LOK SABHA DEBATES

(First Session)



(Vol. II contains Nos. 1 to 10)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

Price: Rs. 2. 00

CONTENTS

No. 14-Wednesday, April, 5, 1967/Chaitra 15, 1889 (Saka)	Columns
Oral Answers to Questions—	Colemns
*Starred Questions Nos. 259 to 262	2779—2813
Short Notice Question No. 6	2813—16
Written Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 263 to 278, 278A, 279 to 282, 282A, 283 to 288 and 288A	2816—36
Unstarred Questions Nos. 510 to 538, 540 to 587, 589 to 620, 620A, 620B, 620C, and 620D	28362907
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance—	
India's Participation in Secret Seminar on Asian Defence held in New Delhi recently with several NATO and SEATO Mem- ber States	2907—14
Motion of Privilege rc. Mrs. Svetlana Allelueva Affair	2914—3001
	2916, 2920—
2	29, 2985—93
Shri M. R. Masani	2918,
	2943—45
Shri Bal Raj Madhok	2945—46
Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterji	2946— 5 0
Shri Dattatraya Kunte	2950—51
Shri K. Narayana Rao	2951—52
Shri Nath Pai	2952—57
Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha	2957—63
Shri A. B. Vajpayee	2963—65
Shri H. N. Mukerjee	2965—67
Shri Madhu Limaye	2967 69
Shri Sheo Narain	2969—70
Shri Umanath	2970—72
Shri Dinesh Singh	2972—73
Shri M. C. Chagla	2973—85
Papers Laid on the Table	3001 - 04
Motion of Thanks on the President's Address	3004 25
Shrimati Indira Gandhi	3004—21
Representation of the People (Amendment) Bill	3026—3040
Motion to Consider	3026—
Shri Govinda Menon	3026

^{*}The sign + marked above the name of a Member indicates that the question was actually asked on the floor of the House by that Member.

^{106 (}Ai) LS—1.

2779

LOK SABHA

Wednesday, April 5, 1967/Chaitra 15, 1889 (Saka)

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock.

[Mr. SPEAKER in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS Conversion of the Official Residence of the Late Shri Jawaharlai Nehru at

New Delhi into a Museum

*259. Shri George Fernandes: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) the amount so far spent on converting the former official residence of the late Prime Minister, Shri Jawaher Lal Nehru at New Delhi into a Museum;
- (b) whether Government have any proposal to abandon the idea of converting the late Prime Minister's residence into a Museum in view of the high cost involved;
- (c) whether any suggestion was made from any quarter to have a similar museum in respect of another late Prime Minister, Shri Lai Bahadur Shastri; and
 - (d) if so, the decision taken thereon?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Prof. Sher Singh):

(a) About Rs. 55,000 so far.

- (b) and (c). There are no such proposals at present.
 - (d) Does not arise.

वी वार्ध करनेन्द्रिक: प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, कई दिनों से शक्कवारों में यह खबरें आई हैं कि हिन्दुस्तान की बर्तमान प्रधान मंत्री सीमती इन्विरा नेहरू बांबी फिर उसी मकान में बाना बाहती हैं, जिसको धाज नेहरू स्थारक म्यूजियस बनाया गया है और जो म्यूजियम बहां पर इस बक्त रखा गया है उसको बहां से हटाने का जी कुछ विचार बल रहा है,—क्या मंत्री साहब इसका खुलासा करेंगे?

भी० सेंर सिंह: ये सब कवास-बाराइयां हैं। अखवारों में कवास-बाराइयां चलती ही रहती हैं।

भी आर्थ फरनेन्डिय: येरा दूसरा प्रश्न यह है कि क्या मंत्री साहब इस बात की सफ़ाई करेगे कि तीन मूर्ति के मकान में जो नेहक स्मारक म्यूजियम करके रखा गया है उस म्यूजियम को वहां से नहीं हटाया जायगा और वहां पर मारत का कोई नया प्रधान मंत्री फिर रहने के लिये नहीं जायगा?

प्रो॰ सेर सिंह प्रविष्य के बारे में घाज नहीं कहा जा सकता, भविष्य की बात तो भविष्य में सामने घायेगी।

श्री तिकेश्वर प्रचाव . क्या सरकार ने इस बात पर विचार किया है कि विस्ली में नेहरू विश्वविद्यालय नाम से एक विश्वविद्यालय खोला गया है— इस दृष्टि से यह उषित होगा कि श्री जवाहर लाल नेहरू के सम्बन्ध में जितनी श्रीचें तीन मूर्ति में हैं, उनको वहां से इस विश्वविद्यालय में स्थानान्तरित कर दिया जाय, जिससे देश तथा विदेश से शोध करने धानेवालों को सुविधा हो सके?

त्रो॰ क्षेर सिंह . यह युनीवसिटी भी दिल्ली में है भीर यह म्यूजियन भी दिल्ली में है, इसलिये कोच कार्य करनेवालो के लिये यदि आवश्यक होगा, तो इस सुझाव पर भी विचार किया जा सकता है। लेकिन अभी इस पर कोई विचार नहीं कियाँ गया है।

2782

Shri P. K. Deo: In view of the acute shortage of accompodation in the capital, may I know how long this luxury will continue of leaving these big bungalows vacant and not arriving at a We know that on the decision? bungalow occupied by the late Lal Bahadur Shastrl nearly Rs. 10 lakhs were spent on remodelling and it is still lying vacant.

Prof. Sher Singh: It is not lying vacant. The museum and the library are housed in the Teen Murti Marg building.

Shri P. K. Deo: I am asking regarding the official residence at 10 Janpath of the late lamented Lal Bahadur Shastri.

But this question is Mr. Speaker: about the Teen Murti Marg house.

Shri P. K. Deo: My question comes under part (c).

Prof. Sher Singh: In reply to part (c) of the main question, I have replied that there is no such proposal.

Shri P. K. Deo: It is a very intelligent supplementary and if the hon. Minister cannot answer such a question, we feel helpless.

Shrimati Lakshmikantamma: there any proposal to have additional constructions at the backyard of the Teen Murti Marg house so that it can be used both for the Prime Minister's residence as well as for the museum?

Prof. Sher Singh: There is a proposal for constructing a building for the library because the present building is not sufficient for the national library which is proposed to be set Therefore, Rs. 18 lakhs have been provided for constructing a building for the library in the Teen Murti premises

Shri Virendrakumar Shah: Will the Government not consider it advisable to set a tradition that the Prime Minister's official residence once acquired should not be changed every time and from that point of view the Prime Minister should move into Teen Murti Marg?

Pref. Sher Singh: It is a good suggestion and will be kept in view.

भी शिष नारायण : इस तीन मृति में काफी स्थान है। मैं सरकार से यह जानना चाहता हं कि यह जो म्युजियम है यह नेहरू जी के नाम पर कायम किया गया है, क्यों न उसको एक्सटेप्ड कर के युनीवसिटी का हिस्सा बना दिया जाय । जबाहरलाल नेहरू यनिवसिटी जो बन रही है, उसमें इसको भी एक्सदेण्ड कर दिया जाय, ताकि रिसर्च करने वालों को घासानी हो जाय ?

मो॰ चर सिंह : इस बात पर विचार किया जा सकता है।

Shri G. Viswanathan: There newspaper reports that the Prime Minister is faced with the house problem. Is there any proposal for the Prime Minister to move into the Teen Murti House?

Prof. Sher Singh: There is no such problem before the Prime Minister. It is not yet decided whether she will move into that house or not

श्री हक्तम चन्द क्यादय : क्या प्राप उनको वहां जाने की सलाह देंगे

Shri Indrajit Gapta: On a point of order, Sir. The hon. Member's question was as to whether there is a proposal for her to shift to that house. The Minister says that no decision has yet been taken. The question was as to whether there is any such proposal?

Prof. Sher Singh: I had already replied that there is no such proposal.

श्री कंबर लाल क्या : क्या माननीय मंत्री इस प्रपोजल पर विचार करेंगे कि प्रधान मंत्री के लिये इतना बड़ा मकान नहीं चाहिये। जैसा गांधी जी ने कहा था-उनके लिये एक छोटा मकान होना चाहिए ।

घो॰ शर सिंह : ऐसी कोई प्रपोजल नहीं धाई है। यह घापकी सजेश्वन है। बी कंवर काल जुना: क्या इत पर विचार करेंगे । मैं घपने प्रश्न को फिर से, घड्यक महोवय, स्पष्ट कर दू। क्या सरकार इस पर विचार करेगी कि प्रधान मंत्री का जो निवास-स्थान है, वह बहुत बड़ा नहीं होना चाहिये, जैसा गांधी जो ने कहा था---छोटा होना चाहिये।

प्रो॰ सेर सिंह इस समय प्रधान मनी बहुत छोटे मकान मे रह रही हैं, वह बडा मकान नहीं है ।

भी भन्नु तिसये . इस समय की बात नहीं है । ग्रागे की बात बताइये ।

श्री हुकम चन्द कखदाय : उन्होंने कितने बडे मकान को छोटा बना दिया है .

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I will not allow this shouting. Mr. Kachhavaiys, you cannot get up like this and shout. You can ask a question but not shout like this.

श्री हुकम चन्द कळ्याय : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मैने कहा था कि उन्होंने कितने बड़े मकान को छोटा बना दिया।

Mr. Speaker: I do not think the hon Minister need reply to this.

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterji: Will the hon. Minister enlighten us whether, sometime ago, when Pandit Nehru was alive, he was thinking of having the Prime Minister's house constructed in the compound of the Teen Murti House? That has not been answered by the Minister.

The Minister of Education (Dr. Triguna Sen): How can the Ministry of Education answer thus?

ची ,राजसेचक बावच : अध्यक महोदय, अभी श्री जार्ज फरनेन्डिय के प्रका का उत्तर वेते हुए मली महोदय ने कहा कि ये कयास-आराइया हैं । मैं चाहूंगा कि इन कयास-आराइयों का अन्त हो जाय । ये खबरे छपती रहती हैं कि प्रधान मंत्री तीन मूर्ति या कहीं और रहना चाहती हैं । मैं चाहुता हूं कि भाप निश्चित रूप से कहें कि प्रधान मंत्री जहां रह रही हैं, वही रहेंगी भीर कही नहीं जार्येगी।

An Hon. Member: On a point of order, Sir . . . (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Next question.

की मधु लिसये . प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, इसके बारे में सफाई होनी चाहिये । दिल्ली सहर को तो समाधियों और प्रधान मित्रयों के निवास स्थानों में बाट दिया जा रहा है । कुछ घर प्रधान मित्रयों के निवास स्थान बन गये हैं और कुछ जगहों पर समाधिया बन गई हैं, जैसे मोहनजोदारों है, वैसा ह दिल्ली बन रहा है । मैं चाहता हू कि कोई कानून बना कर एक झसग जगह उनके लिये निष्यत की जाय ।

Guiding Principles for Governors to form Government

*260. Shri Kanwar Lai Gupta; Shri A. Sreedharan; Shri P. K. Deo; Shri G. C. Naik; Shri K. P. Singh Deo; Shri Dipa;

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have laid down any principles for the guidance of the Governors for inviting the leaders of parties to form Government in the States; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukia): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

बाँ संबर साल गुप्त : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, स्या मंत्री महोदय बतायेंगे कि जब किसी प्रदेश की स्थिति साफ नहीं होती है और दो पार्टियों का बराबर बराबर मत होता है, उस समय कन्यमूजन न हो, इस बुग्ब से कोई निश्चित प्रोसीजर सब करने पर सरकार गीरकरेगी और क्या सरकार ने इस पर विचार किया है ? यदि किया है, तो वह क्या है ?

बी विकायरण मुक्त : हमारे राज्यों के जो गर्नार होते हैं, वे काफ़ी जिम्मेदार व्यक्ति होते हैं . . . (व्यक्वान) इसिलये यब तक कोई धावस्यकता महसूस नहीं हुई कि उन्हें किसी तरह का कोई बाइ-रेक्शन या निर्देश दिया जाय । यदि ऐसी कोई धावस्यकता हुई तो उस पर धवस्य विचार किया जायगा ।

बी संबर लाल गुप्त: क्या मंत्री महोवय कतार्थेंगे कि राजस्थान के गवर्नर महोवय ने केन्द्रीय सरकार से वहां पर मिनिस्ट्री फौरमेशन के बारे में क्या क्या परामर्श किये, भीर केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसके क्या क्या जवाब दिये?

भी विश्वाचरण शुक्त : मंत्रिमंडल बनाने के सम्बन्ध में उन्होंने केन्द्रीय सरकार से कोई परामशं नहीं किया ।

(Shri A. Sreedharan asked a question in Malayalam).

Mr. Speaker: He may please sit down.

Mr. P. K. Deo.

बी जब लिजवे : मंत्री महोदय की सुविधा के लिये उसका तर्जुमा उन्हें दे दिया गया है । मंत्री महोदय जवाब दें ।

Mr. Speaker: It must also be given to the Speaker. The Speaker must also understand what is being put and what is being answered.

श्री जार्ज फरनेन्द्रीय : मैं ग्रापको उसका धनुवाद करके बतला दुंगा ।

शी अब क्लिबये: यह नेताओं की बैठक में तब पाया है कि हर एक को अपनी मात्रकाचा में बोलने का ब्रविकार है।

Mr. Speaker: No, no. Itwas never decided. I was also there.

श्री सन्य सिक्ये : श्रव चाप सन् हो जार्वेगे तो मैं बोल नहीं पाठंगा ।

Mr. Speaker: No, no.

श्री सण् कियो : इस प्रश्न का जवाब प्राना चाहिए । मेरे साथी उसका प्रनुवाध करके प्रभी बताने को तैयार है और मंबी को उसका पंत्रेजी प्रनुवाद सिख कर भी दे दिया गया है प्रीर वह जवाब दे सकते हैं।

भी कार्च करनेग्डीच : मैं हिन्दी में धनुवाद करके बतलाऊंगा कि उनका प्रका क्या है ?

Mr. Speaker: I have called Mr. P. K. Deo.

Shri S. Kandappan: There is a precedent. (Interruptions)

Some hon Members 70se-

Mr. Speaker: All the hon, members may please sit down. Only one can stand at a time, not so many.

Shri Nath Pai: I rise on a point of order.

Mr. Speaker: They are also raising it.

Shri Nath Pai: I do not hear anybody saying that. मैं ने किसी को भी व्यवस्था का प्रश्न उठाते नहीं सुना । व्यवस्था का प्रश्न तो मैं उठा रहा हं

Mr. Speaker: Am I not to understand the question?

श्री मणु लिख्ये: उन को लिख कर दिया है वह जवाद दें।

भी जार्ज फरनेन्डीज: मैं उसका अनुवाद करके प्रभी सदन को बतला वूंगा (व्यवसान)

Mr. Speaker: What does he want to say?

Shri S. Kandappan; There is a precedent in this matter. When a question was put by a member of our Group in Tamil, the then hon, Speaker directed that the question might be translated into English and then he asked the Minister to reply to it. A similar procedure may be adopted now.

Mr. Speaker: All right then. Who will translate it?

Shri Nath Pal: May I on this issue, point out this? The rules may not be very clear. There is a ruling. There is a very well established precedent I recall an incident when a Communist Member from West Bengal spoke in Bengali and Mrs. Renu Chakravartty was allowed to translate it, and the whole speech was allowed to go on So, there is a very well like this established procedure

थी जार्ज फरनेन्डीज में उन माननीय सदस्य के मलयालम मे पूछे गये प्रश्न का हिन्दी में धन्वाद करके बता रहा ह । उनका प्रकृत यह या कि राज्यों के राज्यपाली की धोर से चलग चलग समय पर चलग चलग किस्म की नीतियों को बनाने का काम होता है, उदाहरणार्थ, केरल मे एक बार ऐसा हथा था कि विधान सभा को बर्खास्त करने के बाद भी मजीमहल को जारी रखा धौर दूसरी बार विधान सभा

Shri K. N. Tiwary: On a point of order.

Mr. Speaker: Let the hon Member finish it. Then I will call him

बी बार्क कर नेन्डीब : में उनके उस प्रश्न का प्रमुवाद कर रहा हूं और मुझे फिर कहना पड़ेगा कि इस तरह से टोक कर लोग सदन का बक्त खराब कर रहे हैं।

दूसरी बार विधान सभा को न बुलाते हुए उसको बर्जास्त करने का काम केरल के यबनेर साहब ने किया तो जब धलग धलग बस्त सस्य सस्य तरीके से गवर्गरों का वर्ताव होता है तो क्या सरकार इस बात पर विचार करके राज्यपालों के बास्ते एक धाचार संहिता बनाने का काम करेगी ?

Oral Answers

Mr. Speaker: Mr. K. N. Tiwary. What is his point of order?

बी ६० मा० तिवारी : प्रभी यह जो श्री नाथ पाई ने एक रूलिंग और बैल इस्टै-क्लिश्ड प्रोसीडेंट के बारे में कहा तो वह स्पीच के बारे से बा लेकिन सगर क्वैश्वंस भी हिन्दी भीर धग्रेजी मे न होकर रीजनल भाषाओं मे होने लगें भीर उनका टान्स्लेशन होने लगे तो यह कोई पहले का प्रोसीज्योर नहीं है

भी मध सिमये : घष्ट्रपक्ष महोदय, पहले वह हम धापको दे देंगे जब तक कि धनुवाद का इन्तजाम नही होता । पूर्व ही झापको उसका धनुवाद दे दिया जायगा ।

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Shri Madhu Limaye must allow others also to speak. He cannot monopolise the whole thing. Let some other Members also have their say on this

Shrimati Lakshmikantamma: I submit

Mr. Speaker: When one hon Member is on his legs, why should the lady Member get up and shout? I am not able to understand this

थी क॰ गा॰ तिवारी . दूसरी बात यह हैं कि सवालों के बक्त मैं यह जानना चाहुगा भीर धापकी कुलिंग इस बात पर चाहंगा कि सवाल जो होते हैं उनसे इनफीरमेशन लेनी होती है तो उसके पहले कुछ मुमिका दी जायगी भीर तब सबाल पूछा जायगा या सिफं सीधे से सवाल पूछा जायगा?

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: I wanted only to make a submission. I wanted only to remind the House of one thing. The Question Hour is terribly important, as far as the non-official Members are concerned, and I would not like any contraction of Question Hour if it can be helped.

2789

In regard to the matter of the use of languages, you, Sir, are, according to reports which I have seen in the papers, adopting certain mechanisms which I hope will come into operation as soon as ever that is possible. But in the meantime, if every day, during Question Hour, this kind of delav takes place on account of translations, authorised or not, I do not know, and the Question Hour is impinged upon, then a lot of time which is extremely useful to the House would be wasted. Therefore, I would your direction in this matter and I would request you not to entertain this kind of thing.

Mr. Speaker: I wanted to say exactly what Shri H. N. Mukerjee had said. If every supplementary question is to be asked in a regional language and then it is going to be translated and retranslated and so on, I do not know what will happen. If hon. Members so desire, let there be only one question taken up during the whole of the Question Hour; it makes absolutely no difference to the Speaker at least. have been told that a copy of the translation had been given to the hon. Minister. Could they not send one to me also by way of courtesy?

श्री अब लिलवे : प्रायन्ता से दे दिया करेंग ।

Mr. Speaker: I am sorry that Shri Madhu Limaye does not allow anybody in this House to speak at all. He cannot go on like this. He does not allow anybody including the Speaker to speak and he just monopolises the whole time. He cannot go on speaking like this. Now, he is the Leader of a party also.

की वर्ष सिवचे : ग्राप इस तरह क्यों बोल रहे हैं ? मैं घापकी बात मान रहा हूं। इस पर बहस की क्या गुंजाइश है ? प्राप को हे देंगे ।

Mr. Speaker: If hon. Members want the translation to be done, I am game for it and I have absolutely no objection, but at that rate we could have only one question taken up during the whole of the question hour: I have Even now, we no objection. covering only four or five questions a day. If that is the desire of hon. Members, I have no objection.

The other day, when we had discussed this matter with the leaders of all parties, that was only regarding speeches; for, we have got a tape-record of the speeches, and that recording will be translated and communicated to the Members some time later; there is no delay involved in that so far as the proceedings here or the business here is concerned. But here, the taperecording is not going to help much, because if the Minister has to reply to a question put in Malyalam Telugu and so on, a translation necessary immediately: if that is going to happen, then there will be Question Hour at all, parctically speaking.

I entirely agree with Shri H. N. Mukerjee in regard to this matter. If any Member wants to put a question in a language other than Hindi or English, may I suggest that he must send a copy of the translation to the Speaker also so that I may know whether it is a question which can be allowed or not? It cannot simply be contract between the Treasury Benches and the Opposition Benches without the speaker coming into the picture at all. That is not proper and even if both sides agree, I would not agree to it. A copy of the translation must be sent to the Speaker also.

In regard to the particular supplementary question put by the hon. Member, if the hon. Minister wants, he can answer it.

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): I would like to intervene to answer this question. The point is that it is not really speaking an academic question of providing any guiding code of conduct for the Governors. Sometimes, it does happen that as a result of the elections, no party with an absolute majority emer-

ges, and this certainly has happened on some occasions in the past, more especially, as a result of these general elections. So, the question does arise what the guiding principles for the Governors should be. But the constitutional position in this matter is also a thing to be particularly taken note of. The Governor does not function as an agent either of the Government of India or of the President. He Head of the functions BS the State, and, therefore, it is a rather very ticklish issue whether any directive can be given by the Government of India or by the President of India also, but certainly it is a case for consideration whether certain principles could be evolved which may help the Governor and guide him on such occasions. If the House expresses that view we shall consider it; we are thinking on these lines because this suggestion was thrown up in the course of certain debates; but I would certainly like to discuss it with some of the Leaders of the Opposition groups and also perhaps consult some constitutional experts not interested in politics, in order to have some sort of advice from them and then evolve some principles on which some sort of a guide-line could be worked out.

