले जाते हैं उसकी निश्चित श्रवधि हो कि इस तारीख तक फैसले हो जायें, इसके बारे में क्या कहना है?

श्री हाथी: यह मेरे हाथ मे नहीं है।

श्री बतराख जबोक : वेज बोर्ड के फैसले को कुछ पत्रकार एजेंसियों ने नहीं माना हैं यह मन्त्री महोदय मानते हैं श्रीर यह भी सब है कि अलग अलग इंडस्ट्रीज में अलग अलग बेज बोर्ड बनाये जा रहे हैं। उन के बारे में भी इसी प्रकार की शिकायतें हो रही हैं। पिछले दिनों यहीं दिल्ली में एक सेमिनार हुआ था जिसमे सुझाव दिया गया कि इस प्रकार के अलग अलग वेज बोर्ड रहने से अगड़े इदते हैं। एक ही नेशनसं

वेज बोडं होना चाहिये जिसके निर्णय कानून के द्वारा एन्फोसं किए जायें ताकि इस प्रकार के अलग अलग इंडस्ट्रीज के अगड़े पैदा न होते जायें। मैं जानना चाहता हूं सरकार का इस के बारे क्या मत है? और यह जो आपने कहा कि कुछ न्यूजपेपसं से जानना चाहते हैं कि उन के यहां के लोग नये वेज बोडें के निर्णय को मानेंग्रे या पुराने को इसका मतलब यह है कि कुछ पत्रों में पत्रकारों को इस वेज बोडें के निर्णय को बाडें के निर्णय को बाडें के निर्णय को बाडें के सिक्त यह है कि कुछ पत्रों में पत्रकारों को इस वेज बाडें के निर्णय से बेहतर वेज ज निल रहे हैं तो इस प्रकार के पत्रों को इस के एन्फोसंमेट से अलग क्यों नहीं देखा जाता?

श्री हाबी: जो सेमिनार हुआ या जिस में मैं भी शामिल था, एक राब उस में बी कि बेज बोर्ड स्टेट्यूटरी बनने चाहियें, एक राय यह भी है कि स्टेट्यूटरी नहीं बनने चाहियें इसलिए कि स्टेट्यूटरी नहीं बनने चाहियें इसलिए कि स्टेट्यूटरी बनता है तो क्लेक्टिव बारग्रेनिंग पावर जो वक्तं की होती है वह खत्म होती है। तो उसके लिए हमने, स्टैंडिंग लेबर कमेटी ने, एक कमेटी नियुक्त की है। लेबर कमिशनर ने भी एक कमेटी बनायी है। स्टैंडिंग लेबर कमेटी की रिपोर्ट था जायगी उस के बाद उसका फैसला होगा।

दूसरी बात जो कही कि माप्यान नहीं दिया है, उनका मतलब वही है कि माज जो मिल रहा है वह शायद बेज बोर्ड ने जो दिया है उससे ज्यादा उनको मिल रहा है। इसलिए माप्यान शायद नहीं दिया है। लेकिन दो तीन कैटेगरीज ऐसी हैं जिसमें कम मिलता है भौर कुछ कैटेगरीज ऐसी हैं जिसमें ज्यादा मिलता है।

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI: In view of the fact that the wage board is a statutory one and when the matter has been taken to the court and no stay order has been taken, it is still binding, and will the Government immediately, in view of the fact that the employers are not implementing it, make a criminal offence so that the employers may be compelled to pay? After all, it is a simple matter.

SHRI HATHI: It is a simple matter. Prosecution is provided for and the notices . . .

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI: They are only for recovery; it is not a criminal offence.

SHRI HATHI: It is also provided in the Act that they can be instituted and the notices are also there . . .

SHRI P. RAMAMURTI: The Act provides that they should be fined. Nobody bothers about the fine. Will you make it a criminal offence whereby the employers are compulsorily sent to jail?

SHRI HATHI: That is a matter for the amendment of the Act.

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: The non-implementation of the award has created a sort of suspicion in the minds of the workers. May I know whether it is a fact that the owners of the newspapers have put a pressure and terrorised the Government not to implement the award and, if so, will the Government convene a meeting of all the interested parties to see that the award is implemented at once?

SHRI HATHI: I wonder how the hon. Member has got the impression that the Government is terrorised by the newspaper employers.

