CHAITRA 5, 1912 (SAKA)

implementation of 20-point Programme in the Seventh Five Year Plan;

(b) the total amount sanctioned during the last three years in this regard;

(c) the amount utilised by the Punjab Government during the above period; and

(d) the success achieved in implementation of 20-point programme in Punjab during the above period?

THE PRIME MINISTER (SHRI VISH-WANATH PRATAP SINGH): (a) The amount for implementation of 20-point Programme is derived from different heads of Annual Plans of the States and Central Ministries, and as such no separate allocations were made for the 20-point programme in the Seventh Five Year Plan in respect of different States including Punjab.

(b) The total amount provided to Punjab in this regard in the State Plan during the three years ending 1989-90 was Rs. 623.42 crores.

(c) The amount utilised by the State Government during the three years stands at Rs. 583.58 crores. This includes provisional figures of utilisation for 1989-90.

(d) In the implementation of certain

select items of the programme, the State of Punjab secured first position in 1987-88 and eleventh position in 1988-89 amongst the various states. The position in respect of 1989-90 is not known at this stage.

Construction work of irrigation Schemes of Madhya Pradesh

2132 SHRI PYARELAL KHANDEL-WAL: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the names of irrigation schemes pertaining to Rajgarh, Guna and Vidisha Districts of Madhya Pradesh, whose construction work has been suspended due to certain provisions of the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980; and

(b) the time by which Government are likely to accord clearance to these schemes?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND FOR-ESTS AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PROGRAMME IMPLEMEN-TATION (SHRIMATI MANEKA GANDHI): (a) As per attached statement

(b) No time frame can be fixed. Disposal will depend on submission of complete information by the state Government.

315	319 Written Answers			MARCH 26	1990	Written .	Answers 320
	Present status/remarks	4	Detailed rehabilitation plan sought from state Gov- ernment on 12.12.1989. Reply of State Government is still awaited. In the meanwhile, on 13.3.1990 Regional Chief Conservator of Forests, Bhopal has been asked to carry out site inspection.	The proposal stands rejected due to non-receipt of essential details sought from State Government on 24.5.1985.	The proposal stands rejected due to non-receipt of essential details sought from State Government on 28.3.1985.	The proposal stands rejected due to non-receipt of essential details sought from State Government on 18.12.1989.	Essential details sought from Uttar Pradesh Govern- ment on 19.3.1990. They reply of the State Govern- ment is awaited.
STATEMENT	District	Э	Rajgarh	Rajgarh	Rajgarh	Guna	Guna
	Name of Proposal	2	Kelo Irrigation Project.	Lower Sirpani Scheme.	Mani Canal Project.	Bandia Nala Irrigation Project.	Raighat Project.
	S.No.	1	~ `	N	ઌં	4	Ś

321	Written	Answers	CHAITRA	5, 1912 (<i>SAKA</i>)	Written Answers	32
Present status/remarks	4	Regional Chief Conservator of Forests, Bhopal has been requested on 31.1.1990 to carry out site in- spection.	The proposal stands rejected due to non-receipt of essential details sought from State Government of Madhya Pradesh on 16.6.1986.	The proposal stands rejected due to non-receipt of essential details sought from State Government of Madhya Pradesh on 17.11.1989.		
District	3	Guna	Guna	Guna		
Name of Proposal	2	Gobindpura Tank	Sukhanalla Tank Project.	Chadonbht Tank Project		
S.No.	-	Ċ	7.	æ		

.

¢