Oral Answers

Shri P. K. Deo: In view of the bitter experience of different Governors toeing different lines in inviting the leaders of the elected groups to form governments and in view of the fact that in interpreting the status of Independents they are having different standards, as happened in Rajasthan and UP, and in view more particularly of Governors taking active interest in the election of the Prime Minister, as was done by Shri A. P. Jain, in view of all these past experiences, is it not proper that at least this House should get an assurance from the Treasury Benches that Congressmen, particularly those defeated at the polls would not be appointed as Governors?

Shri Y. B. Chavan; If it is meant to cast any reflections on any Governor who has served before, I am not prepared to accept any of the reflections.

I thought we were discussing the question in a general form without without having any Governor in view. I cannot give any assurance of the type the hon. Member wants.

Shri G. C. Naik: Are there any consultations with non-Congress Chief Ministers regarding the appointment of Governors?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: Whenever any Governor is appointed any State, the Chief Minister is definitely consulted

Shri A. Dlpa: May I know why the Chief Minister of a State where a non-Congress Government has been formed is not consulted regarding the appointment of the Governor?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukia: I have already answered the question.

Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah: May I know whether the practice of inviting the leader of the largest single group or party which was adopted since 1952 when Shri C. Rajagopalachari who headed the Congress Party in Madras was, asked to form the Government in Madras though his party was not in a majority is being followed now?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: have already explained all these matters it depends on the discretion and judgment of the Governor and there is no set convention as such in this matter so far.

Shrimati Lakshmikantamma: May I know whether Governors will keep in view the stability of the Government that would be formed before they invite the leaders because of the constant crossing of floors?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: I agree with that view.

Shri Nath Pai: We admire the attitude taken by the Home Minister that m future he would like to consult political constitutional experts and some leaders of Opposition. Perhaps you will agree that the difficulty . 2703

about the functioning of the Governors has arisen not because the Constitution is vague but because the office of Governor was degraded by the Centre by making it a matter of patronage and largesse. In view of this, may I know whether this invidious practice will be discontinued and whether my constructive suggestion that appointments of Governors should be subject to ratification by Parliament will receive his sympathetic consideration?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I do not know whether it is constructive, but certainly it is an impractical suggestion.

Shri Nath Pai: He means 'inconvenient' when he says 'impractical'.

ghri Y. B. Chavan: No, no.

Shri K. Lakkappa: Is it not a fact that the functions and powers of the Governors of States constitute a political graveyard? May I know why the Central Government intervenes at all times with respect to the appointment of Ministera formation of Governments and all that?

Shri Vidya Charan Shnkla: We do not accept these insinuations.

श्री विश्रति विश्र : देश का संविधान कोई ऐडस्ट फैंचाइज के बेसिस पर नहीं बना या । भारत का संविधान कुछ लोगो ने जल्दी जल्दी मिल कर बनाया और वैस्टेड इत्टेरेस्ट के लोगों ने मिल कर बनाया । मै जानना चाहना हं कि झाज की परिस्थिति मे क्या गृह मंत्री गवनेरों की बहाली भीर राष्ट्र-पति की बहाली के सम्बन्ध में कोई ऐसी वात सोच रहे हैं कि झागे चल कर के हिन्द्स्तान के संविधान को स्टेट्स और सेन्टर मे जलाने में ज्यादा धासानी हो?

बी विजायरण शक्त : प्रभी ऐसी कोई द्माबश्यकता नहीं माल्म हुई।

Shri S. M. Banerjee: In reply to a supplementary of an hon. Member, Mr. Shukla said that where there are non-

Congress Governments, the Ministers will be consulted in the matter of appointment of Governors. I would like to know from him-and he must be aware that after 21 years of misrule the Congress Government has ended in U.P.

Mr. Speaker: How does it arise out of the supplementary, the Congress Government ending in U.P.?

Shri S. M. Banerice: That is a factual thing.

Mr. Speaker: Put a question.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: As the name of Mr. Gopala Reddy, a Congressman, was announced before the formation of the new Government headed by Mr. Charan Singh, I want to know whether Mr. Charan Singh will be consulted and if a non-Congressman will be appointed Governor there and not a Congressman because a Congress Government does not exist there now?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: I have already explained the position.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I rise on a point of order.

Mr. Speaker: What is the point of order.

श्री मब् लिम्पे : तो इया है ? सफाई हो जायगी !

Shri S. M. Banerjee: It may be a very inconvenient question for him, 1 know, but unfortunately, Mr. Gopala Reddy's name was announced-three names were announced, those of Mr. Hukam Singh, Mr. Gopala Reddy and Mr. G. S. Pathak-when Congress Government was in existence headed by Mr. C. B. Gupta. Now the Congress Government has come to an end. My question is clear. In view of the fact that the Congress Government does not exist any more in U. P. and it is headed by another person, the Jana Congress leader Mr. Charan Singh, may I know whether this appointment will be reconsidered taking into account the changed circumstances.

2795

Shri Y. B. Chavan: No. My answer is very clear now. The process of consultation is naturally something which would happen before the appointment and announcement is made. The consultation took place with the then Chief Minister. Whether he was a Congressman or a non-Congressman is not a material factor. He was consulted, the consultation was over, and his consent was there; he was appointed and his name was announced. It will not be changed.

श्री मनु शिषये: चन्द्रधानु गुप्त की सरकार सादी गई थी।

Shri swell: I would like to know whether Government's attention has been drawn to the view expressed by the Chief Minister of Madras that the office of Governor should be done away with and whether it is a fact that a large number of public men of high standing are fighting shy of becoming Governors because they get cribbed, confined and cabined in the seclusion of Raj Bhavan? I would like to know whether Government contemplate intiating any legistion either to do away with the office of Governor or to invest Governors with powers and responsibilities then they have now.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: No, Sir.

Shri Hem Barua: Since most of the State Governors are appointed from a particular political party, and since the record that they have established is one of partisanship, as it has been amply demonstrated in Rajasthan, and since the hon. Home Minister has said that Mr. Nath Pai's suggestion that appointment of Governors should be subject to ratification by Parliament is impractical—but Mr. Nath Pai says it is inconvenient for the Home Minister, whatever that might be-may I know what practical steps the hon. Minister proposes to adopt to ensure that State Governors do not play a partisan role as they have been doing so far?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I cannot expect this insinuation that all the Governors are appointed from one party. Shri Hem Barua: I said "neost".

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I would again explain this matter. Even today if you just look round the State Capitals, nearly half a dozen senior civil servants, ex-civil servants I should say are Governors. They naturally do not belong to any political party. Even today the Governor of Madras is a person who does not belong to any political party as such. It is not correct to say that people from only one party are selected. One of the Governors today belongs to the party to which the hon. Member belongs.

Shri Nath Pai: We expelled him.

श्री सर्वुलियये: श्राप फुसलाकर ले गए।

Shri Y. B. Chavan: They insist that we should appoint good people from other political parties and when we do so they expel him. What can we do? (Interruptions). The hon. Member should have patience to listen to me The only guarantee in these matters is to have consultation with the Chief Minister and that rule has invariably been observed and will be observed

Mr. Speaker: The hon Member there.

Shri Hem Barua: May I submit...

Shri T. E. Sonavane: You have called the other Member, why should he stand up now?

An hon. Member: At long last you have called a back bencher but he is denied his opportunity.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I have called him and he will put his question.

Shri Shankaranand: May I know the qualifications and conditions a person should fulfil for being considered for the governorship?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: No quahifications have been prescribed; the person concerned shall have an outstanding stature in the country. Mr. Speaker: Shri Kachhavaiya.

श्री श्रीकार मास बरवा: मैं बीस बार बड़ा हुशा हूं।

Mr. Speaker: I have called Shri Kachhavaiya—a Member from your Party. He has also been standing from the very first question today.

बी बॉकार लाल बेरवा : धापको कैसे मालूम है कि मेरे दिल में क्या है धौर श्री कछवाय के दिल में क्या है ?

श्री हुकम क्ष्य क्ष्यवाय : मंत्री महोदय ने बताया है कि श्राघा दर्जन के करीव गैर कांग्रेसी लोगों को राज्यपाल बनाया गया है । इसका मतलब यह है कि श्रीघकाश काग्रेसी विचारों वाले या काग्रेस के बड़े बड़े पदों पर जो लोग रह चुके है उन लोगों को ही राज्यपाल बनाया गया है । मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि कांग्रेस के पदों से जिन लोगों को लिया गया है उन्हें राज्यपाल न बना कर निष्पक्ष लोगों में से ही राज्यपाल बनाये जाये, ऐसी व्यवस्था करने के बारे मे धाप सोचेंगे ?

श्री विद्या चरण शक्त . गृह मंत्री जी ने इसके बारे में कह दिया है कि मुख्य मित्रयों से परामर्श करके इसके बारे में निर्णय लिया जाता है। इस प्रणाली को भागे भी जारी रखा जाएगा। उसमें ये सभी बीजे भा जाती है।

Shri P. G. Sen: In view of the fact that there are too many crossing of the floors which upsets the margins of parties in the legislatures is it specifically mentioned that when the majority question has to be decided it should be decided with the specific names in the parties concerned?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukia: That has already been answered in general terms.

Mr. Speaker: Most of these question have been answered and yet many Members are rising in their seats. I will call everybody and finish the whole question hour in this question.

Shri Zulfiquar All Ehan: Is it true that according to the Constitution the President can be impeached in this House but the Governors cannot be impeached in the State legislatures?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: It is a constitutional point which can be looked into.

Shri P. K. Dec: It is a defect in the Constitution.

Mr. Speaker: But what can the Minister do now if the Constitution does not provide for it.

Shri M. Y. Saleem: How many names are under consideration for Governorship of Jammu and Kashmir and may I know those names?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukia: That does not arise out of this question.

श्री घोंकार लाल बेरबा: राजस्थान के राज्यपाल ने भ्रागज से एक महीना पहले यह कह दिया है कि राजस्थान में कोई भ्रशांति नहीं है। इसको एक महीना हो गया है। क्या कोई . . .

Mr. Speaker: That does not arise not arise out of this question.

बी झोंकार साल बेरबा: राज्यपाल ने कहा है कि मुझ से केन्द्र ने नहीं पूछा। बया कन्द्र की इस बारे में कोई जिस्मेदारी है या नहीं ?

Mr. Speaker: I do not want your explanation.

बी ऑकार साल बेरबा: राज्यपास ने यह कहा है कि हम से कोई राय नहीं सी गई गांति के बारे में । मुझ से पूछेंगे तो मैं बताऊंगा । क्या केन्द्रीय सरकार ने राज्यपास साहब से पूछा है कि मांति हुई है या नहीं हुई है ।

Mr. Speaker: Order, order "Rajyapal" does not mean that you should say so many things.

की खाँकार साम बेरवा : उत्तर प्राना चाहिये ।

Oral Answers

थी हक्त क्ल क्लाम : उत्तर नही भावा है।

Mr. Speaker: Please take your seat.

बी बॉकार लाल बेरवा : राज्यपाल के बारे में सवाल है। उत्तर बाना चाहिये।

Mr. Speaker: I am requesting you to take your seat please.

बी ब्रॉकार लाल बेरवा : राज्यपाल से सम्बन्धित सवाल है, उत्तर दिलाइये ।

Shri V. Krishnamoorthi: The hon. Home Minister was saving that the Governor of Madras is a non-partisan. We regret to state that he is not at all so. Before the elections-I do not want to make any allegations against the present Governor of Madras-I would tell you what he said. We the opposition parties in Madras State united together and wanted to fight the elections During that time, the Governor of Madras State openly said that he would not call anybody who did not have any single party majority. Unfortunately for the Governor, we won with 138 seats. In view of the fact that this is an important matter and in view of the fact that the post of a Governor is an important one-he is the head of the State in a sovereign democracy-will the Government look into the matter and see that the Government appoint only such people for the post of Governor, who are really interested in safeguarding democracy and who are really impartial people?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: It is always done.

Shri Kandappan: The point has to be clarified.

Mr. Speaker: The Minister has replied to it; I do not know what more he can say. He could not off-hand say what the Governor said before the elections.

Oral Answers

Shri S. Kandappan: Let him collect the information and place it on the Table of House

Mr. Speaker: Can he say what the Governor said before the elections?

Shri V. Krishnamoorthi: How can the Minister say that he is a non-partisan? The Governor has openly said-(Interruption)

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: In answer to his question I said that it is always done so.

Shri Rajaram: There was a very big controversy raised before the election. (Interruption).

Some hon. Member TORE-

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. They can't shout like that.

Shri Rajaram: I am sorry to say that you are saying they are shouting This is not shouting. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Three or four persons are speaking at the same time.

Shri Rajaram: Before the elections, the Governor of Madras, Mr. Ujjal Singh came forward with a statement that the united parties were not going to solve the problem of the country. He had said like that. There was a very big controversy before the elections. So, he is a partisan of the Congress party.

Mr. Speaker: Next question,

Shri V. Krishnamoorthi: Let the Congress Government here withdraw that Governor from the Madras State. How can the Minister say that he is a non-partisan?

श्री कंबर लाल युप्त : गवर्नर की एप्वा-इंटमेंट और उसका कंडक्ट बहुत महत्वपूर्ण चीचें हैं। इसकी हमने इसेक्सन में वेच लिया है। मैं जानना बाहता हं कि इस सब के बारे में लीडसे प्राफ दि वयोजीशन के साथ बैठ-कर कोई रास्ता निकाला जायगा ताकि घागे कमपयजन पैडवा न हो ? यह बहुत जरूरी 8 1

Mr. Speaker: I am very unhappy today. This is not the way of getting through the questions. Of course, three or four supplementary questions would have been sufficient. But we have spent more than half an hour on this question. There are very important questions coming up. If you still want to put more questions on this Question alone, I have absolutely no objection, but then nobody should feel that he is not called.

Shri Sonavane: Sir, may I make a suggestion? It is very difficult for you to go on with the questions in this way. Hardly a few questions have been touched. I do not konw the propriety; so many questions have been put down on the list for answer today. It is up to you to apply a brake whenever all the possible supplementaries are exhausted, and then go forward.

Shri S. S. Kothari: Sir, Supplementaries should be limited to five.

Mr. Speaker: I entirely agree with the hon. member. At least if the leaders of the parties help. I will be able to do it. It was agreed that even if there are a dozen names, only noe or two from them and one or two others might be called to put supplementaries. But from Mr. Barua to Mr. Kachhavaiya, every member gets so wild if he is not called to put supplementaries. After calling one or two from this side and one or two from that side, we should go to the next question. If 20 or 30 members get up, I feel helpless. If the House agrees, let us have 5 supplementaries on each questions and then we shall pass on to the next question. Is it agreed to?

Shri Somayane: Yes, Sir; 2 from that side and 3 from this side.

Shri S. S. Ketharl: 3 from the onposition and 2 from the Congress.

Mr. Speaker: 20 or 30 people get up and if I call one or two from this side and one or two from that side. I find that the others are annovad. If the leaders of the parties assure me that they agree, after 5 or 6 supplementaries we can go to the next question. Mr. Kachhavaiya has got a leader for his party. Leaders of the various parties and myself meet in my Chamber and discuss constitutional points, etc. So, he can instruct his leader if he has got some point. whether 5 supplementaries should be allowed or 10 should be allowed or the whole one hour should be ment on one question, etc. Members can give their ideas to their leaders and we shall discuss them in my chamber. Otherwise, I find for everything every member stands up.

Shri S. Xavier: In view of the complaints made in this House about the partiality of the Madras Governor, may I suggest that there should be an election to the Governor's post. as in the case of the President?

Mr. Speaker: Mr Nath Pai has put the question already that Parliament must approve of the Governor's appointment.

Shri R. Barua: If I have understood aright, the suggestion seems to be that the supplementaries should be sent in writing. If that is the rule, I submit the very purpose of putting supplementaries is lost.

Mr. Speaker: Nobody has suggested that. The suggestion is that the number of supplementaries should be limited to 5.

Shri Piloo Mody: We have been discussing all the time how many supplementaries can or cannot be put. I think it is a very childish procedure. In Question Hour, it is necessary that at least 20 to 30 questions should be answered. The number of supplementaries depends very much on the subject-matter of the question. The number of supplementaries that are being asked are too

2803

many for one primary reason that the ministers concernd do not give a proper answer. If I may say so, it would help considerably all the members of this House if whenever you find that the minister has not given a relevant answer, you immediately call him to give a proper answer.

Shri Nath Pai: You should pull him up.

श्री हुक्क क्य कड़वाय : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, भाप ने भभी मेरे नाम का उल्लेख किया है। मैं निवेदन करना चाहता है कि हमें जनता ने यहां पर भेजा है. ताकि हम उस पर की समस्याचीं को यहां पर रखें। धगर मंत्री महो-वय हमारे प्रश्नों का ठीक उत्तर नहीं देते. तो हमें मजबूर हो कर उस के लिये भागह करना पडता है।

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: On a point of order, Sir. Just now in your wisdom you made the suggestion that the number of supplementaries will be limited to 5. The hon, member has made a very unfortunate remark that the procedure is childish. May I know how far that remark is compatible with the decorum of the Chair?

Mr. Speaker: Doesn't matter Next question.

All India Judicial Service

*261. Shri Yashpal Singh: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 878 on the 30th November, 1966 and state:

- (a) whether Government have since received replies from the State Governments regarding the setting up of an All-India Judicial Service; and
- (b) if so, the reaction of Government thereto?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) Replies have so far been received from the Governments of six States.

(b) Replies from other State Governments are awaited. A decision will be taken after replies from all State Governments have been received.

श्री बद्यापाल सिंह : बया सरकार ने यह भी जानने की कोशिश की है कि ग्रंग्रेज स्पेशल मैजिस्टेटस चौर घानरेरी मैजिस्टेटस इस सिंहे बनाया करते बे कि वे इस देश में ब्रिटिश इम्पीरियलिंज्य को मजबत करते थे धौर ग्राज भारत के जनतन्त्र में स्पेशल मैजिस्ट्रेटस भीर भानरेरी मैजिस्टेटम के लिये कोई जगह नही है, यदि हां, तो क्या सरकार इन पोस्टस को एबोलिश करने की कोशिश कर रही 2 7

श्री विद्या वरण शक्त : इस प्रश्न का मल प्रक्त से कोई सम्बन्ध नहीं है।

भी यद्यपाल सिंह . क्या सरकार बता सकती है कि जिन स्टेटस से सरकार को इतिला मिली है, उन मे जिंडिशियरी धीर एक्सी-क्यटिव को कब तक धलग कर विया जायेगा ?

श्री विद्याचरण शकल . इस बारे मे पहले ही जवाब दिया जा चुका है। अधिकतर राज्यों में जुडिशियरी भीर एक्सीक्यटिक को भलग कर दिया गया है भीर जहां पूरी तरह से घलग नहीं किया गया है, बहा यह कार्य चालु है।

Shri S. Kandappan: Sir, there is an unhealthy tendency of creating more and fore All-India services in all fields. I would like to know, in view of the fact that face that non-Congress Governments have been set up in various States, whether Government will try to assess the opinion of the new governments that have been formed before going ahead with programme.

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: I have already answered that all these things will be decided in consultation with the State Governments.

Mr. Speaker: What he wants is, even if you have consulted the State Goveraments, in view of the fact that new governments have been formed, you should consult them again. Are you going to do that?

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: Yes.

Shri Nath Pai: Is it not a fact that the Chief Justice of the High Court of Delhi has written to the Home Minister asking for an immediate separation of executive from the judiciary; if so, may I know what steps the Home Minister proposes to take to implement this request of the Chief Justice of Delhi?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): Yes, Sir, such a suggestion has come from the Chief Justice of the Delhi High Court. But in these matters necessary legislation will have to be initiated by the Metropolitan Council and we have made a reference to them. I hope they will take early action.

Shri Nath Pai: Sir, there is a famous expression suppressio veri suggestio falsi. He has given then truth but it is not the whole truth. He has also suggested in his letter to the hon. Minister that by an executive order this separation can be brought about and we need not wait for any legislation. Am I right?

Shri Y. B Chavan: He has certainly made that suggestion, but in the present circumstances, when a new party has come into power in the Metropolitan Council, if we just pass an executive order, possibly I would be required to answer half a dozen questions here also. Therefore, it is very much necessary for me, in the new political situation, that about the policy in these matters I consult the Executive Council.

Changes in the Education System

*262. Shri S. C. Samanta: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the address delivered at the Institute of Technology, Kanpur by the Chairman of the University Greats Commission in which he stressed the need for revolutionary changes in the system of eduation:

Oral Annuers

- (b) if so, Government's reaction thereto; and
- (c) the steps being taken to change the pattern of education so that it could adjust to the changing circumstances?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad): (a) to (c). Yes, Sir. This is the central theme in the Report of the Education Commission which is now under the active consideration of Government. The main recommendations of the Education Commission are being examined in consultation with State Governments with a view to speedy implementation within the limit of financial resources available.

थी एस० सी० सामन्त : क्या यह सच है कि प्राजादी के बाद गवनंमेट ने शिक्षा पद्धति मे तब्दीली लाने की कोशिश की और दनि-यादी तालीम को लाग किया; यदि हां, तो उसका नतीजा क्या हथा ?

श्री भागवत क्षा काचाद यह सब है कि हमने बनियादी तालीम को इस देश में लाग करने की कोशिश की और यह भी सच है कि उस में हम अब तक बहुत सफल नहीं हो पाये हैं। लेकिन उसके सिद्धात के बारे में कोई मतभेद नही है।

श्री एस॰ सी॰ सामन्त : क्या गवर्नमेट की मशा परीक्षा-पद्धति में कोई तब्दीली लाने की है ?

भी मागमत का प्राचाद : यह सच है कि समय समय पर देश के शिक्षाबिदों ने इस बात पर प्रकाश डाला है कि देश की परीक्षा पद्धति में परिवर्तन होना चाहिये । एज्केशन कमीशन की रिपोर्ट हमारे सामने है, स्वित पर इस विकार कर रहे हैं। इस यह भी विचार करना चाहेंने कि वरीका श्वारत में क्या वरिवर्तन किया जा सकता

Skrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: May I know whether Government's attention has been drawn to the suggestions made by various eminent men in public life that there should be conformity in the system of education and all the public schools which discriminate between one school and another school should be abolished; if so what is the Government's attitude in this matter and whether there is any proposal for abolition of public schoo's so that all the schools will have a simultaneous course and an equitable basis for education?