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: The newspaper owners.

SHRI HATHI: I would request my friend to meet the representatives of the workers and find out whether the Government is terrorised or the Government is trying to help them.

अर्थ एंस० एंस० जीकी : घष्ट्यक्ष महोदय, मैं मंत्री महोदय से यह जानना चाहूंगा कि जैसा कि घष्मी पाटिल साहव ने सक्षाव दिया और घापने कहा कि जो छोटे पेपर्स होते हैं वह ग्रापस में समझौता कर लें क्योंकि स्टेटयटरी वेज बोर्ड ने जो डेसीशन दिया है उस को वह पूरा नहीं कर सकते (व्यवधान).... तो ग्रगर वह अपना समझौता कर लें, उसमें कई ऐसे भी हों सकते हैं जो उसको पूरा नहीं कर सकते अगर वह ऐसा समझौता करेंगे तो हकमत की तरफ से वह समझौता करने वाले मालिक और उनके मजदर में जो समझौता होगा उसके म्रलावा गवर्नमेंट का ग्रार्डर तो यह दूसरा है तो उस-हालत में कोई एक मजदूर ग्रगर कोर्ट में जायगा तो उसके लिए ग्राप क्या प्रोटेक्शन दे सकते हैं? वह फिर सामदायिक सौदे वाली वात ग्रा जाती है।

श्री हायो : जहां तक वर्किंग जर्नलिस्ट की बात है वहां पर तो पाटिल साहब ने कहा कि पेपर्स तैयार हैं लेकिन जहां नान-जर्नेलिस्ट की बात कही वहां कई पेपर्स ऐसे हैं कि जो इसका वर्डेन सहन नहीं कर सके श्रीर उनके साथ समझौता करने की बात है। तो 17 तारीख को मैंने यहीं मीटिंग बुलाई है एम्पलायसं भौर वर्कसं को नान-जर्नलिस्ट के लिए भौर वहां वह सारी बातें तय करेंगे।

SHRI K. M. KOUSHIK: In view of the fact that the wage board recommendations are not statutorily enforceable and there is no way for the Government to enforce them I want to know from the hon. Minister as to why they should indulge in constituting such committees if they are not able to enforce their recommendations.

SHRI HATHI: It is not that the recommendations are not implemented. Up-till now, nearly 35 lakhs of workers have been benefited by such an agreement. It is of late only that this sort of difference has arisen in implementation and non-implementation and that is why we are considering whether the present system continue or some change should be made.

थाद्वाः नाः तिबारो : ग्रभी मंत्री महोदय ने कहा कि कुछ ऐसे न्यजपेपर्स हैं, जो वेज-बोर्ड के एवार्ड से श्रधिक पैसा देते हैं, या वेज बोर्ड एवार्ड लाग होने के बाद उनका पैसा कम हो जायगा, या उतना ही मिलेगा, क्या कोई ऐसा बन्धन है ?

श्री हाबी : कम नहीं होगा : जर्नलस्ट को भ्राप्शन दी गई है कि 6 महीने के भ्रन्दर वह बता दें कि वे पुराना बेतन चाल रखना चाहते हैं या नई स्कीम से रखना चाहते

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: The newspaper owners are the owners of various industries in the country-jute, textile, sugar, coal, mine, etc. (Interruptions) I am not talking of the smaller ones; I am talking of the bigger ones. They are not implementing the recommendations of the various Wage Boards. We were assured in this House by the ex-Labour Minister, Mr. Nanda, who also believed in persuasion and change of heart, that if they failed and the hearts did not change, he would bring some sort of a legislation by which this becomes a statutory and a compulsory thing. I would like to know from the hon. Minister whether after the 17th Meeting or in the 17th Meeting, he is definitely going to give an ultimatum giving a particular date, i.e., if it is not implemented after that date, they will be prosecuted in a court of law.

SHRI HATHI: As I said, the working journalists recommendation is a statutory one and it has to be implemented; whether they agree or not, it will be done. I have instructed all the State Governments that it is a statutory one and it should be done. There is no question of giving any ultimatum at all. It is a law and it can be done.

So far as the non-journalists are concerned, it is not statutory. Whether it should be made statutory or not is a matter which, as I have said is already being discussed.