Shri Bhagwat Jha Asad: It is true that there is an opinion in the country that the education in public schools and other schools are in different ways and of different standards. Certainly, 'he public schools have a higher standard of education and very few get admission there It is not possible for us to pull down one unless we pull up the other in the matter of standard.

Shri K. Lakkappa: Is it not a fact that in the address delivered at the Institute of Technology, Kanpur, the Chairman of the University Grants Commission has suggested that defeated Congress leaders and politicians shou'd not be appointed as Vice-Chancellors? Is it not a fact that this is one of the diseases that has entered the universities and is creating confusion and that is why it has been suggested that a revolutionary change is to be effected?

Shri Bharwat Jha Azad: This question does not arise out of the main question.

भी हा॰ ना॰ लिबारी अया मरकार को मालम है कि विगत तीस वर्षों में कितने कमीलन और किलनी कमेटीज की रिपोर्ट सरकार के सामने बाई और राष्ट्रपति से लेकर केलीव सिका मंत्री धीर प्रांतों के मंत्रियों ने क्रिका पश्चति में परिवर्तन करने की लिफा-108 (AI) LED 2

रिस की और बार बार कहा कि बिना शिक्षा पढ़ित में परिवर्तन किये हुए जिल्ला यहां ठीक नहीं चल सकती तो बाज तक सरकार ने इम सम्बन्ध में क्या किया और कितने दिनों के बाद सरकार नीद से जागेगी और कुछ कार्यवाही करने की सोवेगी?

भी भागवत हा छाजाद : सरकार की यह मालम है कि बहत सी कमेटिया बनाई गई है। यह बात भी सच है कि हम इस में परिवर्तन करना चाहते है। देश के शिक्षाविदों ने यह कहा है। हम यह चाहते है कि सभी जो एजकेशन कमीशन की रिपोर्ट ग्राई है इस पर विचार किया जाय । इस के लिये वर्तमान शिक्षा मंत्री राज्यों का दौरा कर रहे हैं। साथ ही हम शिक्षा मंत्रियों की एक कान्फेर बुला रहे हैं भीर संसद सदस्यों से बात चीत करना चाहते है कि किस तरह से नयी शिक्षा प्रणाली की चलाया जाय ।

Shri Buta Singh: What is standing in the way of the Government implementing the suggestion to create a separate cadre called the All India Educational Service?

भी भागवत हा बाजाद यह प्रश्न हम लोगों के सामने है और इम प्रश्न पर हमने राज्य मग्कारो से विसार लिये है। राज्य सरकारों के विचार विभिन्न है। सभी राज्य सरकारों के विचार धाने पर ही हम धपनी राय निश्चित कर सकते है।

Shri H N. Mukerjee: In view of the very positive recommendation of the Education Commission in regard to the desirability of having our mother languages as the medium of instruction right up to the higher stage, may I know if at long last Government is going to take some very definite steps in that direction with a programme to be achieved over a period of time?

Shri Nath Pat: Ambivalent position. The Minister of Education (Dr. Triguna Sen): I am told that this

House is the only body which is competent to lay down policies. sorry that due to various reasons the 2809

recommendations of the Education Commission were not considered by House. As member of the Commission T am verv keen that this House considers it and recommends certain policy on which the Government will act. I feel that the education must be in the mother tongue or the regional languages. With that end in view, I am moving round and meeting Education Ministers and Chief Ministers of all the States to come to a consensus so that I can place before the House opinion of all the States. Then it is for this House to direct what should be the policy so that the Government can act. I am very keen that it should be done as soon as possible. Unless this vital issue of language is decided by this House, well the standard of education cannot go up and we cannot direct the educational system also.

Shri Chintamani Panlgrahi: In view of the student's unrest all over the country for the last few years, does the Education Minister propose to have any change in the educational system so that this unrest could be checked?

Dr. Triguna Sen: I do not believe firstly, that there is student unrest; it is discontentment among the students in the country. The main reason to my mind is that we do not listen to the needs of the students. When we do not listen to the needs, the needs become demands. I personally feel that the students have a right to demonstrate if there needs are not met by the authorities. Naturally, I shall request the students to demonstrate peacefully within the campuses of universities.

Shri S. Kandappan: I compliment the Education Minister.

Dr. Triguna Sen: I have not fini shed yet. I am going to request the administrators of the various institutions. . . .

Mr. Speaker: This is Question Hour when only supplementary questions can be answered. The whole policy of the Government cannot be anamciated on a supplementary question. Next question.

Shri K. Lakkappa : On a point of order.

Shri Nath Pat: You had called me.

Mr. Speaker: He wants to raise a point of order.

Shri K. Lakkappa: The hon, Minister has made a statement now . . .

Mr. Speaker: Do you want to make another statement contradicting him?

Shri K. Lakkappa: No. He has said that students' unrest and their grievances can be raised by way of demonstrations in university campuses. Is it the policy of Government or is it his statement? I want to have that clarification.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Nath Pai.

Some hon. Members rose-

Mr. Speaker: I have called Shri Nath Pai. I will ca'l all of you also

Shri Nath Pal: The statement of the hon. Education Minister, if not anything clse, was at least very polite to the House masmuch as he has asserted the supremacy of House in giving consideration to these matters but it conceals the lamentable lapse on the part of Government to make up its mind. Sir, it used to be said about equity equity changes according to the shoe of the Lord Chancel'or of England but the language and educational policy of the Government of India changes according to the predilection and prejudice of the man who happens to be the Education Minister. Is it not a fact that the hon. Minister only the other day said Madras that he is not in favour of the three-language formu'a? Does he know that the three-language formula was adopted by this House? Where do we stand in this matter?

Dr. Triguna Sen: I think, I issued a clarification in Ahmedabad. Some people asked me whether the three-language formula should be introduced and I said that it required rethinking because we cannot at a particular stage ask young children to learn three languages at a time and also ask them to read something of Indian History, mathematics and geography; so, it requires some rethinking. I did not say that I was not in favour of the three-language formula

Shri Hem Barua: On a point of order, Sir. This three-language formula was adopted by this House. When the hon. Minister says that it needs rethinking, he goes against the wishes of the House I want to know whether he has a right to do it or not That is my point of order

Mr. Speaker: Shri Venkatasubbajah.

Shri P. Venkatasubbuiah: In view of the fact that Shri Nath Pai has raised a very relevant question regarding rethinking of the three-language formula, may I know whether it is appropriate for the Minister of Education to make such a statement without taking Parliament into confidence?

Dr. Triguna Sen: I have not said anything that I am against the threelanguage formu'a I only posed the question to Shri Nath Pai whether the three lanuages should be taught to the child at the same time

और राल सेवल बादव : घ्रष्ट्यक्ष महोदय, माननीय भागवत झा भाजाद ने प्रश्न के उत्तर में कहा कि बुनियादी तालीम को मफलता नहीं मिली । मैं जानना चाहता हं कि क्या भसफलता का यह कारण है कि गरीबों के लिये बुनियादी तालीम और भ्रमीरों के लिये पब्लिक स्कूल हैं भीर इसी कारण भ्रमफलना हुई है ? भगर यह सही है तो समान शिक्षा सभी को मिले, इस के लिये क्या प्रयत्न होने आ रहा है ?

बी जानवर का जावाव : बुनियादी निजा इस देश में चलाई गई तो इस वर्ष से नहीं चलाई गई कि सिर्फ गरीबों के लिये ही यह हो बल्कि प्राज भी सरकार समझती है कि सब लोगों के लिये यह है। जहां तक पब्लिक स्कूलों का सवाल है पब्लिक स्कूलों में दाखिला इतने कम विद्यार्थियों का होता है कि वहां पर सभी पैसे बालों को जगह मिलती है, वहां कहना कठिन है।

भी राम सेवक यादव : क्या नहां पर बुनि-यादी तालीम है ? यह सवाल है । 12 00 lars.

Shrimati Sushila Rohatgi: While considering the recommendations of the Education Commission, would the Government consider the feasibility or the advisability of linking up education with employment also?

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: We do realise that aducation should be fruitful and it would be good if education can be recriented or coordinated with some vocational training

Shri S M Banerjee: It was assured by his predicessor, Mr Chagla, in the House that he was trying to impress upon the Chief Ministers of the States to see that education is included in the Concurrent List. I would like to know the view of the present Education Minister in this regard and whether any success has been achieved in this direction

Dr. Triguna Sen: The changed political situation, I think, requires a little rethinking. We must carry all the States together before we come to any decision

Mr. speaker: Question Hour is over We now take up the Short Notice Question.

भी सब् लिसये अध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रक्र है-नियम सं० 46 के प्रन्नांत। इस नियम में यह व्यवस्था है कि यदि मंत्री चाहे तो जिन प्रक्षों का जवाब क्ष्येश्वन भावर में नहीं भाषा है, उस का जवाब भाषकी इजाजत से दे सकता है। मंत्री महोदय से नैंने पूछा है, वे प्रक्ष सं० 296 का उत्तर देने को तथार है। नियम इस प्रकार है: "Provided that a question not reached.....

Skri Senavane: On a point of information, Sir. I want to know whether the provision....

बी सबु सिलये : घभी मैं नियम पढ़ रहा हूं, स्यवस्था का प्रश्न ग्राप बीच में कैसे उठा सकते हैं ?

Shri Sonavane: He has al'owed me to speak,

Mr. Speaker: Mr. Madhu Limaye was already reading the rule.

Shri Sonavane: I thought you allowed me.

Mr. Speaker: No, no; he was already reading it.

श्री मधु लिमये: मैं उसका जो प्रोवीजो है, केवल उस को पढता हू:

"Provided that a question not reached for oral answer may be answered after the end of the Question Hour with the permission of the Speaker if the Minuster represents to the Speaker that the question is one of special public interest to which he desires to give a reply."

Mr. Speaker: That is quite clear. The Minister has not done so. He should write to me and not to you.

भी सबु लिजये: मंत्री जी जवाब देने को तैयार हैं।

Mr. Speaker: He has not done it. We now take up the Short Notice question. Shri Indrajit Gupta.

श्री मचुलिन से: मैं उन से पूछ कर ही यह कह रहा हुं।

SHORT NOTICE QUESTION

Telegraph Check Office, Calcutta

6.N.Q. 6. Shri Indrajit Gupta: Shri S. M. Banorjoe: Shri H. N. Mukarjoe;

Shri Vamidovan Natr: Shri Shogundra Sha:

Will the Minister of Community-

- (a) whether it has been decided to close down the Telegraph Check Office, Calcutta, which has been working for about a hundred years;
- (b) whether this decision has been taken on the advice of a so-called "expert" from U.K.;
- (c) if so, the reasons for the same; and
- (d) whether over 700 employees are going to be retrenched as a result thereof?

The Minister of Parliamentary Affairs and Communications (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) No.

(b) to (d). Do not arise.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: May I know whether there is any proposal to reorganise the work of this Telegraph Check Office so that a large number of staff who are at present employed there will have to be transfered elsewhere and if so, what is the future trend of this Telegraph Check Office?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: With a view to increasing efficiency, we shall have to reorganise everything including this Office. But I may say that the interests of the staff will be fully protected

Shri Indrajit Gupts: May I know whether it is not a fact that this Telegraph Check Office is the only one of its kind in India and it has been performing a very useful function for over a hundred years and whether this proposal for reorganisation has come only as a result of the advice given by any so-called foreign expert?

Dr. Ram subhag Singh: It is a fact that this is the only Office. But the lapse of hundred years does require some re-thinking. Shri Indicate: I saked whether this proposal for reoganisation is the result of the advice given by any so-called foreign expert.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: The advice was sought because there was original thinking here.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I would like to know whether it is a fact—part (d) of the question—that over 700 employees are going to be retrenched as a result of this. I want to know whether there is going to be any retrenchment as a result of this.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: He said that their interests would be fully protected and that covers this question.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: May I know why it is that after more than a hundred years of working the telegraphic system in our country, we still appear, according to Government, to stand in need of assistance from British experts whose advice in this kind of thing need not be regarded by us at all?

Dr. Ram gubbag Singh: As the hon. Member knows, a hundred years' thma does require improving the situation. Therefore, we are thinking how best to reorganise it. But I again categorically say that the interests of the staff will have our full sympathy.

Shri Vasudevan Nair: I should like to know whether there is a specific recommendation by the British expert that the staff can be reduced in this Check Post Office and if so, by how many.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: I do not know why they are time and again trying to say something which I do not intend to do. There would not be any impect on the interests of the staff.

Shri Ehogendra Jha: Among the suggestions, was there a suggestion for closing it?

Dr. Eam Subhag Singh: Suggestions may include so many things. But we shall have to take a decision.

Shel Shagendra Jha: Was there any suggestion for closing it?

Dr. Ram Subbag Singh: There may be so many suggestions, but it does not mean that they are going to be accepted.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Whitley Council Scheme

*263, Shri D. C. Sharma: Wall the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Whitley Council Scheme has been working satisfactorily; and
- (b) if so, how far it has been successful?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Sari Y. B. Chavan): (a) and (b). The Scheme for Joint Consultative Machinery and Compulsory Arbitration was inaugurated on 28th October, 1966. After the inauguration of the Scheme the National Council held a special meeting on 5th December, 1966 and an ordinary meeting on 30th and 31st January, 1967. It is proposed to hold the next meeting of the National Council on 26th and 27th May, 1967. So far it has been functioning we'l.

द्मध्यापकों के बेलन-कम

*264 श्री प्रकाशबीर शास्त्री: श्री एस० सूपकर: श्री हुक्ज जन्म कक्क्शय: श्री विजूति जित्र: श्री क० ना० तिवारी:

क्या जिल्ला मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) घष्यापकों के वेतन-कम बड़ाने में घब तक भीर क्या प्रगति हुई है;
- (ख) घध्यापकों के बेतन-कमों को बढ़ाने के बारे में केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय के ब्रनुसार किन-किन राज्य सरकारों ने तथा संघ राज्य क्षेत्रों ने घपेक्षित निर्णय कर सिया है;
- (ग) नया इस प्रयोजन के लिये चौथी यंचवर्षीय योजना में कोई रामि नियत की यह है; धीर

(ण) यदि हां, तो क्या उसके झाझार पर कोई योजना भी तैयार की गई है ?

Written Answers

शिका नंत्रालय में राज्य नंत्री (भी नागवत का काजार): (क) से (प) . विवरण सभा पटल पर रख दिया गया है। [पुस्तकालय में रखा गया। वेक्सिये सख्या एल ब्टी॰ 239/67]

Common Link between Punjab and Haryana

*265. Shri C. C. Desai: Shri Frakash Vir Shastri: Shri R. Barua:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the progress made so far to resolve the disputes arising out of common links between Punjab and Haryans States after their formation; and
- (b) whether any decision has been taken about the future of Chandigarh, as to its inclusion in either of the two States?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shra Y. B. Chavan): (a) and (b). A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No LT-240/67].

Wage Board for Scavengers

*286. Shri S. M. Banerjee: Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a decision has been taken to appoint a Wage Board or a Committee to consider the Wage and Working conditions of the Scavengers in the country; and
 - (b) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation (Shri Hathi): (a) There is no proposal for the appointment of a Wage Board. The proposal for the seeting up of a study group to examine the working and service conditions of sweepers and scavengers, was brought to the notice of the National Commission on Labour which has decied to set up a study group of its

own on the subject,

(b) Does not arise,

Surpluses in Engineering Cadres

*267, Shri P. K. Ghosh: Shri S. C. Samanta:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Committee constituted by the Planning Commission to study the problem regarding demands of and existing surplus in civil and other construction engineering cadres as well as possibilities of forming service cadres has finalised its report and if so, what are its conclusions; and
- (b) whether Government have issued directives to all the public undertakings not to resort to retrenchment till the report is made available and till the surplus engineers are provided with alternative placements?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) The Committee constituted for the purpose has not yet finalised its report.

(b) No. Sir.

Cases against Bombay Business Firms

*268. Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia: Shri Madhu Limaye:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Economic Offences Wing, Madras registered cases under RC 1/66 and D. S. Company, Delhi and Madhusudan Govardhandas, Bombay were also among the 40 traders in whose names warrants were issued by the Chief Presidency Magistrate, Egmore Madras during the last week of January, 1966;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that the Officers carrying warrants to Bombay and Delhi went back on the advice of Dy. Director or any other

- 2810 Written Answers CHAITRA 15, 1889 (SARA) Written Answers 2820 officer of the Central Vigilance Commission without making any investigations at Delhi and Bombay:
- (c) whether it is also a fact that when these officers were called upon to investigate into the matter after an interval of four days, they refused to investigate as a protest against the interference from Delhi, and
- (d) if so, the reasons for this interference by the Central Vigilance Commission?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri W B. Chavan): (a) to (d) A statement is laid on the Table of the House [Placed in Library See No LT-241/67]

Files Missing in Bihar and West Bengal

- *269. Shri Madhu Limaye: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) whether Government have seen reports about the missing files in Bihar and West Bengal after the coming into existence of the non-Congress Governments or after the defeat of Congress at the polls and the transfer of some of these files (Intelligence reports) to the Centre,
- (b) if so, whether these reports are true.
- (c) whether any instructions were issued by the Centre in regard to these transfers, and
- (d) if so, the nature of these transfers?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) Government have seen reports in a section of the Press about the transfer of certain secret records from the custody of the West Bengal Government to that of the Central Government

(b) and (c). The Central Government had made a request to the Gov-

- ments of West Bengal and Bihar for the transfer of certain documents which had a bearing on national security and the request was comp'ied with.
- (d) It will not be in the public interest to disclose any further information about the documents transferred

Stay-in Strike in Katchi Ballihari Colliery

*270 Shri A. K. Gopalan: Shri Umanath:

Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state

- (a) whether it is a fact that four miners in Ballihari Colliery became unconscious and several others suffered severe abdominal cramps on the 4th March, 1967 while they were on stay-in strike.
- (b) the total number of workers involved in the stay in strike.
- (c) the demands of the workers; and
- (d) the action taken to settle the grievances of the workers prior to and after the commencement of the strike?

The Minister of State in the Minis-Labour, Employment try of Rehabilitation (Shri L. N Mishra): (a) No such cases were reported to the Central Conciliation Machinery

- (b) 97.
- (c) Immediate payment of outstanding legal dues
- (d) Before the commencement or the strike, claim applications were filed befare the Labour Court, Ranchi for securing payment of outstanding dues The Central conciliation machinery held discussions with both sides to bring about a settlement. The strike has since been called off.

Family Pension Scheme for Industrial Workers

*271. Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the family pension scheme for industrial workers has been finally prepared;
 - (b) if so, the details thereof; and
- (c) the categories of persons which will be benefited by this scheme?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Renabilitation (Shri L. N. Mishra): (a) to (c). The report of the Working Group which is considering the matter is still awaited and the details are yet to be worked out.

Committee of Review of Rehabilitation

- *272. Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda: Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the Committee of Review of Rehabilitation has submitted its report;
- (b) if so, the nature of the recommendations made therein; and
- (c) if not, when it will be submitted?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Shri L. N. Mishra):
(a) No, Sir. The Committee was set up in January, 1967, but has not so far been able to commence its work.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) In the Resolution constituting the Committee, it has been asked to submit its report "as early as practicable".

नहानवर परिषय् विल्ली

*273. बी घटल बिहारी वाक्येबी : श्री बलराज नवोक : श्री बज बल कर्ना :

भी यस दल सर्गाः भी भीषम्द नीयसः भी जगजाब राव जोतीः

क्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की क्रुना करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सब है कि दिल्ली महा-नगर परिषद् में पांच सदस्यों के नाम-निर्देशन के लिये केन्द्रीय सरकार ने बहुमत-प्राप्त दल की सलाह को नहीं माना :
- (ख) क्या यह भी सच है कि सरकार ने ग्रन्नरिम महानगर परिषद् में सदस्यों को नामनिर्देशन करने में कांग्रेस दल की सलाह को माना था ; धौर
- (ग) यदि हां, नो क्या सदस्यों के नाम-निर्देशन के लिये की विशेष सिद्धांत बनाये गयं है ?

गह-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंश्री (श्री विद्या बरण शक्स): (क) से (ग). उपराज्यपाल दारा सरकार को नामनिर्देशनों के सम्बन्ध में सिफारिशें दिये जाने से पहले महानगर परिषद में बहुमत-प्राप्त दल के नेता ने उपराज्यपाल को कुछ सुझाव दिये थे। श्रंतरिम महानगर परिषद में नामनिर्देशन के लिये सरकार को दिल्ली प्रदेश कांग्रेस समिति, संसद सदस्यों तचा घन्य व्यक्तियों द्वारा धनेक परामशं दिये गये थे। ये नाम-निर्देशन करने का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार का है भीर उसके लिये महानगर परिषद में बहुमत-प्राप्त दल से परामर्श करना या उसकी सलाह मानना चरूरी नहीं है। ये नायनिर्देशन विशिष्ण हितों को उचित प्रतिनिधित्व देने की धावश्यकता के अनुसार किये जाते हैं और ऐसा करते समय इन वरामली वर संबोधित प्रसान किस बाला है।

Alleged Circular Issued by Pak High Commission

*274. Shri Ram Krishan Gupta; Shri Baburao Patel; Dr. Mahadeva Prasad; Shri Onkar Singh; Shri Onkar Laj Berwa

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have the ceived any letter from the West Bengal Government asking whether criminal proceedings should be started in connection with an alleged circular of the Pakistan High Commission in India involving India's security; and
 - (b) if so, the action taken thereon'

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y B. Chavan): (a) and (b). The Government of India have not received any letter from the Government of West Bengal in regard to any circular of the Pakistan High Commission in India The Government or West Bengal had, however, sought the views of the Central Government as to whether action could be taken under rule 41 of the Defence of India Rules. 1962 in connection with a pamphlet, found in circulation in ccitain areas of the State on the eve of the General Elections, which contained photostat copy of a letter alleged to have been written by the Pakistan High Commissioner in India to the Foreign Minister of Pakistan, Th. pamphlet, which bears no name of its printer or publisher, and which has been described by the Pakistan High Commission as forgery, sought to create the impression that Shri Atulya Ghosh is a paid agent of Pakistan The State Government were of the view that as the leastet was intended or likely to prejudice Indias relations with a foreign power and also to prejudice the maintenance of public order, it was a prejudicial document within the meaning of rule 35 (7) read with rule 35(6)(a) and (b) of the Defence of India Rules. Since Goverament's policy is to restrict the use of the Defence of India Rules, outside certain border areas, to the purposes connected with national security, the State Government was advised not to make use of the Defence of India Rules.

indian Audit and Accounts Department Employees Union

"275 Shri Umanath;
Shri C. K. Chakrapani;
Shri Pattiah Gopalan;
Shri K. M. Abraham;
Shri V. Vishwanatha Menon;
Shri K. Anirudhan;
Shrimati Suseela Gopalan;

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state

- (a) whether the Indian Audit and Accounts Department Employees Union has since been recognised;
 - (b) if not, the reasons therefor;
- (c) whether the rules for the recognition of Government employees' unions have since been finalised;
 - (d) if so, the details thereof,
- (e) if not, the reasons for the delay in formulating the rules, and
- (f) when it is proposed to be finalis-

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) All-India Non-gazetted Audit & Accounts Association is now being treated as a accognised for purposes of participation in the scheme for joint consultative machinery and compulsory arbitration for Central Government employees.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) No. Sir.
- (d) Does not arise.
- (e) and (f). The scheme for joint consultative machinery and compulsory arbitration for Central Government employees has been started for an experimental period and the question of framing formal rules for the recognition of associations and unions of Government employees will be taken up in due course.

उत्तर प्रवेश-बिहार सीमा विचाद

*276. थीं चित्रका प्रसाद: क्या यृष्ट-कार्व मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि स्वर्गीय प्रधान मंत्री नेहरू ने त्रिवेदी आयोग के प्रतिवेदन के आधार पर उत्तर प्रदेश-बिहार की स्वायी सीम्त निर्धारित की वी और उस प्रति-वेदन में की गई मिफारिशों को कार्यक्य देने वाले विधेयक से दोनों राज्य महमत थे;
- (ख) क्या यह भी सब है कि इस निर्णय को कियान्वित न करने के परिणाम स्वरूप दोनों राज्यों की सीमा पर फसल काटने पर झगड़ा, हत्या तथा हिमा की घटनाये हो जाना एक सामान्य बात हो गई है; और
- (ग) क्या यह भी सच है कि मार्च, 1967 के सारम्भ में बिलया (उत्तर प्रदेश) भीर शाहबाद (बिहार) मीमा पर हिंसात्मक घटनाएं होने के कारण चार व्यक्ति मारे गये के भीर मैं क्डों व्यक्ति धायल हो गये के जिसके परिणामस्वरूप दोनों स्रोर के निवासी सपने गांव छोड़ कर भाग गये भीर उनकी फमलों को लटा जा रहा है?

गृह-कार्य मंत्री (क्षी यहावन्तराव चक्तन): (क) उत्तरप्रदेश के बिलया जिले धौर बिहार के शाहबाद जिले के बीच वर्तमान सोमा गंगा नदी की गहरी धारा है। यह सीमा नदी की बदलती हुई धार के साय-साथ परिवर्तित होती रहती है। श्री सी॰ एम॰ त्रिवेदी ने इस प्रश्न पर विचार किया था कि क्या इस सीमा के ह्यान पर एक स्थिर सीमा निश्चत की जाये, धौर यदि हां तो वह सीमा क्या हो धौर एक स्थिर सीमा के बारे में सिफारिश भी की थी। इन सिफारिशों को स्वर्गीय प्रधान मंत्री भी लाल बहादूर शास्त्री ने स्वीकार कर लिया

था और इसके बाद संविधान के अनुष्ठेय 3 के परंतुकों के अधीन दोनों द्राज्यों के विधान मंडलों को इन सिफारिकों के किया-न्वय की दृष्टि से एक विधेयक भेजा गया। दोनों राज्यों के विधान मंडलों ने विधेयक के बारे में अपने विचार व्यक्त कर दिये हैं। संसद् के अगले अधिवक्तन में इस विधेयक को प्रस्तुत करने का विचार है।

- (ख) श्री निवेदी के अपने सिफारिकों देने मे पहले की सीमा के बदलते रहने के कारण दोनों राज्यों के सीमावर्ती क्षेत्रों में फसल काटने पर झगड़े हुये। ये झगड़े आम बात नही बन सके क्योंकि ये सिफारिकों फियान्विन नहीं हुई।
- (ग) विहार तथा उत्तर प्रदेश की गरकारों से प्राप्त सूचना के धन्सार धाषी हाल ही में गंगा नदी के बिहार की तरफ वाल तद पर एक घटना हुई जिसमे तीन व्यक्ति मारे गये धीर कुछ घायल हुये। बिहार सरकार के कथनानुसार घायल व्यक्तियों की संख्या नहीं बताई गई किन्तु यह सैकंड़ों में नहीं है। उत्तर प्रदेश की सरकार ने सूचित किया है कि दियारा क्षेत्र में फूस के झोपड़ों में रहने वाले कुछ किसान इस घटना के बाद उस स्थान को छोड़ गये। दोनों राज्य सरकारों में से किसी ने भी फसल के लूटे जाने की सूचना नहीं दी।

बिहार में ईसाई वर्ग-प्रचारकों को गतिविधियां

*277. भी हुकन चन्द कछ्यांच : क्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या यह सच है कि बिहार के

2827 Written Answers CHAITRA 15, 1889 (SAKA) Written Answers 2828

सुखाबस्त कोंकों में ईसाई धर्म-प्रचारक मूख से तक्ष्मते हुये लोगों को प्रलोभन देकर जनका धर्म-परिवर्तन करने में खूब व्यस्त हैं;

- (ख) यदि हां, तो ईसाई धर्म-प्रचारको ने पिछले तीन महीनों मे ऐसे कितने लोगो का धर्म-परिवर्तन किया है; भौर
- (ग) इस प्रकार के प्रवैध धर्म-परिवनंन को रोकने के लिये क्या कार्यवाही की गई है |

पृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री विद्या चरण शक्त): (क) सरकार के के पास यह विश्वास करने का कोई कारण नहीं कि ऐसा है।

- (ख) एक धर्म ने दूसरे धर्म में होने बाले धर्म परिवर्तनों को पजीकृत करने की व्यवस्था किसी कानून में नहीं है। स्रतः इस बारे में सूचना उपलब्ध नहीं है।
- (ग) सर्वेध धर्म परिवर्तन का कोई मामला सामने नहीं भाषा।

Retrenchment in Ms. Braithwaitc and Company, Calcutta

*278. Shri Indrajit Gupta; Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Labour Minister of West Bengal has approached him for taking suitable action to avert the threatened retrenchment of about 2,000 engineering workers of M/s. Braithwaite and Company, Calcutta, who are pleading reduction of railway wagon orders for taking such a course,
- (b) whether he has taken up this matter with the Railway Board; and
 - (c) if so, the result thereo!?

The Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation (Shri Hathi); (a) Yes.

- (b) Yes.
- (c) The matter is being examined in consultation with the Railway Ministry.

Engineering Concerns in Calcutta

*278-A. Shri Indrajit Gupta: Dr. Ranen Sen: Shri J. M. Biswas:

Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government are aware that several large-scale Engineering concerns in the Calcutta area propose to retrench a total of over 5,000 workers on the plea of shortage of Government orders, raw materials and components,
- (b) whether any ac ion has been taken in consultation with the Indian Engineering Association to enable such firms to work at full capacity and to avoid closures and lay-offs, and
- (c) how far these signs of recession in the Engineering industry are the consequence of devaluation?

The Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation (Shri Hathi): (a) Reports have been received regarding retrenchment of some workers by the Engineering concerns in Calcutta area. The Government have also received a communication from the West Bengal Government that for want of orders for Railway Wagon one Engineering Company is likely to retrench about 2,000 workers.

(b) and (c) The matter is under examination.

C.B.I. Report on Former Orissa Ministers

*279. Shri Surendra Nath Dwivedy: Shri Nath Pai:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether any official request has ever been made by the State Government of Orissa for a copy of the C.B.I. report on allegations against the former Orissa Ministers:

APRIL 5 196?

- (b) whether the present Chief Minister has asked for a copy of the report; and
- (c) if so, the objections, if any, Government have in supplying a copy to the Government of Orissa?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) Yes, Sir. The matter is under consideration.

Central Wage Board for Coal Mining Industry

*280. Shri Deven Sen: Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have received the recommendations made by the Central Wage Board for the Coal Mining Industry;
 - (b) if so, when;
- (c) whether Government have accepted the recommendations, and
- (d) if not, when a decision is likely to be taken?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Shri L. N. Mishra):

(a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) In February, 1967.
- (c) and (d). The recommendations of the Board are under the consideration of the Government. The decision will bebb announced as soon as posible.

Prohibition

*281, Shri Mohasin:

Shri Chintamani Panigrahi: Shri Vasudevan Nair:

Shri C. Janardhanan:

Shri P. C. Adichan: Shri K. Lakkappa:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

 (a) whether it is a fact that some of the States have scrapped prohibition;
 and (b) the policy of the Central Government in this regard and how Government intend to implement the same?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) and (b). Information is being collected and will be laid on the table of the House.

C.B.I. Report on Orisea Affairs

*282. Shri Baburao Patel: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that on the 3rd March, 1965 a document described as the C.B.I. report was laid on the Table of the House by a Member;
- (b) whether it made damaging revelations about the alleged malpractices committed by the two former Chief Ministers of Orissa:
- (c) whether it is a fact that the late Prime Minister, Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri had promised to take lega! action against them;
- (d) whether such action ha: been taken;
- (e) if so, the nature and details thereof: and
 - (f) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) and (b). Yes, Sir. A document described as the C.B.I. report was laid on the Table of the House by a member. It made certain allegations against former Chief Minister of Orissa.

(c) to (f). Attention is invited to the answers given to the Starred Question No. 175 in Lok Sabha on 23rd February, 1966 and to Unstarred Question No. 157 on 2nd November, 1966. The position remains unchanged.

Special Agency for Disposal of Tax Matters

*282-A. Shri S. E. Damani: Will the Ministe rof Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government was contemplating the establishment of a special agency for disposing of the tax matters pertaining to writs and appeals in Law courts;

- (b) if so, the broad details thereof:
- (c) if not, at which stage the consideration of such a proposal is pending?

The Minister of Rome Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chayan): (a) to (c). There is no such proposal yet before the Government. Owing to constant increase in the litigation and the complexity of tax laws, the former Law Minister, Shri G. S. Pathak, had an idea of having a Central Tax Court, which would decide taxation cases especially under the Central Acts.

Allocation for Education in the Fourth Plan

*283. Shrimati Tarkeshwari Simha: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state.

- (a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the statement made by Dr V. K. R. V. Rao, that roduced allocations for education in the States' Fourth Five Year Plan as approved by the Planning Commission will adverse'y affect the programmes of qualitative improvement particularly at the primary and secondary levels:
- (b) whether Dr. Rao had further suggested that by cutting down of strategic programmes, the objective of linking education with broduction would not be achieved; and
- (c) if so, the reaction of Government thereto?

The Minister of Education (Dr. Triguna Sen): (a) and (b). Yes, Sir.

(c) We have represented to the Planning Commission that the original allocation of Rs. 1210 crores for education should not be reduced The decision of the Planning Commission on the statement of Dr. Rao is also awaited.

Telegraph/Telephone Services

*284 Shri Surendra Kumar Tapariah Shri D. S. Patil: Shri A. V Patil:

Will the Minister of Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government are aware of the public discontent in regard to (1) the operational mefficiency, both mechanical and manual, of the telephone, telegraph and the telecommunication services in the country and (11) the discourteous attending of the operating staff, particularly Trunk operators; and
- (t) if so, the steps taken to improve the standard of operation of these services?

The Minister of State in the Departments of Parliamentary Affairs and Communications (Shri I. K. Gujral):

- (a) (i) Complaints are received but these are not many compared to the magnitude of the services rendered.
 - (11) Such complaints are very few
- (b) Besides investigation and remedial action for each individual case of complaint attention is given to training and supervision of staff as well as maintenance of line and equipment With the introduction of advanced technology in local and trunk communication service the standard of service is expected to improve progressively.

Recommendations of Education Commission Report

*285. Shri Vasudevan Nair: Shri C. Janardhanan: Shri P. C. Adichas:

Will the Minister of Education be be pleased to state:

(a) whether any comments had been asked for from the State Governments on the Education Commission Report;

- (b) if so, the number of State Governments which have offered their comments; and
- (c) the steps being taken to implement the recommendations?

The Minister of Education (Dr. Triguna Sen): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) So far, comments have been received from the State Governments of Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Kerala, Madras, Orissa and Uttar Pradesh. The comments of the other State Governments are awai'ed.
- (c) A programme for implementing the Report of the Education Comdrawn up. The mission has been Education Minister would be paying visits to several States for dual discussions with State Governments. A Conference of State Education Ministers is being convered on April 28 and 29. A Committee of Members of Parliament is being set up to consider the Report and a discussion thereon will be raised in the next session of Parliament. In the light of these discussions, it is proposed to take decisions on the major recommendations of the Commission and to draw up a programme for immediate action. To the extent financial resources permit, steps to implement this programme will be taken from 1967-68 itself.

Urdu as second Language in Bihar

*286. Shri K. R. Ganesh: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Bihar Government have made a policy statement making Urdu as the second official language of the State and
- (b) whether there is a proposal under the consideration of Government to ask other State Governments to make Urdu as their second official language, where there is a substantial Urdu speaking population?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) In his Address to the new State Legislature on 19th March, 1967, the Bihar Governor stated that recognition would be given to Urdu as the second official language in the State.

(b) No Sir.

Booking of Telegrams at Jaipur,

*287. Dr. Karni Singh: Will the Minister of Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that telegrames tendered by the Opposition Leaders in Rajasthan at Jaipur on the 8th March, 1967 were not booked;
- (b) whether Government are aware that such important telegrams could be transmitted only with the assistance rendered by the authorities in Delhi on that day; and
- (c) the reasons for the restrictions so imposed by the Posts and Telegraphs Department causing sudden inconvenience to the public?

The Minister of State in the Departments of Parliamentary Affairs and Communications (Shri I. K. Gujaral (a) and (b). No Sir.

(c) Transmission of some telegrams after booking was however, withheld on the 9th March, 67 under section 5(i) (b) of the Indian Telegraph Act.

Pandey Commission Report on Bastar Incidents

*288. Shri Nath Pai:
Shri Hukam Chand
Kachhavaiya:
Shri Ram Singh:
Shri Naraln Sarup Sharma:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have received a copy of the Pandey Commission Report on the incidents of Jagdalpur (Bastar);
- (b) whether Government have studied the Report; and
- (c) whether a copy of the Report will be laid on the Table of the House?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukia): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) Since the Commission was appointed by the state Government it is for them to consider the report.
- (c) In view of the fact that the report has already been made public by the Government of Madhya prodesh, it is not proposed to lay a copy on the Table of the House. A few copies will, however, be made available to the library.

गो-हरया विरोधी मान्बोलन

*288-क. भी प्रकाशबीर शास्त्री : भी कंबर लाल एस :}

क्या गृह-कार्य मती यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) गो-हत्या विरोधी धान्दोलन और इस उद्देश्य के लिये कुछ प्रमुख महात्माओं द्वारा किये गये धनशन के बारे में वर्तमान स्थिति क्या है:
- । (ख) क्या सरकार ने इस बारे में अब तक कोई निर्णय किया है और यदि हां, तो क्या निर्णय किया है : और
- (ग) जो राज्य गो-हत्या पर पूर्णतया रांक लगाने के पक्ष में नही है उनको इस सम्बन्ध में राजी करने में कितना समय लगने की सम्भावना है?

गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (बी विद्या चरण शुक्त): (क) ग्रनगन समाप्त कर दिये गये हैं किन्तु भ्राम्दोलन जारी है।

(ख) सरकार ने 5 जनवरी 1967 को जारी किये गये एक बक्तव्य में गो रक्षा के प्रक्रन पर विचार करने के लिये एक समिति स्थापित करने के निर्णय की घोषणा कर दी है। इस समिति के सदस्यों के नाम तथा उसके निर्देश पदों की घोषणा जस्दी ही कर दी कारकी। (ग) ऐसे राज्यों से सम्पर्कस्थापित करने की शीध्र चेष्टा की जाएगी।

Postal Marks

- 510. Dr. Karni Singh: Will the Minister of Communications be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that the postal marks (Seals) of the Delhi Post Offices are so worn out that even the date of posting cannot be read clearly; and
- (b) if so, the steps taken to improve the matters?

The Minister of State in the Departments of Parliamentary Affairs and Communications (Shri I. R. Gujral):
(a) As a rule, worn out stamps are replaced as soon as they become unfit for use. However, the main cause for illegible impressions is due to the fact that some officials do not take sufficient care in obtaining clear and legible impressions particularly during rush periods.

- (b) The following steps have been taken:
- (i) Detailed instructions have been issued to heads of offices to check the condition of stamps periodically and to ensure that they are regularly oiled and cleaned.
- (ii) A machine has been devised to clean stamps and this machine has been supplied to a number of large offices.
- (iii) Heads of offices have beer asked to exercise proper supervision on the work of those who are entrusted with the stamping duties.
- (iv) Action is also being taken to ensure that the stamps and seals manufactured by the Postal Stamps and Seals Co-operative Society, Aligarh, are of the requisite standard.
- (v) Special drives are also being conducted from time to time to check whether impressions of stamps are legible and clear.

Promotions in the Central Hindi Directorate

511. Shri Kanwar Lai Gupta: Shri Yashpel Singh:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether some promotions for the posts of Upper Division Clerks were made in the Central Hindi Directorate in 1961 against the orders of the Ministry of Home Affairs;
- (b) if so, whether any action has been taken against the officer concerned who committed this irregularity;
- (c) whether any benefit of seniority or otherwise has been given to the persons who were adversely affected on account of these irregular promotions; and
 - (d) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Prof. Sher Singh): (a) In 1961, a few posts of Upper Division Clerks in the Central Hindi Directorate were filled by promotion of Lower Division Clerks on the basis of seniority-cum-fitness. The seniority list had been prepared by the Directorate tentatively in accordance with the instructions issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs in the year It later transpired that the 1949. Ministry of Home Affairs had revised those instructions. The seniority list was accordingly reviewed in the light of the revised instruction in consultation with the Ministry of Affairs and a fresh seniority list was drawn up. The promotions earlier on the basis of the old tentative seniority list were thereafter reviewed and persons promoted according to the revised seniority list.

(b) It was bonafide mistake and the question of taking any action against any officer was not considered necessary. (c) and (d). The promoters have been given seniority from the state of their promotion. A few persons have, however, represented in the matter and their representations are under active consideration.

Written Answers

Grants to Political Sufferers

512. Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of applications received from the political sufferers in Orissa for grants by the Centra' Government during the last four months; and
- (b) the amount of financial assistance provided to them during the same period?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) Three.

(b) Rs 300'- granted to one of them.

Strength of Judges of High Courts

513. Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka-

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the total strength of High Court Judges in India at present; and
- (b) the number of Scheduled Caste, and Scheduled Tribes amongst them?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) 222 as on 1st April, 1967.

(b) Government do not keep any record of the caste to which a High Court Judge belongs.

Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Candidates Registered in Employment Exchanges

514. Shri Dhuleshwar Meena. Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:

Will the Minister of Labour and **Echabilitation** be pleased to state.

- (a) the number of candidates belonging to the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes registered with the various Employment Exchanges in Orissa as on the 31st December, 1965; and
- (b) the number out of them provided with employment assistance upto the end of December, 1966?

The Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b). Information is given below:—

Category of applicants	No on Live Register as on 31-12-66	No. pla- ced in 4 employ- ment dunng Jan December, 1966
Scheduled Castes .	6,473	1,899
Scheduled Tribes .	8,294	1,625

Deposits in Post Offices

515. Shri Dhuleshwar Moena: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:

Will the Minister of Communications be pleased to state the amount of gross deposits in various Post Offices of Orissa under the scheme of Small Savings Drive as on the 31st December, 1966?

The Minister of State in the Departments of Parliamentary Affairs and Communications (Shri L K. Gujral): The total amount of gross deposits made under various small

savings schemes in all the Post Offices in Orissa as on 31-12-66 is not readily available. However, the total amount of deposits during the period from 1-4-66 to 31-12-66 is Rs. 8.48.80,000 and the amount of Net Deposits for the same period is Rs. 2,20,15,000.

Agricultural and Plantation Workers' Trade Unions

516. Shri S. C. Jha: Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) the present number of the agricultural and plantation workers in the country; and
- (b) the number of Trade Unions representing the agricultural and plantation workers?

The Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b). The present number of agricultural and plantation workers and the Trade Unions representing them is not readily available. However, the information available is given below:—

(i) No. of agricul-	
tural workers as	
per Census of	
India, 1961' .	

3 · 15 crores 1

(ii) No. of plantation workers as per Census of India 1961

11.90 lakhs

(iii) No. of Trade Unions representing agricultural workers .

247

(iv) No. of Trade Unions representing plantstion workers .

130

बोस्ट बाई की कीमत में क्वी

517. थी वे॰ जि॰ पादिल : श्री बस्तवन्त :

भी तु॰ ष्ण॰ पाडिल : of wind :

क्या संखार मंत्री यह बताने की कपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या सरकार ने पोस्ट कार्ड की कीयत 6 पैसे से घटाकर 5 पैसे करने का निर्णय किया है : भीर
- (क) यदि हा, दो इसके परिणामस्बरूप सरकार को प्रति वयं राजन्व के रूप में कितनी हानि होने की संभावना है ?

संतद-कार्य विभाग तथा संचार विभाग में राज्य मंत्री (भी इन्द्र कुमार गजराल) : (क) जीनही।

(ख) प्रश्न ही नही उठना।

हिन्दी टेलीप्रिटरों का निर्माण 518. भी दे० शि० पाटिल : भी बसबन्त : भी तु० च० पाटिल : भी कांबले :

क्या संचार मनी यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) हिन्दी टेलीप्रिटरो का निर्माण करने तथा हिन्दुस्तान टेलीप्रिटसं लिमिटेड की क्षमता बढ़ाने के मामले में क्या प्रगति हुई है: भीर
- (ख) प्रति वर्षं कितने टेलीप्रिटर बनाये जायेंगे ?

संसद-कार्य विभाग तथा संचार विभाग में राज्य मंत्री (श्री इन्द्र कुमार गवराल) : (क) और (ख). 1970-71 तक विभिन्न अवस्थाओं में हिन्दस्तान टेलीप्रिटर्स लिमिटेड की उत्पादन क्षमता की प्रति वर्ष 1300 घटट टेमीप्रिटर मशीनें बनाने के बढाकर 8500 बदद टेलीप्रिटर मजीनें बनानें तक कर देने का विस्तार-कार्येकम कम्पनी ने धारम्भ कर विवा है त्या संतोषजनक त्रगति कर रहा है। 1966-67 के वर्ष में 2600 से प्रशिक टेलीप्रिटरों के निर्माण की बाशा है। 1966-68 के वर्ष के लिये 3500 घटड टेलीप्रिटर मशीनें बनाने का लक्य रखा गया है।

हिन्दी टेलीप्रिटरों के निर्माण के लिये हिन्दस्तान टेलीप्रिटर्स लिमिटेड झपने इटालीय सहयोगियों सर्व श्री प्रालिवेसी से इटासीय उधार के प्रधीन भौजारों भौर जानकारी की पुलि का एक संविदा करने के विषय में बात-चित कर ग्हा है।

जम्म और काश्मीर तथा दिल्ली के बीच सीची टेलीफोन व्यवस्था

> 519. भी दे० जि० पाटिल : भी बसवन्त : भी त० प्र० पाटिल : भी कांबले :

क्या संचार मंत्री यह बनाने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) जम्मू और काश्मीर को दिल्ली में सीधी टेलीफोन व्यवस्था द्वारा मिलाने के काम में कितनी प्रगति हुई है ; भीर
- (ख) इस सेवा के कब नक चान हो जाने की संभावा है ?

संचार-कार्य विजाग तथा संचार विजान में राज्य मंत्री (भी इन्द्र कुमार गुजराल) : (क) जालन्धर-जम्मू तथा श्रीनगर के बीख सुक्मतरंग प्रणाली लगाने का काम पूरा हो चुका है और इस प्रणाली को चालू करने के परीक्षण हो रहे हैं। इस प्रणाली पर उपलब्ध हुए परिपथों से दिल्ली-श्रीनगर, दिल्ली-जम्भ तथा जम्मू-श्रीनगर मागौ पर उपभोक्ता टुंक डावलिंग सेवा चान करना संभव हो जाएगा ।

(बा) दो से तीन महीने तक।

पोस्तल स्वोर डिपो

520. **जी राजाबतार वास्त्री**: स्रा संचार मती यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या डाक व तार विभगग ने भ्रापने पोस्टल स्टोर डिपो का पुनर्गंटन किया है ,
- (ख) क्या चलीगढ, नासिक गोड और कलकत्ता के स्टोर डिपो को चलग एकक बोबित किया गया है : और
- (ग) यदि हा तो पटना, मुजरूप गुर लखनक भीर भन्य स्थानो के स्टोर डिपो को झलग भलग एक घोषित न किये जान के क्या कारण है ?

ससद-कार्य विभाग तथा सचार विभाग में राज्य मत्री (भी इन्त्र कुमार गुजराल) : (क) जी हा, दिल्ली मौर जम्मू तथा काण्मीर सकेलो को छोड कर ।

(ख) जीहा।

(ग) य दिया भी अलग अलग यूनिटे है, किन्तु च्कि उनकी मिन्बन्दी छोटी होती है, अत पडीम के डाक-मण्डलो से कर्मचारी ले लिये जाने है जब कि अलोगढ, नासिक गोड और कलकत्ता में ऐसा नहीं है ।

New Schools opened by N D.M C,

521. Shri Eswara Reddy: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of new schools opened by the New Delhi Municipal Committee during the years 1965-66 and 1966-67 and the details thereof; and
- (b) the reasons for not opening more new schools in 1966-67?

B.

The Minister of State in the Minis-

try of Education (Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad): (a) 1965-66 2 Schools:

1 M B Primary School Uttar Railway Colony, Sardar Patel Marg, New Delhi, and 2 M B Primary School No 5 Kidwai Nagar, New Delhi

1966-67 Nil

(b) The enrolment position in 1966-67 did not warrant the r e i for opening any new school.

Merger of New Delhi Schools

522. Shri Eswara Reddy. Wil the Minister of Education be pleased to state

- (a) the number of schools managed by the New Delhi Municipal Committee in 1966-67 and the number of children studying in these schools,
- (b) whether there is a proposal to merge various schools in the same locality into one school and if so the reasons therefor
- (c) whether teachers and Headmasters of these schools have objected to such a merger on the ground that after merger, the number of children in each schools will be un-manageable, and
- (d) if so the decision taken in the matter?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad). (a) Number of schools 81

Number of children attending

26 /53

- (b) Yes, Sir 8 Primary schools are to be merged with effect from 1-5-1967 This is being done in order to reduce the number of tented schools, minimise overhead expenditure and to have effective control and supervisin over the school children
 - (c) No. Sar

(d) Question does not arise

Schools in New Delhi Area

523. Shri Eswara Roddy: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of schools in New Delhi area managed by the Delhi Municipal Corporation and the Delhi Administration: and
- (b) whether all these schoo s work in double shifts?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad): (a) Delhi Municipal Corporation: 428 schools.

Delhi Administration: 69 school-

(b) No. Sir, the number of schools working in double shifts is as lows: -

Delhi Municipal Corpora-

tion: 401 schools.

Delhi Administration: 28 schools.

Christian Missionaries in India

524. Shri Baburao Patel: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Christian missions and missionaries in India;
- (b) the different countries from where they have come:
- (c) the names of the countries and amount of funds received by these missionaries:
- (d) the number of Hindus converted hy these missionaries. State-wise, during the last five years;
- (e) the districts where these missionaries have been extra active:
- (f) the steps taken to stop mass conversions in the country; and
 - (g) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukka): (a) The number of recognised foreign missions and that of foreign Christian Missionaries re-

gistered in India as on 1st January. 1966 was 114 and 4,214 respectively.

Written Answers

- (b) Almost all the countries of the world.
- (c) A statement giving information for the year 1965, which is the latest available, is laid on the Table of the [Placed in Library. See No. House. LT-242/67.1
- (d) and (c). There is no law providing for the registration of conversions from one religion to another. The information asked for according to religion, States or regions is not therefore, available. However, 8305 persons are resorted to have been baptised or converted into Christianity since 1962.
- (f) No instance of mass conversions have come to notice.
 - (g) Does not arise.

धनबाद की कोयला जानों सम्बन्धी धीचोगिक समिति

525. भी राम सिंह : भी हक्तम चन्द कलवाय :

क्या अस तथा पुनर्दास मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि धनबाद की कोयला खानों सम्बन्धी ग्रीखोगिक समिति ने भपना प्रतिबेदन दे दिया है :
- (ख) यदि हा, तो उनका अयीरा क्या है; चौर
- (ग) इस सम्बन्ध में क्या कार्यवाही की गई है ?

थम तथा पुनर्वास मंत्री (थी हाबी) : (क) भीर (ख). विवरण, जिसमें धनवाद में 30 जनवरी 1967 को हए कोयला खनन सम्बन्धी भौद्यो-शिक समिति के 10वें खिश्रवेशन के मुख्य निर्णय दिये गये हैं. भाज सोक सभा की नेज पर रखा वा रहा है।

(य) ये निर्णय सब सम्बन्धित पक्षों की धावस्थक कार्यवाही के लिए मेज दिये गये हैं ।

Schools run by Christian Missions

526. Shri Baburao Patel: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of schools run by the Christian Missionaries in India;
- (b) the number and names of those among them that get State aid;
- (c) the total amount of State aid given to such schools;
- (d) whether these Mission-owned schools insist on teaching Christian prayers and the Catechism to the non-Christian children; and
- (c) if so, the number of such schools?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad): (a) to (e). The information is being obtained and will be laid on the Table of the House

Firing at Imphai

the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 737 on the 8th December, 1965 and state whether Government have taken any decision, in pursuance of the Mitra Commission report to take action against those Officers who were held responsible for the firing at Imphal on the 27th August, 1965?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shuk'u): A case has been registered by the Central Bureau of Investigation, under section 302/304/304A/307 and 308 IPC read with section 34 IPC, against officers and men of 1st battalion Manipur Rifles, in respect of the second firing in which one Waikhon Nilmani Singh, died. The investigation of the case is in progress

धानन्द्र मार्ग संस्था

528. बी विभूति विख: बी कं० ना० तिवारी: बी प्रशित रंजन:

क्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृषा करेगे कि

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि 'झानन्द मागै' नामक एक नई धार्मिक संस्था तथा राजनीतिक संस्था देश में काम कर रही हैं,
- (ख) यदि हा, तो क्या सरकार को यह पता है कि इस संस्था के संस्थापक तथा प्रायोजक श्री प्रभात रंजन सरकार (ग्रानन्थ मूर्ति) जमालपुर से पूर्व रेलवे के किसी कार्यालय में काम करने हैं
- (ग) क्या उन्होने इस सस्या में काम करने के लिए सरकार से अनुमति नी बी, और
- (घ) क्या सरकार को इस बात का पता है कि इस सस्या ने हाल के ब्रास चुनाचों में स्वतन्त्र उम्मीदवारों के रूप में ब्रापने उम्मीदवार खडे किये थे ?

गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (थी विद्या चरण शुक्ल) (क) सरकार को मानन्द मार्ग के नाम से पुकारी जाने वाली एक सस्या के बारे मे पता है ।

(ख) से (घ) धावश्यक जाच की जा रही है।

Attack by Nagas on Chandel Headquarters

529. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether Naga Hostiles equipped with automatic weapons made a surprise attack on Chandel Headquarters of the Tengnuopal Sub-division of Manipur bordering upper Burma on the 22nd February, 1967; 2849

- (b) if so, the facts of the incident; and
 - (c) the action taken in the matter?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) and (b). On the 22nd February, 1967 at 0325 hours about forty Naga hostiles attacked Tengnuopal Sub-division Headquarters at Chandel by opening fire from all directions. The fire was returned by the Manipur Rifles and police and the exchange of fire continued till 0539 hours. There were no casualties on our side

(c) A case has been registered at Chandel Police Station and investigation is proceeding.

Transfer of Files from Puniab Courts to Himschal Pradesh

530. Shri Hem Raj: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that on the reorganisation of Punjab, the Judicial and Revenue files relating to the Punjab Hill Areas which have been merged with Himachal Pradesh have not been transferred to Himachal Pradesh from the Punjab Courts:
- (b) whether it is also a fact that litigants in Himachal Pradesh are suffering as decision on their cases cannot be taken in the absence of these files; and
- (c) if so, the reasons for the files not being transferred to Himachal Pradesh?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) and (b) No, Sit.

(c) Does not arise.

Cochin Dock Labour Board

531, Shri Indrafit Gupta: Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Kerala High Court has issued

injunction against the Cochin Dock Labour Board restraining the Board from implementing its decision of the 8th December, 1966 holding workers, who were on strike, as having abandoned employment;

- (b) whether the Central Industrial Relations machinery had earlier advised the Cochin Dock Labour Board against the above decision; and
- (c) the action taken to prevent the Cochin Dock Labour Board from implementing this illegal decision?

The Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation (Shri Hathi); (a) The Kerala High Court had issued an injunction on the 17th January, 1967 but later it was vacated on the 6th March, 1967. The Union then filed an appeal which was also dismissed on the 27th March, 1967.

(b) No

(c) The Cochin Dock Labour Board was advised to dispose of the question of action against the striking workers under the Standing Orders as early as possible In terms of its decision on 25th March 1967, the Board has taken back the workers who were on strike from 1st to 8th December, 1966.

Dumps of Arms and Ammunition Unearthed in Kashmir

532 Shri Yashpal Singh: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that during the last week of December, 1966, Central Reserve Police unearthed arms and ammunition dumps in Kashmir; and
- (b) if so, the steps taken to stop such infiltration of ammunition?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) No, Sir;

(b) Guarding of CFL border by the security forces and vigilance.

U.S. Lean for Technical Institutes

Minister of Education be pelased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 2627 on the 30th November, 1966 and state the progress so far made in the negotiations for a loan from the Government of U.S.A. to meet the requirements of technical institutes in respect of procurement of equipments manufactured in that country?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhagwat Jha Asad): The United States Agency for International Development has authorised a loam of \$ 12 million for procurement of equipment etc. from the U.S.A. The draft loan agreement is being discussed with the U.S. authorities.

Levy on Industry for Financing Technical Education

534. Shri Yashpal Singh: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 2824 on the 30th November, 1966 and state

- (a) whether the recommendation regarding the levy on industry to raise funds for financing technical education has since been considered by Government: and
- (b) if so, the decision taken thereon?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise,

Theft of Cars and Scooters in Delhi

\$35. Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Hukam Chund Kachhavaiya:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that cases of theft of cars and scooters have com-

siderably increased in the capital during the last three months;

- (b) the number of cars|scooters stolen during this period:
- (c) the steps taken to check this menace; and
- (d) the number of persons arrested in this connection?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shrl Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) and (b). While there has been some increase in the cases of car thefts, the number of scooter thefts has gone down appreciably during the period from 1st December, 1966 to 28th February, 1967 as compared with the corresponding periods of 1965 and 1966. The relevant statistics are given below:—

Period	No. of cars stolen	No. of Scooters stolen
I-12-65 to 28-2-66	30	47
1-12-66 to 28-2-67	36	36

- (c) The following steps have been taken to deal with the problem of motor vehicles'scooter thefts:—
 - (1) The Auto-theft Squad in the Crime Branch of Delhi C.I.D. has been dealing with cases of car thefts since April, 1963. This Squad functions under overall supervision of a Dy Supdt of Police of the Crime Branch and work round-theclock. As soon as a car theft is reported at a police station or to the Police Control Room, information is passed on immediately to the officers of the Squad who take up investigations. Cases of scooter thefts and thefts of other automobiles are handled in each of the three police districts by a specially earmarked staff.
 - (ii) Plain clothed men have been detailed in the affected areas

to detect the culprits and also to lay traps.

- (iii) Division officers and beat constables of the affected police stations have been instructed to be vigilant.
- (iv) Surveillance over the movements of the previous convicts and suspects in car|scooter thefts is being maintained by the Crime Branch with the help of local police.
- (v) Intelligence is collected through persons dealing in auto parts and other sources.
- (vi) The Delhi Police are in touch with their counterparts in Bombay and Calcutta, for purposes of investigation.
- (d) 14 persons have been arrested in car thefts cases and 13 persons in scooter theft cases reported to the police during the period 1st December, 1966 to 28th February 1967.

Employment of Educated and Trained Women

536. Shri S. C. Samanta: Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the statement made by Smt. Durgabai Deshmukh at the meeting of the National Council for Women's Education held on the 8th March, 1967 in New Delhi that 15 lakhs of educated and trained women were sitting idle;
- (b) if so, the reaction of Government thereto;
- (c) whether it is also a fact that women, who are trained in the polytechnics are also not finding any employment; and
- (d) if so, the steps taken to provide full employment to trained and cducated women?

The Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation (Shri Hathi): (a) No.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) No country-wide survey in this regard has been made.

Written Answers

(d) The various development schemes in the Five Year Plans are expected to lead to larger employment opportunities for the educated and trained persons including women.

Hindi Classes conducted by the Home Ministry

- 537. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that the number of persons joining Hindi Classes conducted by his Ministry has decreased; and
 - (b) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) No. Sir. The number of trainees, which had decreased from 40,500 in October, 1965 to 26,500 in July, 1966 has since then gone up again to 34,500 in October, 1966 for which latest figures are available.

(b) Does not arise.

Explosion of Cracker in Regal Park, New Delhi

538. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a man was arrested on the 27th February, 1967 for cracker explosion in Regal Park, New Delhi where a Jan Sangh Rally was being held;
- (b) whether enquiries in to the matter have been completed; and
- (c) if so, the action taken in the matter?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) The investigation is in progress
- (c) Does not arise.

Temporary Central Government Employees

540. Shri Hukam Chand Kacchawaiya: Will the Minister of Home
Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Class III and IV Government Employees in the various Ministries who have put in more than three years service in their particular grade but are still temporary;
- (b) the number of Government Employees who have been working as L.D.Cs. for the last ten years but who have not been promoted; and
 - (c) the reasons therefor?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) to (c). The requisite information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

गोग्रा के बारे में निर्णय

541. श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : क्या पृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या गोग्रा, दमन श्रौर दीव क्षेत्रों को वहां पर राय जानने के लिये हुए मतदान के श्राधार पर संघ राज्य क्षेत्र में रखने के बारे में श्रन्तिम निर्णय कर लिया गया है; भीर
- (ख) गोग्रा को ग्रार्थिक रूप से ग्रात्म-निर्मेर बनाने के लिए क्या प्रयत्न किये जा रहे हैं?

गृह-कार्य मंत्री (श्री यशवन्तराव किहाग): (क) 'राय जानने के लिये' होने वाजे मतदान के परिणाम पर विचार करके मारत सरकार ने गोन्ना, दमन श्रीर दीव को संघ राज्य क्षेत्र बनाये रखने का निश्चय किया है।

(ख) विभिन्न दिशाग्रों में गाग्रा विकास विभिन्न पंच वर्षीय योजनाग्रों में वनाई गई योजनाग्रों के अनुसार हो रहा रहा

Indo-American Educational Foundation

542. Shri C. C. Desai: Shri Nath Pai: Shri R. Barua:

Will the Minister of **Education** be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the proposal to set up an Indo-American Educational Foundation in India has been shelved:
 - (b) if so, the reasons therefor; and
- (c) if not, the upto-date progress regarding the setting up of the Foundation?

The Minister of Education (Dr. Triguna Sen): (a) to (c). There has been no discussion or correspondence on the subject between the Governments of India and the United States of America in recent months. The position remains the same as stated in reply to Question No. 63 on 27th July, 1966 in the House.

Indiscipline among Students

543. Shri C. C. Desai:
Shri S. M. Banerjee:
Shri M. V. Rajasekharan:
Shri R. Barua:
Shri D. N. Patodia:
Shri Ram Charan:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any steps have been taken by Government to check growing indiscipline among the students in the country; and
- (b) if so, the details thereof and the results achieved so far?

The Minister of Education (Dr. Triguna Sen): A statement giving the required information is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-243/67].

Administrative Reforms Commission

Shri C. C. Desai: Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri P. K. Ghosh: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Shri Bibhuti Mishra: Shri K. N. Tiwary: Shri S. Supakar: Shri Ram Kishan Gupta: Shri P. K. Deo: Shri G. C. Naik: Shri K. P. Singh Dec: Shri A. Dipa:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the upto-date progress made by the Administrative Reforms Commission appointed by the Government to streamline administration in the country:
- (b) when the Commission is likely to submit its final report to Government; and
- (c) the action taken so far on the recommendations contained in the Interim Report of the Commission?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) The Administrative Reforms Commission has constituted 18 study teams and 3 working groups for making intensive studies and reporting on various subjects taken up for examination. In addition' 14 such groups have also been constituted by several States for assisting the Commission' So far reports from three study teams have been received by the Commission; most of the remaining are expected to be received by the end of May 1967. The Commission has submitted an interim report on the rederess of public grievances' and expects to send another on the machinery for planning during April' 1967.

(b) It is not possible to give a firm date at this stage, but the Commission aims to complete its work by about the end of 1967.

(c) Attention is invited to paragraph 14 of the President's Address to Parliament on the 18th March 1967. which states the present position in regard to the interim report of the Commission.

National Commission on Labour

545, Shri S. M. Banerjee: Will the Minister of Labour and Renabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) whether National Commission on Labour has started functioning:
 - (b) if so, the progress made by it?

The Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation (Shri Hathi): (a) Yes.

(b) The Commission has neld two meetings so far. It has decides on its line of inquiry and drawn up the programme of work. The Commission hopes to submit its report to Government by the 31st December,

संस्कृत का विकास

546. भी रचबीर सिंह शास्त्री : क्या शिक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे **कि** :

- (क) क्या चौथी पचवर्षीय योजना में सस्कत के विकास के लिये निर्धारित धनराशि के भाधार पर संस्कृत के विकास की योजना की रूपरेखा तैयार कर ली गई है;
- (ख) क्या यह सम्ब है कि इस योजना में संस्कृत की पांडलिपियों के प्रकाशन तथा उनके मम्बन्ध में भी घ्र कार्य करने के लिख धन की व्यवस्था की जायेगी ;
- (ग) क्या योजना में निर्धारित धनगांत को बढाने के सम्बन्ध में सन्कार की कोई प्रस्ताव प्राप्त हुआ है ; भीर
- (घ) यदि हां, तो उसके बारे में सरकार की क्या प्रतिकिया है ?

विका नंपालय में राज्य नंपी (ती॰ स्रोर लिंह): (क) और (ख). जी हां।

- (ग) जी नही।
- (ब) प्रश्न नहीं उठता।

Synthetic Protein from Coal

547. Dr. P. Mondal: Shri S. C. Samanta:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the report that the Dutch laboratories have developed synthetic protein from coal: and
- (b) whether Government are exploring the possibilities of experimentation of the process in the country?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad): (a) Yes, Sir

(b) At present there is no experimental programme in this regard

Shiv Sena, Bombay

548 Shri A. K. Gopalan: Shri Umanath: Shri Namblar: Shri Indulal Yajnik:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the attention of Government was drawn to the activities of the Shiv Sena against the non-Maharashtrians residing in Bombay city.
- (b) if so, whether Government consider the activities of this organisation detrimental to the concept of national integration; and
- (c) the steps taken by Government to protect the non-Maharashtrians in the State in view of the possibilities of its repercussions in other States?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) The Govern-

ment have received a number of letters about the activities of the Shiv Sena. They have also seen some Press reports m this connection.

(b) and (c). The Government are ascertaining the facts from the Government of Maharashtra

Indian Migrants from Burma

549. Shrì Ramachandra Ulaka: Shrì Dhuleshwar Meena:

Wi'l the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to atate:

- (a) the number of migrants of Indian origin from Burma during the last three months; and
- (b) the steps taken to rehabilitate them?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Shri L. N. Mishra): (a) 3,515 repurtiates from Burma have arrived since 1st December, 1966

(b) A statement indicating the rehabilitation measures taken and schemes sanctioned for the repartriates from Burma is laid on the Table of House. [Placed in Library. See No LT-244/67.]

Posting of C.P.W.D. Personnel in Himachal Pradesh

550. Shri Hem Raf: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state.

- (a) whether it is a fact that a Circular [No F-18]19]65 DH(S), dated the 19th October, 1966] was issued by his Ministry to the effect that the C P.W.D. personnel were hable to be transferred to the Himachal Pradesh Union Territory;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that this Circular has created dissatisfaction amongst the PWD. Staff of that territory as it will deprive the Assistant Engineers of that Territory taget their promotion after the integration of the Punjab Hill Areas; and

286T

(c) if so, whether Government propose to keep out Himachal Pradesh from the operation of that Circular?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya (a) Acording to Charan Shukia): Circular No. F-18/19/65-DH(S) dated the 19th October, 1966, 50% of the posts of Assistant Engineers and 75% of the posts of Executive Engineers and above are to be filled by deputation from the Central Public Works Department, Central Water & Power Commission and States.

- (b) The Himachal Pradesh Engineers' Association have represented that vacancies should be filled up by promotion of engineers belonging to the Himachal Pradesh administration.
- (c) The matter is under consideration.

Fourth Plan Allocation for Dandakaranya Project

551. Shri Chintamani Panigrahi: Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) the provisions made for Dandakaranya Project in the year 1967-68;
- (b) whether the original provision of rupees 30 crores in the Fourth Plan period has been revised now; and
 - (c) if so, to what extent?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Shri L. N. Mishra): (a) Rs. 4.50 crores.

(b) & (c). Yes. Sir. The original provision of Rs. 30 crores is proposed to be revised to Rs. 27 crores.

Post Offices to be Opened in Orissa.

552. Shri Chintamani Panigrahi: Will the Minister of Communications be pleased to state the number of new post offices proposed to be opened in Orissa State during 1967-68 and their location?

The Minister of State in the Departments of Parliamentary Affairs

(Shet L and Communications Guiral): Owing to the financial stringency certain restrictions have been imposed on the opening of new Extra Departmental Branch Post offices. In case these are removed 100 Extra Departmental Branch Offices are proposed to be opened in 1967-68. 12 departmental Sub Offices are also likely to be established. The proposed location of 77 offices is furnished in the statement laid on the Table of House. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-245/67]. The remaining proposals are yet to be finalised.

Written Annoers

Assistance to Primary Schools

553. Shri Chintamani Panigrahi: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 2198 on the 23rd November, 1966 and state:

(a) whether the matter regarding the pattern of Central assistance to be given to the States for Primary Schools during the Fourth Five Year Plan has since been considered; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad): (a) and (b). The pattern of assistance to be given to State Governments under Elementary Education has since been decided as follows:-

Pattern

	of Assistance
I. Centrally Sponsored Schemes Teacher Training (Elementary): Correspondence Courses	100%
II. Centrally Sponsored Schemes agreed to be transferred to State Sector Teachers' Training: Elementary Education—Im- provement programmes	50%
III. Centrally Aided Schemes General Education: Special Schemes for Girls Education	75%-
Improvement of Science in middle Schools	75%
Teacher's Training (Elemen- tary and Secondary) .	75%
Other Schemes	40%

कृष्णनगर, परिचन बंगाल में पाकिस्तानी परिचार

554. वी हुकन वाय कलवाय: क्या बृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि नदिया जिले के कुष्णनगर में श्रव भी बड़ी संख्या मे पाकि-स्तानी परिवार श्रवेध रूप से रहते हैं ;
- (का) क्या यह भी मच है कि मार्च, 1967 मे ऐसे मनेक परिवारों को गिरफ्तार किया गया था . भीर
- (ग) यदि हा, तो इन परिवारों को पाकिस्तान वापस भेजने भ्रयवा उस स्थान से हटाने के लिए क्या कार्यवाही की गई है ?

गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री विद्या बरण शुक्ल) : (क) ग्रीर (ख) जी, नहीं।

(ग) प्रश्न ही नहीं उठता।

Continuance of English

555 Shri Nath Pai: Shri S. Supakar:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state

- (a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the statement made by the Chief Minister of Madras that he will approach the Union Government for amending the Constitution to provide for the continuance of English as an associate language;
- (b) whether the Madras Government have sent any proposal to this effect; and
- (c) if so, Government's reaction thereto?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) No. Sir.

(c) Government have already announced that legislation to give statutory recognition to the assurances given in regard to the Official Lunguage of the Union will be shortly introduced in Parliament.

Department of Youth Services

556. Shri Ram Klshau; Shri Yashpul Singh; Shri M, V. Raasekharan;

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state

- (a) whether a new department of Youth Services is being created in his Ministry to mobilize young people for constructive activities; and
 - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Education (Dr. Triguna Sen): (a) and (b) A Division of Youth Services has been created in the Ministry of Education

Increase in Crimes in Delhi

557. Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Mcena:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there has been an increase in crimes of violence in Delhi in the last four months; and
- (b) if so, the remedial and preventive measures proposed to be taken in the matter?

The Minister of State in the Minatry of Home Affairs (Shr] Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Expansion of Scientific and Technological Research

558. Shri Ramachandra U'aka; Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether any plan has been formulated for expanding scientific and technological research in India; and of?

Written Answers (b) if so, the broad features there-

The Minister of State in the Minsry of Education (Shri Bhagwat Jha Asad): (a) The plan for expansion of scientific and techonological research as a whole during the Fourth Five Year Plan is yet to be finalised by the Planning Commission.

(b) Does not arise.

Pak. Spies in India

Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: 559 Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Pakistani spies continued coming to India during the period from December, 1966 to February, 1967:
- (b) the number of those apprehended during the above period; and
- (c) whether some Indians have also been apprehended for giving shelter to them?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shrì Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) and (b). Nineteen persons were arrested this period on suspicion of spying for Pakistan.

(c) Yes. Sir.

Markets on Assam-East Pak. Border

560. Shri Vishwa Nath Pandev: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Union Government have permitted Assam Government to reopen markets on the Assam-East Pakistan border which were sealed after the conflict with Pakistan in September.
- (b) if so, the main causes of such arrangements?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) Yes, on a very limited scale in respect of selected 'hats' only.

(b) The requirements of the local population necessitated the opening of border 'hats'. A close watch is however, being kept on the traffic with Pakistan in these 'hats'.

बिहार में इंडियन सिबिल सर्विस के प्रविकारी

- 561. श्री खॉकार लाल बेरवा : वया गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे 香:
- (क) क्या यह सच है कि बिहार सरकार ने इंडियन मिविल सर्विस के मधी ग्रधिकारियों को केन्द्र में वापस भेजने पर जोर दिया है: धीर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इस पर मरकार की क्वा प्रतिक्रिया है ?

गह-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री (श्री विद्या चरण शक्स) (क) ग्रीर (म्र). जी नही । बिहार सरकार से ऐसा समाब प्राप्त नही हमा।

दिल्ली में हुई हत्यायें

562. भी घोंकार लाल बेरवा : भी घटल विहारी वालपेयी :

क्या गृह-कार्य मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) 1966-67 में दिल्ली में कितनी हत्याएं हुई ;
- (ब) 1965- १६ की तुलना में इनमें कितने प्रतिशत बद्धि हुई है : घीर
- (ग) इन्हें रोकने के लिये क्या कार्य-बाही की जा रही है ?

यृह्-कार्य संवासय में राज्य-नंत्री (श्री विका चरण सुकत) : (क) 67 ।

- (बा) 15 2 प्रतिशत कभी हुई है।
- (ग) जब कभी किन्ही दो दलो में पिछली सबुता का पता चल जाता है तब किसी भी प्रकार के अपराध को होने देने से रोकने के लिये दण्ड प्रक्रिया सहिता की निवारक आराओं के अधीन कार्यवाही की जानी है।

डाक घोर तार विमाग का भवन, कोटा

563. शी झॉकार लाल बेरवा: क्या संवार मन्त्री यह बनाने की कृपा करेंगे कि

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि कोटा, राज-स्थान में डाक ग्रीर तार विभाग के एक बडे भवन का निर्माण हो न्हा है;
- (ख) क्या यह भी सच है कि निर्माण कार्य निर्धारित विवरणों के अनुमार नहीं किया जा रहा है ; और
- (ग) यदि हा, तो इसके क्या कारण है भीर भवन के निर्माण-कार्य को पूरा होने में कितना समय लगने की सम्भावना है?

संसद्-कार्य विभाग तथा संबार विभाग में राज्य-मंत्री (श्री इन्द्रकुमार गुजराल) : (क) जी हा, कोटा, राजस्थान में प्रधान डाकथर व विभागीय तारथर के लिए 7 7 साख रुपये (लगभग) की लागत से एक इमारत बनाई जा रही है।

- (ख) जी नहीं काम निर्घारित विवरणों के अनसार ही किया जा रहा है।
- (ग) इमारत के दिसम्बर 1967 के अन्त तक पूरा हो जाने की प्राशा है।

Protection of Ancient Temples and Monuments in Orissa

564. Shri Chintamani Panigrahi: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether any ancient temples and monuments are proposed to be

taken over for protection in Orissa in 1967-68; and

(b) the amount allotted for repairs, maintenance and beautifying the ancient protected temples and monuments in Bhubaneswar during the Fourth Plan?

The Minister of State in the Minstry of Education (Prof. Sher Singh):

(a) Yes, Sir The Chausatti Jogini temp'e and three adjacent temples at Jharial in Bolangir District of Orissa are under consideration for protection in 1967-68

(b) Conservation and maintenance of protected monuments is a normal activity of the Archaeological Survey of India and funds required for the same are provided from the Survey's annual budget

Cases Referred to C B.I

565. Shri Abdul Ghani Dar: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state

- (a) the number of cases referred to the Central Bureau of Investigation during the last one year,
- (b) the number of cases decided by the CBI, during the above period, and
- (c) the nature of cases referred to the CB.I?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) The Special Police Establishment took up 2466 fresh cases during 1966

(b) Of the 2466 cases, 1418 cases have been decided as below:—

Sent up for trial in Courts—136. Reported for regular departmental action—920

Reported for such action as deemed fit by Ministries/Departments—244.

Closed for want of adequate evidence-118. Remaining 1048 cases are pending enquiry/investigation.

(c) The cases involved allegations relating to bribery, criminal misconduct (showing favours, causing illegal pecuniary advantage, possession of disproportionate assets etc.), misappropriation, cheating, forgery, and departmental misconduct by public servants, and cheating and other malpractices by private persons.

Publication of Encyclopaedia in Regional Languages

566, Shri Biswanaryan Shastri: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have any scheme for giving financial aid for publication of encyclopaedia in regional languages;
- (b) if so, the regional languages which will be covered by the scheme and the amount proposed to be given in each case; and
- (c) whether such aid is to be given directly to the institutions concerned or through the State Governments?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Prof. Sher Singh):
(a) Under the Scheme of Assistance to Voluntary Organisations for Promotion of Modern Indian Langauges grants are given for preparation and/or publication of encyclopaedias:

- (b) All the Modern Indian Languages, other than Hindi and Sanskirt, as set out in the Eighth Schedule to the Constitution of India and other recognised languages including tribal languages are covered by the Scheme. The amount of grants is determined on the merits of each case and for approved items only to the extent of 50 per cent of the total approved expenditure.
- (c) Grants are given direct to Voluntary Organisations/Institutions but the requests for the same have to come through the State Governments except in the cases of organisations of

all India character where the applications may be accepted directly.

Written Anethers

Labour Unrest in Neivell Lignite Corporation

- 567. Shri V. Krishnamoorthi: Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:
- (a) whether Government are aware of the unrest among the employees of the Neiveli Lignite Corporation; and
- (b) if so, the action taken to redress the grievances of the employees?

The Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation (Shri Hathi): (a) Yes.

(b) Some demands of a general nature have been raised by unions other than the one recognised by the management under the Code of Discipline. The Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central) Madras has held discussions with the parties with a view to promote a settlement.

Investigation into escape of Political Persons from Orissa

568. Shri S. Kundu: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Government of Orissa have sought the assistance of the C.I.B. in investigating the alleged escape of certain political persons from India after the announcement of holding the judicial enquiry by the Orissa Government into corruption charges against certain persons in power in Orissa since 1961; and
 - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (2) No. Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

केन्द्रीय सरकार के प्रयीन केविवरों/पानियानेंट प्रसिस्टेंटों के पर

569. बी राज चरण : क्या गृह-कार्य मन्त्री यह बताने की क्रपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि उनके मन्त्रा-क्या ने 24 दिसम्बर, 1963 को विभिन्न अन्त्रानयों को एक कार्यानय ज्ञापन संख्या 21/31/63-सी०एस(ए) भेजा था;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो उन मन्त्रालयों के क्या नाम हैं जिन्होंने उक्त ज्ञापन के अनुसरण में वहां तीन वर्ष से अधिक समय से काम करने वाले कमंत्रारियों का तबादला कर दिया और जिनके स्थान पर अन्य कमंत्रारी रखें गये;
- (ग) मन्त्रालयवार ऐसे कितने पद है जिन पर यही व्यक्ति तीन वर्ष से श्रधिक समय से काम कर रहे हैं; और
- (च) क्या सरकार का विचार विभिन्न मन्त्रालयों को यह प्रादेश देने का है कि वे उक्त आपन में दी गई हिंदायतों का मक्ती से पालन करे?

गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (भी विद्या चरण शुक्ल) : (क) जी हां।

(ख) से (घ) जनत ज्ञापन एक सलाह के तौर पर या और यह बात प्रशामकीय मन्त्रालयों के स्वित्रिक पर छोड़ दी गई यी कि वे समय-समय पर कोपाध्यक्ष, ससद्-सहायक पदों पर काम करने की प्रविध को कार्य की बक्षता को ध्यान में ग्लोत हुए बदल दें। तदनु-सार ऐसे मामलों की सख्या के बारे में कोई बांकड़े नहीं रखें गये जिनमे तबादले किये गये या किये जाने हैं। ये पद केन्द्रीय सचिवालय विपिक सेवा घषवा केन्द्रीय सचिवालय सेवा के सहायक वर्ग में ज्ञामिल हैं। जिनका विकेत्री करण कर दिवा गया है। छतः उन पर सम्बन्धित खैंबर्ष प्रश्लिकारियों धर्मात् सम्बन्धित मन्त्रालय विषया का नियन्त्रण हैं।

100(AI)LED-4

स्वायत्तवासी संस्थाओं, प्रायोगों तथा समिसियों इारा नियुक्त किये गये सेवा-नियुक्त ध्यिकारी

570. श्री राम चरच : स्या गृह-कार्यं मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेगे कि 1965— 66 श्रीर 1966—67 में भारत सरकार के विभिन्न मन्त्रालयों के श्रधीन स्वायत्त्रशासी संस्थाओं, श्रायोगों तथा समितियों में कितने सेवा-निवृत्त राजपत्रित श्रधिकारी नियुक्त किये गये ?

गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री (थीः विद्या चरण शुक्स): सूचना एकवित की जा रही है भीर यथाशीझ सदन के सभा-पटल पर रख दी जायेगी।

जनता से हिन्दी में प्राप्त धाषेदनपत्रों का निवटारा

571. भी राम बरण: क्या शिक्षा मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सब है कि उनके मन्त्रालय
 में संग्रेजी में जनता से प्राप्त सावेदन-पत्नों तथा
 सुझांबो पर तुरन्त कार्यवाही की जाती है
 परन्तु हिन्दी में प्राप्त सावेदन-पत्नो तथा
 सुझावो की उपेक्षा की जाती है सौर उनका
 उत्तर काफी समय है बाद भेजा जाता है;
- (ख) यदि नहीं. तो श्रंग्रेजी में प्राप्त हुए भावेदन-पत्न का उत्तर कितने दिन में दिया जाता है तथा हिन्दी में प्राप्त हुए भावेदन-पत्न भादि का उत्तर देने में कितने दिन लगाए जाते हैं?

शिक्षा मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री (बी भागवत का बाजाद) : (क) जी नहीं।

(ख) सभी प्रकार के आवेदन-पतों का उत्तर देने के लिए कोई निश्चित समय सीमा निर्धारित करना सम्भव नहीं है। सामान्यतया अंग्रेजी और हिन्दी के एक जैसे भावेदन-पत्नों के उत्तर देने में समभग समान समय समता है।

राजनित जनिकारियों की पुनः नियुक्ति

572. जी राम चरच : ग्या शिका मन्त्री यह बताने की कपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सब है कि शिक्षा मन्त्रालय से सेवा निवत्त हुए बहुत से राजपत्रित अधि-कारियों को उस मन्त्रालय के प्रधीन स्वायत्त-शासी निकायों में पन: नियक्त किया गया है;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो उनकी संख्या क्या है तथा उन्हें किन-किन कार्यालयों मे नियुक्त किया गया है : घोर
- (ग) इन पदों को संघ लोक सेवा प्रायोग के बाध्यम से न भरे जाने के क्या कारण है ?

शिक्षा मंत्री (डा० त्रिगुण सेन) : (क) कुछ सेवानिवृत्त राजपवित प्रधिकारी शिक्षा मन्त्रालय के प्रधीन स्वायत्त्रशासी निकायों मे पूनः नियक्त किये गये है।

- (ब) केवल चार । इन्हे निम्नलिखित कार्यालयों मे पून: नियुक्त किया गया है :---
 - (i) केन्द्रीय विद्यालय सगठन, नई दिल्ली।
 - (ii) तिब्बती स्कल सोसायटी, नई दिल्ली ।
 - (iii) केन्द्रीय माध्यमिक शिका बोर्ड, नई दिल्ली ।
 - (iv) राष्ट्रीय गीक्षक धनसन्धांन तथा प्रशिक्षण परिषद, नई दिल्ली ।
- (ग) इन मंगठनो के पदो पर निय्क्ति सम्बन्धी नियमों के बधीन संघ लोक सेवा ब्रायोग के जरिए पदों को भरना भावश्यक नहीं है।

शिक्षा मंत्रालय में हिन्दी का प्रयोग

573. भी राज चरण : न्या शिक्षा मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) उनके मन्त्रालय में हिन्दी के प्रयोग के बारे में यदि कोई बाधाएं हैं ती उनकी दूर

करने के जिये सरकार का नवा कार्यवाही करने का विचार है:

- (व) उनके मन्त्रालय में धवर सचिव तया उससे ऊपर के पदों के कितने अधिकारी केवल शंग्रेजी में ही काम करते हैं : और
- (ग) इस स्थिति को सुधारने के लिबे सरकार ने क्या कार्यवाही की है धवना करने का विचार किया है ?

शिका मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री (जी भागवत का जाजाव): (क) शिक्षा मन्त्रालय में हिन्दी के प्रयोग में कोई विशेष बाधाएं नहीं 81

- (可) 581
- (ग) हिन्दी न जानने वाले प्रधिकारियों को हिन्दी सीखने के लिए प्रोत्साहित करने के प्रयत्न जारी रखे जा रहे हैं।

Clash with Misos on 15-3-1967

574. Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that one Mizo hostile was killed and six others were captured by the security forces in a few raids in hostile hide-outs in Mizo hills on the 15th and 16th March,
- (b) if so, Government's reactions therete?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) On the 15th March 1967, one MNF hostile was killed and six hostiles were apprehended on the 16th March, 1967 seven MNF suspects were apprehended by the security forces.

(b) Operations against the hostiles will continue.

काकीपुर में पुरातस्थीय खुशाई

575. जी हुचन चन्द क्यूबाच : जी राम सिंह : जी नारायण स्वरूप दार्गी :

क्या शिक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सब है कि पाडवों के किले का पता लगाने के लिए उत्तर प्रदेश में काशीपुर में पुरातत्वीय विभाग द्वारा खुदाई की जा रही है:
- (ख) यदि हा, तो क्या इस खुदाई से किले की विद्यमानता के कुछ सकेत मिले हैं, धौर
- (ग) खुदाई कार्य कब तक पूरा हो जाने की मस्भावना है ?

किसा संवालय में राज्य-संबी (प्रीक् सेर सिंह): (क) से (ग). फिलहान इस स्वल पर कोई खुदाई नहीं की जा रही है। किन्तु दबी हुई इमारत को साफ करने तथा उसका स्वरूप निश्चय करने की वृष्टि से मार्च-जून 1966 में सीमित खुदाई की गई बी परन्तु बहा पर किसी किले के विद्यमान होने का कोई सकेत नहीं मिला।

12 सार्च, 1957 को निजो लोगों का सिल्बर जेल से भाग जाना

> 576. वी राज सिंह : वी हुकन चन्द कल्लाय : वी नारायण स्वक्य शर्मा :

क्या गृह-कार्ब मती यह बसाने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

. (क) क्या यह सच है कि 12 मार्च, 1967 को छ: बिब्रोही मिजो नेता कचार जिसे में सिल्चर जेल से माग गये में ;

- (ख) क्या यह भी सच है कि मार्च के महीने में यह बटना इस प्रकार की दूतरी बटना है; ग्रीर
- (ग) यदि हां, तो जेल से इस प्रकार भागने की घटनाधी को रोकने के लिये सरकार ने क्या कार्यवाही की है ?

गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री विद्या चरण शुक्ल): (क) 13 मार्च, 1967 को सिल्वर जेल से 6 मिजो बन्दी भाग निकले थे ।

(ख) जी हा।

(ग) राज्य सरकार सुरक्षा प्रबन्धों को मजबूत करने के लिये कदम उठा रही है। जेल मे प्रतिरिक्त कर्मचारी भी नियुक्त किये जा रहे हैं प्रौर मिजो बन्दियों को प्रन्य जेलों को स्थानान्तरण की व्यवस्था की जा रही है।

Upgrading of a School in a Chandigark Village

577 Shri Shri Chand Goyel: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Gram Panchayat of Village Maliya of the Union Territory of Chandigarh has represented for the upgrading of its school, and
- (b) if so, the decision taken in the matter?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhagwat Jha Asad): (a) Yes Sir.

(b) The matter is under active consideration of the Chandigarh Administration

Irregularities in Sangeet Natak Akademi

578 Shri Babarao Patel: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether an inquiry has been instituted regarding the alleged irre-

gularities committed by the former Secretary of the Sangeet Natak Akademi;

- (b) if so, the name of the person who conducted the inquiry and the results thereof; and
- (e) If the inquiry has not been concluded, the stage at which the matter has reached?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Prof. Sher Singh):
(a) to (c). The Special Police Establishment conducted the enquiry which revealed mis-appropriation of Akademi's money by the former Secretary of the Sangeet Natak Akademi. The charge sheet had been filed against her and other two ex-Accountants of the Akademi on 10-8-61 in a Delhi Court. The matter is still pending in the Court of Law.

Allegations against Shri Atulya Ghosh

579. Shei A. K. Gopalan: Shri Jyotirmoy Basu:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to a recent statement of Puri Sri Sankaracharya involving Shri Atulya Ghosh and demanding investigations in the matter;
- (b) whether Government would consider the holding of an enquiry into the matter; and
 - (c) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) Government have seen press reports of the statement of the Shankaracharya of Puri in this connection.

(b) and (c). A number of criminal cases were instituted in respect of the occurrences of 7th November, 1966. They were thoroughly investigated under the Code of Criminal Procedure and the results of the investigations have been placed before the Courts. No other inquiry is called for.

Mining Engineers

580. Shri A. K. Gopulan: Shri C. K. Chakrapani: Shri Jyotirmoy Basu:

Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Mining Engineers who appeared for "exchange certificate examination" from 1962 to 1968 year-wise;
- (b) the number out of them who passed in the first chance; and
- (c) how many of the failed candidates during that period had their mining education in U.K.?

The Minister of Labour and Rohabilitation (Shri Hathi): (a) 29 appeared in 1962, 43 in 1963, 19 in 1964 and 20 in 1966.

- (b) 4 passed in the first chance in 1962, 5 in 1963, 1 in 1964 and 2 in 1965.
- (c) Information about the failed candidates who had obtained their University education in mining in U.K is not available. All these can didates had, however, obtained U.K. First Class Managers' Certificate by wirtue of practical experience in U.K. mines, where mining conditions are very different from those in India.

मध्य प्रदेश उन्ह न्यायालय के मुख्य न्यायाचीलें की जन्म तिथि सम्बन्धी विवाद

581. डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : श्री शिवचन्त्र सा : श्री रवी राय :

क्या गृह-कार्य मती यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच है कि मध्य प्रदेश उच्च न्यायालय के मुख्य न्यायाधीश के विद्ध यह झारोप लगाया गया है कि उन्होंने सपनी गलत जन्म तिथि लिखाई थी इत्लांकि उनकी बास्तविक कम्मतिथि उनकीशिका सम्बन्धीकानकार में वर्ज है और यह तिथि 9 मप्रैल 1905 की बजाय 19 सार्थ 1907 लिखाई गई है ;

- (बा) यदि हा तो क्या इस झूठ कथन के सम्बन्ध में कोई कार्यवाही की गई है; भीर
- (ग) यदि हां तो क्या और यदि नहीं तो उसके क्या कारण हैं ?

मृह-कार्य मंत्री (श्री यज्ञवरतराय बन्हान):
(क) से (ग), राष्ट्रपित को इस झाशय का झारोप लगाने वाले कुछ प्रध्यावेदन प्राप्त हुए थे कि मध्य प्रदेश उच्च न्यायालय के मुख्य न्यायाधीश ने जन्म तिथि ठोक नहीं लिखाई थी। इनके प्राप्त होने पर इस मामले पर उन्होंने भारत के मुख्य न्यायाधीश केसाथ परामशे करके संविधान के झनच्छेय 217 के झनुसार निर्णय लिया। राष्ट्रपति का निर्णय मध्य प्रदेश उच्च न्यायालय के मुख्य न्यायाधीश की जन्म तिथि 19 मार्च 1907 मान लेने का है।

Police verification for appointments in Public Undertakings

582. Shri C. K. Chakrapani; Shri P. Gopalan:

Will the Minister of Home Affaire be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Police verification for appointment and after appointment is still continuing in the following public sector undertakings;
 - (i) Hindustan Steel Ltd.
 - (ii) Hindustan Machine Tools.
 - (iii) Heavy Electricals Bhopal; and
 - (iv) F.A.C.T., Alwaye.
 - (b) if so, the total number of persons who failed to maintain their jobs during the last five years;
 - (c) the number of persons that have been sent out after serving for mere than six months during this period in these undertakings;

- (d) whether it is a fact that in some States the system of police verification has been aboushed, if so, the names of the States.
- (e) whether the Central Government propose to abolish this system;
 - (f) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shuka): (a) The scheme of verification of character and antecedent of candidates before employment under the Central Government has been introduced to enable the appointing authority to satisfy itself that the candidate is suitable in all respects for such employment. This scheme has been adopted by a number of Public Sector Undertakings including those mentioned in part (a) of the question.

- (b) and (c). This information will be collected and placed on the Table of the House as soon as possible.
- (d) The Government of India have no information, as this falls within the purview of State Governments.
- (e) and (f). There is no proposal under consideration for abolishing this system for the reasons stated in reply to part (a) of the question.

U.P.S.C Examination in Regional Languages

588. Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Shri Ramachandra Uluka: Shri Khagapathi Pradhani: Shri Heerji Bhai:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 59 on the 2nd November. 1966 and state:

- (a) whether the Union Public Service Commission has since finalised the formula for holding the Central Services Examinations in all the fourteen languages; and
- (b) if so, the salient features thereof?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukia): (a) Not ye, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Payment of Bonus Act, 1965

584. Shri Khagapathi Pradhani; Shri Ramachandra Ulaka; Shri Dhuleshwar Mecna; Shri Hirji Bhai;

Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 3.0 on the 16th November, 1966 regarding Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 and state:

- (a) whether the Bipartite Committee held its meeting in January, 1967;
- (b) if so, the subjects discussed thereat; and
- (c) the decision taken by Government thereon?

The Minister of Labour and Rehabititation (Shri Hathi): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) The Committee considered various proposals for the amendment of the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 put forward before the Standing Labour Committee at its meeting held on 26th October, 1966.
- (c) No agreement could be reached between the employers' and workers' representative on any of the proposals. The matter will be placed before the Standing Labour Committee at its next session which is proposed to be held on 10th May, 1967.

Attempt on the Life of Kashmir Chief Minister

585. Shri Khagapathi Pradhani; Shri Ramachandra Ulaka; Shri Dhuleshwar Meenae Shri Hirii Bhai:

Will the Minister of Heme Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 325 on the 16th November, 1986 and state:

- (a) whether the investigations regarding the blast of the hand grenade which was fixed over the Chief Minister of Kashmir have since been completed; and
 - (b) if so, the main features thereof?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) No, Sir

(b) Does not arise.

Wage Board for Film Industry

586. Shri Khagapathi Pradhani: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Shri Hirji Bhai:

Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No 328 on the 18th November, 1366 and state:

- (a) whether the proposal to set up a Wage Board for the film industry has since been considered; and
 - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation (Shri Hathi); (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The composition and the terms of reference of Wage Board are being finalised.

Employment Insurance, Retirement and Family Pension Scheme for Labourers

587. Shri Khagapathi Pradhani: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Moena: Shri Hirii Bhal:

Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 463 on the 23rd November. 1966 and state:

(a) whether the proposals for the introduction of new schemes of ployment insurance, retirement family pension for workers. since been considered; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Labour and Rehabi. Mation (Shri Hathi): (a) No. The proposals are still under consideration.

(b) The details have not yet been finalised.

Reservation of Posts for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes

589. Shri Suraj Bhan: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of posts reserved for the scheduled castes in Class I. Class II and Class III (separately) under the Central Government have been converted as unreserved during the period 26th January, 1950 to 31st December, 1966:
- (b) the number of posts reserved for the scheduled tribes in class II and class III (separately) under the Central Government that have been converted as unreserved during the said period; and
- (c) the steps taken to ensure that the scheduled castes/tribes people got their due share in all categories of Government Services?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya man Shukis): (a) and (b). The required statistics are not available. However, the available information for a period of five years from 1857 to 1961 and for a period of four years from 1960 to 1963 is available and is given below:--

Class		*Total number of vacancies re- served for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes treased as unreserved
	_	†1957—1961
I		1,047
II		2,113
111		25,760
		⁺ 1960—1963
٠,		686
II		921
111		15,773

*Figures separately for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes available.

†Year-wise break-up not available.

(c) The steps taken are indicated in the statement laid in the Table of the House [Placed in Library. See No. L T. IV-246;67].

इटाचा-भिन्ड टेलीफोन सेवा

590. श्री यशक्त सिंह कुषावाहा : क्या संचार मजी यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि .

- (क) क्या इटावा (उत्तर प्रदेश) धौर भिन्ड (मध्य प्रदेश) के बीच सीधी टेलीफोन सेवा धारम्भ करके ने लिये क्या कार्यवाही की गई है, भौर
- (ख) जिन्ह में इटाबा तक ट्रंक काल करने के लिये 1963 से लिये जा रहे शुल्क में कितनी वृद्धि हुई है ?

संसद-कार्य विभाग सथा संचार विभाग में राक्य मंत्री (भी क्षण्रक्रमार गुजराम) : (क) कोई नहीं।

(ब) प्रति यूनिट 50 पैसे बड़ा विए गए है।

जिन्छ-न्वासियर दलीकोन नाइन

591- भी पश्चनत सिंह चुसवाहा : क्या संबाद मजी यह बताने की कृपा करेगे कि :

- (क) 1 फरवरी से 28 फरवरी 1967 तक भिन्ड घीर ग्वालियर के बीच टेलीफोन लाइन कितनी बार खराब हुई ;
- (ख) इतनी जल्दी-जल्दी लाइन खराब हो जाने के क्या कारण हैं; और
- (ग) इस टेलीफोन लाइन के इस प्रकार खराब हो जाने को रोकने तथा टेलीफोच सेवा को सुधारने के लिए क्या कदम उठाये गये है?

संसद्-कार्य विभाग सवा संवार विभाग में राज्य-मंत्री (भी इन्यकुमार गुवरास) : (क) चौदह बार ।

- (ख) गड़बड़ी मुख्य रूप से ताबे की तार की चोरी ग्रीर साइनो में हुई खराबी के कारण हुई।
- (ग) तांबे के तार की जगह ए० सी० एस० बार० वाहक लगाने की योजना बनाई गई है। राज्य के पुलिस श्रधिकारियों से यह प्रार्थना की गई है कि वे तेजी से कार्रवाई करें भीर तांबे के तारो की चोरी की घटनाएं कम से कम हों।

म्बालियर के डलीकीन एक्सबेंज की इमारत

592. श्री यशयन्त तिह कुशवाहा : स्या तंबार मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेगे कि:

- (क) क्या ग्वालियर में स्ववालित टेलीफोन एक्सचेंज की इमारत का निर्माण कार्य कव तक पूरा हो जाने की सम्भावना है; और
- (वा) उस पर कितनी सागत बाने का बनुमान है ?

संसद्-कार्य विजाग तथा संकार विजान कें राज्य-मंत्री (जी इन्द्रकुमार नुजरास) : (क) इमारत के 30 सितम्बर 1967 तक पूरा हो जाने की संमावना है ।

(ब) 17,02,600 इपये।

Cochin Dock Workers

593. Shri C. Janardhanan; Shri Vasudevan Nair; Shri P. C. Adichan;

Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Cochin Dock Workers have put forward certain demands before the authorities;
- (b) if so whether any of these demands has been met; and
 - (c) if not the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation (Shri Hathi): (a) The Cotton Dock Labour Union, which is an unrecognised union, have raised certain demands.

(b) and (c). The matter is under consideration.

Shop Timings in Delhi

594, Shri Madhu Limaye: Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that a representation was made by the representatives of Traders/Employers in Delhi to revise the timings of the opening and closing of shops/commercial establishments which were in force since the 25th October, 1961;
- (b) whether the employees' associations were strongly opposed to the changes suggested by the employers;
- (c) if so, the reason for changing the timings; and
- (d) whether this change was introduced by the Delhi Administration on their own or in consultation with the Lebour Advisory Beard?

The Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation (Shri Hathi); (a) Yes.

- (b) No; but there was some differance of opinion between the employers and employees representatives.
- (e) The closing hour of 7.00 P.M. was not convenient to the public at large.
- (d) In consultation with the Labour Advisory Board of Delhi Administration.

Sirmur Succession

- 595. Shri C. C. Desai: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) the present stage of the case for succession to the old ruler of Sumur in Himachal Pradesh;
- (b) whether he left an adopted son behind who has been recognised for normal inheritance;
- (c) if so, the justification for not recognising the adopted son for the purpose of succession and Government notification; and
- (d) whether it is proposed to lapse the State and if so, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) to (d). The late Ruler of Sirmur did not leave any male issue, or an adopted son, or a near male collateral. Some time after the Ruler's death one of the Maharanis adopted a son of her daughter. After considering all aspects of the matter, and the claim put forward on behalf of the Maharani's adopted son, the President has decided that no person should be recognised as successor to the late Maharania

National Script for All Languages of India

596. Shri Bedabrata Barus: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have under consideration a proposal te develop a national script for all the languages of India; and

(b) if so, the progress made in the matter so far?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Prof. Sher Singh):

(a) and (b). No Sir. However, the Government have recently accepted the recommendations of an Expert Committee and this introduced diacritical marks in the Devanagari script to standardize it, so that other Indian Languages mentioned in the Constitution can also be appropriately written in this script.

Emoluments of Ministers

597. Shri D. N. Patodia: Shri Solanki:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the total quantum of emoluments of a Cabinet Minister, Minister of State and a Deputy Minister after taking into account all the perquisites like subsidised housing, allowances, secretarial and other staff, transport, and all other facilities available to a Minister in discharge of his duties; and
- (b) the total amount of emoluments that the Ministers will be left with after the allowances, perquisites and facilities stated in part (a) above are made subject to income-tax?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) and (b). A statement containing the requisite information is placed on the Table of the House. [Placed in the Library. See No LT-247/87].

Properties acquired by the Central Government

598. Shri Sarjoo Pandey: Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

(a) whether the properties (i) Bazar Thakurganj, Lucknow and (ii) Groves of village Khirkaiya, Shapur were acquired by the Central Government after their partition by the Competent Officer in 1964;

- (b) if not, how the Regional Authorities of U.P. disposed of these properties without their acquisition;
- (c) whether the above authorities were competent to divide and sell them without the help of technical staff in the Department; and
- (d) whether any financial loss has been incurred by Government as a result of the above transaction?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Shri L. N. Mishra): (a) The properties were partitioned by the Competent Officer in April, 1963. Thereafter, they were acquired under the general notification issued under Section 12 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954, issued in December, 1963

- (b) Does not arise
- (c) As the disposal of the properties did not involve any technical problem, help of technical officers was not necessary.
- (d) The sales in the case of 23 purchases have since been finalised and the sale certificates issued in favour of the auction purchasers. In the case of remaining seven cases disputes have arisen and the sales have not yet been finalised.

The properties have been sold by open public auction and only the highest bids offered at the open auction were accepted. The question of loss, therefore, does not arise.

The groves are situated in village Kakramau, Saryan, district Sitspur and not in village Khirkaiya. The sales of these groves have since been cancelled.

Regional Engineering College Hostel and Mess Employees Union, Chathamangalam, Kerala

589. Shri A. Sridharam: Will the

Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Central Government have received any representation from the Regional Engineering College Hostel and Mess Employees Union, Chathamangalam, Kerala, regarding the en masse retrenchment of mess employees; and
- (b) if so, the action taken in the matter?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad): (a) No, Sir. There has been no retrenchment of mess employees.

(b) Does not arise.

टेलीकोन कर्मचारी

600. भी हरस्याल देवनुष : क्या संचार मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेगे कि :

- (क) देश भर में 60 वर्ष से भ्राधिक भागु के किनने कर्मचारी दैनिक बेतन पर तारघरों में काम कर रहे है:
- (क) क्या यह नज है कि देश में प्रस्थायी तार बाबुझों की संख्या 600 से प्रधिक है और इनमें से 100 तार बाबुझो को, जिन्होंने दो वर्ष तक सेवा की है, सेवा समाप्ति के नोटिस जिल गये हैं; और
- (ग) यदि हा, तो ऐसे नोटिस दिये जाने के क्या कारण हैं और क्या ये कारण उन नोटिसों में बताये गये हैं?

संसद्-कार्य विभाग तवा संचार विभाग में राज्य-मंत्री (भी इन्यकुमार गुजरास) : (क) मार्च, 1967 के बीच लगभग 80 ।

(ख) धरवायी तार-संकेतकों की संख्या लगभग 2850 है और वो वर्ष तक की नौकरी वाले उन अस्थायी तार-संकेतकों की संख्या जिन्हें "नियमानुसार काम करो" धान्दोकन के बीरान सेवा-समान्ति के नोटिस वे दिये यये थे, सगझग 170 है। (श) नोटिम केन्द्रीय सिविल सेवा (अस्वामी सेवा) नियमावली, 1965 के नियम 5 के अन्तर्गत असंतोयजनक श्रावरण के कारण दिये गये। इस नियम के अन्तर्गत नौकरी की समाप्ति के लिए कोई कारण बताना जरूरी नहीं है।

Charges for Telephone Calls

601. Shri Jyotirmoy Basu: Will the Minister of Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the charges for a single local telephone call are different in Madras, Bombay and Calcutta;
- (b) if so, the actual charges and the reasons for the difference;
- (c) whether there is also a difference in the annual rental and free calls permitted in these cities; and
- (d) if so, the steps taken to remove this disparity?

The Minister of State in the Departments of Parliamentary Affairs and Communications (Shri I. K. Gujral):

(a) No The charges are 15 paise per call every-where.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) The quarterly rental in the local area of Madras, Bombay, Calcutta and Delhi is Rs. 75, while it is Rs. 60 in other metered exchanges The number of free calls in the same everywhere.
- (d) The difference is justified by higher costs in the very large cities.

Representation of Minorities in Central Government Services

602. Shri Jyotirmoy Basu: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have reorived any complaints regarding the inadequate representation of minority communities (including Muslims from West Bengal) in Central Government Services; and

(b) if so, the steps taken to give adequate representation to such communities?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) Yes, Sur. Representations have been received from time to time from members of various minority communities on this subject.

(b) The Constitution of India guarantees equality of opportunity for all citizens in matters relating to employment or appointment to any office under the State and also provides that no citizen shall be ineligible for or discriminated against on grounds inter also of religion. Reservations in appointments or posts are only permissible in favour of any backward class of citizens, which, in the opinion of the State, is not adequately represented in the services under the State, consistently with the maintenance of efficiency of administration These provisions of the Constitution do not permit of reservations in favour of members of minority communities as such It is hoped that with the improvement in educational facilities and spread of education, the minority communities will gradually secure better representation in the services under the Central Government on the basis of merit.

Telephone Billing in Calcutta

603 Shri Jyotirmoy Basu: Will the Minister of Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Telephone billing in Calcutta is done by accounting machines;
- (b) if so, the total number of employees who have been working in the Billing Department during the last 3 years;
- (c) the number of complaints re-

2802

(d) the steps taken in this regard?

The Minister of State in the Departments of Parliamentary Affairs and Communications (Shri I. E. Gajral):
(a) A major portion of the work is done on the accounting machines through the Service Bureau of Messrs IMB World Trade Corporation, on contract basis.

- (b) Total number of employees (including class IV) for the residual billing and accounting work done manually is 353.
- (c) The exact number of complaints received during 1964-65 is not readily available. 10541 complaints were received during 1965-66.
- (d) Steps had been taken to ensure accuracy in issue of bills

बच्च प्रदेश में टेलीफोन लाइनें लगाना

604. श्री शशि भूषण : क्या संचार मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) खंडवा से खरगोन (मध्य प्रदेश) तक टेलीफोन लाइन लगाने का कार्य, जो टेलीफोन की तांवे की तार की कमी होने के कारण स्वगित कर दिया गया था, कब तक पूरा किया जायेगा; और
- (ख) मध्य प्रदेश के पश्चिम विमान जिले में खरगीन से कासरवान होने हुए सिन्धुमा तक टेलीफोन साइन लगाने के लियं क्या कार्यवाही की गई है?

संसद-कार्य विभाग तथा संवार विभाग में राज्य-वंकी (श्री इसाकुमार गुजरात): (क) 150 पीड प्रति मील के ताबे के तार की, जो कि झामान किया जाने वाला सामान है, झाम कमी है और इसे प्राप्त करने के लिए झावश्यक विदेशी मुद्रा झभी तक नहीं जिली है। बड़ी गेज के निकाले गये ताबे के तार को इस्तेमाल करने के प्रश्न पर, को कि इस समय उपलब्ध हैं, विचार किया जा रहा है ताकि यह काम कालू वित्तीय वर्ष में पूरा किया जा सके ।

(ब) खरणोन सीघी ट्रक लाइन द्वारा सिन्धुमा (सेघवा) होकर इन्दौर से पहले ही जुड़ा है। कासरवात मे उसे धानमोद से जोडकर, जो कि निकटतम एक्सचेंज है भौर सामान्य ट्रंक लाइनों पर है, एक दूरस्थ सावंजनिक टेलीफोन घर खोला जा रहा है। फिर भी कासरवात होकर खरणोन से सिन्धुमा तक लाइन लगाने का कोई प्रस्ताब नहीं है, किन्तु खरगोन से मिन्धुमा तक सीधा मार्ग बनाने का प्रस्ताब है।

विल्ली में सर्वतनिक मेजिस्ट्रेड-

605. श्री हरबवाल वेबगुण: क्या गृह-कार्ब मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) दिल्ली में कितने धवैतिनिक मैजिस्ट्रेट हैं तथा उनकी नियुक्ति के लिये क्या धर्हतायें तथा शर्ते निर्धारित की गई हैं;
- (बा) क्या सरकार को पता है कि इन में से कुछ मैजिस्ट्रेट इन महैताओं घौर शर्तों को पूरा नहीं करने; घौर
- (ग) क्या इस के बार में जनना के कड़े विरोध को ध्यान में रखने हुए सरकार का विचार प्रवैतनिक मैजिस्ट्रेटों के पदों को समाप्त करने का है?

गृह-कार्य नंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री (श्री विद्या चरण गुक्त) : (क) 1-4-67 को ग्रवैनिक मैजिन्ट्रेटो की संख्या 39 थी।

धवैतनिक मैजिस्ट्रेटों की नियुक्ति के लिये धहंताओं धौर शतों को बताने वाला एक विवरण सभा पटल पर रखा है। [पुस्स-कालय व रखा गया। वेलिये संख्या एल० डी० 251/67]

(ख) सब-मजिस्ट्रेट धावस्थक अर्हुताओं को पूरा करते हैं। (ग) इन पदों के विरुद्ध कोई शिकामत सरकार की प्राप्त नहीं हुई है। इन पदों की समाप्त करने का कोई प्रस्ताव सरकार के पास विचाराधीन नहीं है।

Salary of Police Cadres in Delhi

666. Shri Bal Raj Madhok: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Police cadres serving in Delhi bad a parity of salary with cadres in the Revenue Department till 1947:
- (b) whether it is also a fact that parity no longer exists and that the salaries of grades of Police cadres are now much lower than those enjoyed by their counterparts in the Revenue Department; and
- (c) if so, the reasons therefor and whether Government contemplate taking any action to remove this disparity?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Grievances of Shore Labour

607. Shri Indrajit Gupta: Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a Commission of Inquiry was set up on 18-3-66 to go into the grievances of "B" Category Shore Labour under the various Port Trusts:
- (b) whether the Commission has submitted its findings and recommendations; and
- (c) if not, the reasons therefore despite the 6 months' time-limit specified under the Industrial Disputes Act?

The Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation (Sari Hathi): (a) The Commission of Inquiry was set up on 19.3-1966.

- (b) No.
- (c) Section 14 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 requires the Court to report to the Government ordinarily within six months from the commencement of the Inquiry. Due to pressure of other adjudication work in hand the Court has not been able to submit its findings. It is expected to complete the work by the end of May 1967.

Job Security for Employees of Oil Companies

608. Shri Indrajit Gupta: Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the job security of the Indian employees of foreign oil companies in India continues to be threatened by such anti-labour measures as enforced retirement, introduction of automatic computers and the closure of establishments; and
- (b) whether Government propose to take any further measures to safeguard job security, especially in view of the huge profits earned by these companies?

The Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b). Issues relating to job security in foreign oil companies in India are proposed to be discussed at a tripartite meeting on April 28, 1987.

Ridnapping of a Girl in Delhi

669 Shri Kanwar Lai Gupta: Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Shri Narayan Swaroop Sharma: Shri P. K. Deo:

Shri P. K. Dec: Shri Baburao Patel:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that one college student, Miss Kanta Vohra was kidnapped on the 7th March, while going to the Women's Polytechnic College, Kashmere Gate, Delhi;
- (b) whether the guardian of the girl made a complaint at the Jama

Masjid Police Station on the same day but no action was taken by the Police Station authorities;

- (c) wheher it is also a fact that she has not been traced so far:
- (d) whether it is also a fact that 4 children of Delhi Cantonment area were kidnapped nearly 2 years ago but the Police has not been able to trace them so far; and
- (e) the steps Government propose to take to stop such incidents?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) and (b). A complain was lodged at the Jama Massid Police Station on 8-3-1967 by a resident of Turkman Gate stating that his daughter had been kidnapped. She has been missing since 7-3-1967 A case under Section 363 I.P.C. was registered at the Police Station and immediate investigation was taken up

- (c) Yes, Sir.
- (d) The Delhi Police are on the alert for any clues to the recovery of the 4 missing children of Delhi Cantonment.
- (e) The Delhi Police have taken special steps to keep the activities of anti-social elements under effective check.

वनबाद कोयला लानों में बुर्घटनायें

610. श्री राम सिंह : श्री हक्तम श्रन्द कल्ल्बाय :

क्या श्राम सचा पुनर्जास मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि वर्ष 1966 तथा 1967 में घनबाद की कोयला खानों में घनेक दुर्घटनाएं हुईं, जिनके परिणामस्वरूप घनेक व्यक्तियों की मृत्यु हो गई;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इससे जान भीर मान का कितना नुकसान हुआ; भीर

(ग) सरकार ने किसने कनैचारियों को सहायता वी है और इस प्रकार कितनी राखि की सहायता दी गई है?

अस तथा पुनर्वात मंत्री (श्री हाथी): (क), (ख) धीर (ग). धनबाद जिला की कोयला खानों में 1966 के दौरान और 1967 की पहली तिमाही के दौरान हुई घातक दुर्घटनाधों की संख्या कमश 65 धौर 16 थी। इन दुर्घटनाधों में मरे व्यक्तियों की संख्या 1966 के दौरान 77 धौर 1967 की पहली तिमाही के दौरान 25 थी। 1967 के धावके कच्चे है। सम्पत्ति की हानि तथा प्रश्न के भाग (ग) के सम्बन्ध में सूचना एकव की जा रही है और सदन की मेज पर रख दी जायगी।

गोवा में एक कीयला सान में इर्घटना

611. भी राज सिंह: भी हुकम चन्च कछवाय:

क्या **गृह-कार्य** मंत्री यह बनाने की कृपा करेगे कि

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि गोश्रा में सुग्यान तालुक में बटदाना गाव में हुई एक दुर्बटना में 11 व्यक्ति मारे गये और तीन अन्य गक्तियों को चोटे आई;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इस दुर्घटना के क्या कारण थे, ग्रीर
- (ग) मृत-व्यक्तियों के परिवारों के लोगों को सरकार ने कितनी महायता दी है?
- गृह-कार्य मंत्रास्त्य में राज्य मंत्री (जी विद्या चरण अक्त): (क)': 23-3-67 को बरजाना ग्राम में एक विस्कोटकागार में नी व्यक्ति मारे गये ग्रीर ६ की मामूनी बोर्टें ग्राई ।
- (ख) यह घटना उस समय हुई जब् बिस्फोटकागार, जिसमें सुरंगें लगाने के सिस् प्रकोग में साथ जाने वाले विस्फोटक रख

ने, कुका का बीर उसमें सफाई की जा रही की। कारण क्यों तक पता नहीं कला। जांच का कादेश दे दिवा गया है।

(ग) मृत तथा धाहत व्यक्तियों के परिवारों को क्षति पूर्ति के रूप में 2150 क्षये की राशि दी गई।

नागाओं द्वारा करों की बच्चली

612. भी राम सिंह: भी हमभ कार महासाम:

नया गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि .

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि विद्रोही नागा लोग शासाम में शिव मागर जिले के तिनवाड़ सब-डिवीजन के गांवों में 10 रुपये प्रति परिवार के हिमाब से जबरदस्ती कर वस्न कर रहे है:
- (ख) क्या यह भी सच है कि वे दोरों को उठा ले जाते हैं और उन्हें तब वापिस करने हैं अब उन्हें कर की राणि देदी जाती है:; और
- (ग) यदि हां, तो इस मामले में केन्द्रीय मरकार तथा राज्य सरकार ने क्या कार्यवाही की है?

मृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (बी विका चरण पुरूत): (क) से (ग), प्रासाम नागालेंड सीमा पर जबदंस्ती धन एकतिन करने के कुछ मामलों के बारे में मूचना मिली है। राज्य सरकार से ब्यौरा मंगाया जा रहा है भीर यण समय मदन के संधा-पटल पर रख विवा जायेगा।

Kidnapping of Young Girls in Delhl 613. Shri Baburao Patel: Will the

Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

 (a) the number of young girls that have been reported missing or kidnapped from Delhi since January, 1967;

- (b) the action taken by Government to recover these missing girls; and
- (e) the measures taken by Government to prevent such kidnappings in future?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) and (b). During the months of January, February and March (upto 25-3-67)) 54 girls and women were reported to have been kidnapped or abducted. 41 of them have already been recovered. The Delhi Police are making vigorous efforts to trace the remaining missing persons.

(c) The Delhi Police have taken special steps to keep the activities of anti-social and criminal elements under effective check.

Arrests under D.I.R./Preventive
Detention Act during Indo-Pak
Conflict in 1965

614. Shri George Fernandes: Shri J. H. Patel:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Indian citizens detained for security reasons or on any other count under the Defence of India Rules and/or the Preventive Detention Act during the hostilities between India and Pakistan in the months of August, September and October, 1965; and
- (b) how many of them are still "
 under detention?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) and (b). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Status of Urdu

615. Shri George Fernandes: Shri J. H. Patel:

Will the Minister of Home Affairsbe pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have re-

organisations to give Urdu language its rightful place in the country;

- (b) the nature of these representations; and
 - (c) the steps taken in the matter?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c). A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-248/67].

Indian Students studying Abroad

616. Shri George Fernandes: Shri J. H. Patel:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Indian students studying abroad, country-wise:
- (b) whether any complaints have been received from the Indian students studying in the United Kingdom; and if so, the grievances listed by them; and
- (c) the action taken on those complaints?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Prof. Sher Singh):

(a) According to the latest available information, the total number of Indian Students sudying abroad, countrywise. Is given in the Annexure. [Placed in the Library. See No LT-248/67]

- (b) No. Sir.
- (c) Does not arise.

Indian Smugglers killed in Encounters

- 617. Shri Suraj Bhan: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) the number of the Indian smugglers killed in encounters with the Indian border authorities upto the middle of August, 1965 i.e., before the Indo-Pak conflict;

- (b) the quantity and nature of the ammunition recovered from the smugglers; and
- (c) whether the ammunition thus recovered was licensed one?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vigya Charan Shukia): (a) to (c) (a) Nine.

- (b) (i) Revolvers ... 6
 (ii) Pistols ... 2
 (iii) Cartridges—
 (a) live ... 79
 (b) Empty ... 8
 (c) Missed ... 1
- (c) The arms and ammunition recovered were not licensed.

(The above figures cover the period of five years before August 1965 and exclude J and K from where information is awaited.)

Teachers of Remedial Classes held by Central Board of Higher Secondary Education, Delhi

- 618. Shri R. Barua: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question Na. 2463 on the 17th August, 1966 and state:
- (a) whether the remedial classes were also held in the subject of English for the students placed in compartment by the Central Board of Higher Secondary Education, Delhi in 1965 summer vacation from 15th June, 1965:
- (b) whether trained Graduate teachers detailed to teach these students have not been given pecuniary benefits at par with the teachers of other subjects; and
- (c) if so, the reasons for the nonpayment of the remuneration to the English teachers?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhagwat Jha Asad):

- (a) Na, Sir.
- (b) and (c). Does not arise.

Financial Crisis in D.M.C.

619. Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Delhi Municipal Corporation has sent any representation to Government for subsidy or loan;
- (b) whether it is a fact that the Delhi Municipal Corporation is in financial crisis; and
- (c) the action taken by Government to remove this difficulty of the Corporation?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): (a) The Delhi Municipal Corporation has been approaching the Government from time to time for grants-in-aid and special loans.

- (b) The Corporation has been experiencing financial difficulties for some time past.
- (c) Government have been advancing loans and giving grants to the Corporation on a determined pattern from time to time. A Commission of Inquiry has been appointed by Government to enquire into the financial resources and requirements of local bodies in Delhi. Its reports is awaited.

प्रशासनिक सुवार कायोग

620. जी प्रक्ति भूषण : क्या शृह-कार्य मत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) प्रशासनिक सुधार धायोग पर धव तक कितनी धनराशि व्यव की गई है;
- (वा) इसके कार्य पर कितने कम-वारी नियुक्त किये गये हैं और उसमें नये व्यक्ति कितने हैं: और 105 (Ai) LSD-5.

(ग) मंत्री मंडल में भी मुरारजी वेसाई के शामिल हो जाने तथा भी हुनुसंतस्या के चेयरमैन के रूप में नियुक्त हो जाने से इस खायोग में रिक्त हो गये पद परकब तक नियुक्ति हो जाने की मधायना

गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री विद्या घरण शुक्ल) (क) 1965-66 तथा 1966-67 में 22 फरवरी, 1967 तक 16,33,611 रुपया व्यय हुआ।

- (ख) घायोग के सब वर्गों के कर्मचा-रियों की संख्या 160 है। उनमे से 68 घरवायी रूप से नियुक्त किये गए नये कर्मचारी थे।
- (ग) धायोग में और कोई नये सदस्य नियुक्त नहीं किये जा रहे है।

Compensatory Allowance to Employees of Andaman and Nicobar Islands
Administration.

620-A. Shri K. E. Ganceh: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the employees of the Andaman and Nicobar Islands Administration have demanded increase in the rate of compensatory allowance;
- (b) whether the Chief Commisioner's Advisory Council has recommended such an increase;
- (c) if so, the reaction of the Central Government thereto;
- (d) whether it is also a fact that non-permanent industrial staff is notin receipt of this compensatory allowance; and
 - (e) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla):

Written Answers

- (a) and (b). Yes. Sir.
- (c) This demand has been carefully considered by Government and they have not found it possible to agree to
- (d) and (e). All employees drawing regular scales of pay are allowed the compensatory allowance.

Awards for U.S. Seminar on Students' Services

620-B. Shri Jyotirmey Basu: Shri Umanath:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to sate

- (a) whether the award and grants to ten educationists to attend U.S. seminar on students' services have been made through Government:
- (b) the actual role which the recipients for the award are going to play during the two-month seminar; and
- (c) Whether Government are fully satisfied that the C.I A, has no hand in the matter?

The Minister of Education (Dr. Triguna Sen): (a) No. Sir. The ten educationists have been selected by the U.S Educational Foundation in India on the basis of nominations made by the Vice-Chancellors of different universities.

- (b) The selected persons will observe students services programmes at the Earham College (Indiana) and have an intensive programme at Bloomington University, rounded off by a brief evaluation programme in Washington.
- (c) Government have no information about C.I.A. having a hand in the mester.

बीव-विकास तथा प्रयोगारमण बीववि शंत्या. STATE OF THE

626-C. भी रखी राख: भी मच सिमवे :

क्या शिक्षा मली यह बलाने की कृपा करेगे

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि सरकार ने जीव विज्ञान तथा प्रयोगात्मक चीवसि सस्या, कलकत्ता के दिसाव किताव की जांच करने का कार्य विशेष पुलिस संस्था की सींपा था: धीर
- (ख) यदि हा, तो यह जाच करवाने का क्या उद्देश्य था भीर उसका क्या परिणाम रहा ?

शिक्षा मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री भागवत का कावाद): (क) जी नहीं।

(ख) प्रश्न नही उठता ।

Khadi Gramodyog Bhawan, Madras

- 626-D Shri Indrajit Gupta: Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state.
- (a) whether the Khadi Gramodyog Bhawan under the Khadi and Village Industries Board at Madras, has applied for exemption from the provisions of the Payment of Bonus Act as well as the Industrial Disputes Act; and
- (b) if so, whether the exemption has been granted by Government?

The Minister of Labour and Rohabilitation (Shri Hathi): (a) An application has been received from the Khadi and Village Industries Commission for exemption from the provisions of the Payment of Benus Act and not from the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act.

(b) The matter lies in the State sphere and it is for the Madras Government to consider whether the exemption should be granted or not.

12.65 hrs.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

India's Participation in Secret Seminar on Asian Defence held in New Delhi recently with several NATO AND SEATO Member States

Shri Indrajit Gupta (Alipore): I call the attention of the Minister of External Affairs to the following matter of urgent public importance and I request that he may make a statement thereon:—

"India's participation in secret seminar on Asian Defence held in New Delhi recently with several NATO and SEATO member States."

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): A Seminar was held from the 31st March 1967 to 2nd April, 1967, under the joint auspices of the Indian Council of World Affairs and the Institute for Strategic Studies (London), on the problems of Asian Security. The Seminar sought to provide an opportunity for the exchange of views between a group of foreign scholars. Who have made detailed study of the related problems, and persons with similar field of specialisation in India. There were 18 foreign number of an equal Indian participants. The foreign participants consisted of well-known experts from U.K., U.S.A., France, Germany, Sweden, Japan, Australia, Canada and Singapore. The Seminar was private and, by agreement between the two sponsoring organisations, the deliberations were not publicised. The participants, both Indian and foreign, were invited to take part in their individual capacity and it was not the purpose of the Seminar to make any recommendations or forge any consensus from the discussions.

The Institute for Strategic Studies in a non-official body of Internations standing and makes compilation of the studies on defence problems of various countries. These studies have come to be respected all over the world for their factual accuracy. Indeed, they have been quoted by the hon Members on the Floor of this House on many occasions. The Institute has also had similar discussions with representatives of many other countries, including the Soviet Union and the socialist countries of Europe.

The Government of India firmly believe in a free and frank exchange of views among scholars and political scientists of different countries which seminars of the kind referred to seek to promote. We hope to have similar exchanges with other groups of countries. The participation of Indian scholars and Government officials in the current Seminar, or similar ones in the future, does not mean any change in our policies, nor the discussions therein amount to an exercise in mutual conversion.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: It is a very serious matter. May I know whether it is not a fact, firstly, that this Institute of Strategic Studies located in London was formerly a part of the British War Office and is now jointly run by U.S and U.K., partners in NATO, and secondly, whether it is not a fact that the Indian Institute of World Affairs which receives financial assistance from the Government of India, last year sent some representative_I do not know, whom_to London in order to prepare for this Seminar in collaboration with them, and why a secret confabulation of this type concerning Defence matters is held on our soil with the participation of such people as Air Vice Marshal P. C. Lal the Head of our Automatic Establishment, Dr. Vikram Sarabhsi, Gen. Som Dutt and such other important people connected with Defence matters and why everything is kept secret and husband up like this when countries belonging to NATO and SEATO are participating in it?

Shri M. C. Chagia: It is not correct to use the word 'secret'. Let us use the correct English expression 'private'. There is a world of difference between 'secret' and 'private'.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: That means we do not know what goes on there.

Shri M. C. Chagla: It was not intended to publicise the discussion there nor was it intended to admit the press or the public.

With regard to this particular body. namely, the Institute of Strategic Studies. I woulld submit that it is a nonofficial body of a very high standing. The whole purpose of this seminar as I have said, was to exchange views and ideas, and to understand each other's point of view. I think that it is of very great importance that we in India should know what the people who came here thought about defence problems and they should know what our views were also. I point our that this was not restricted to what my hon, friend says, namely members of the SEATO and the NATO. All of them are not members of the SEATO or the NATO. For instance, Sweden was there

Shri Indrajit Gupta: Let him read out the names of the countries which participated in it.

Shri M. C Chagla: I shall read out the names presently

But it is also proposed to have a similar seminar with non-aligned and socialist countries. I think that it is a good thing for India to know what thinking is about Asian defence in the world different parts of For instance, Sweden WAS Sweden belongs neither to the SEATO nor to NATO. Then, Singapore was there. I have given two instances. The names of the countries were: the United Kingdom, the United States of America, France, Germany, Japan, Australia, Canada, Singapore etc. This is one type of conference. We shall have other types of conferences also. We do not want to keep it confined to this; we shall have seminars with other countries also, and we want their views also. This is merely exchange of views. What is the meaning of a seminar except that you sit down and discuss?

Dr. Bunes Sen (Barasat): the now, Minis-Just hon. has said that it was a sort of private seminar organised by Institute of Strategic Studies. He has read out the names of the countries that participated in that seminar. In view of the fact that so far the Govenument of India have advocated nonalignment in their foreign policy and in regard to defence also this is very important, may I know how Government of India are not only sending their accredited representatives but also sending private individuals to such organisations as Institute of Strategic Studies and to this seminar without the sanction of this House which has decided on the foreign policy of non-alignment to be pursued by the Government of India?

Shri M. C. Chagla: I made it quite clear in my statement and I emphatically repeat that there is no change in our policy of non-alignment and peaceful co-existence. This seminar was not intended to discuss questions of policy. It is also wrong to say that this seminar was convened by the Institute of Strategic Studies. As I have said, it was held under the joint auspices of the Indian Council of World Affairs which is an Indian body, and the Institute of Strategic Studies, London.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta North East): Only the other day, on the 3rd of April, the hon. Minister told the House that the British Government had proposed to buy certain islands in the Indian Ocean and our Government were examining the implications to India of the British intention to purchase those islands. 2011

Now we hear about the Institute of Strategic Studies, whose antecedents should be known to the Minister in spite of the innocence which he wears. We have this kind of thing going on. How in this case does Government expect that the world outside would not think, as it already has begun to think with good reason, that we have jumped, or as good as jumped, already into the neo-imperialist bandwagon?

shri M. C. Chagia: My hon. friend will be satisfied that we have not jumped into anybody's bandwagon when he listens to the statement that I am going to make on the purchase of Indian Ocean Islands by the UK tomorrow or the day after.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Janardhanan.

Shri Ranjeet Singh (Khalilabad): It is presumed that no secrets . . .

Mr. Speaker: I am calling only those whose names are here.

Shri Ranjeet Singh: I have been rising several times.

Mr. Speaker: Even if he goes on rising for one hour, he cannot be called unfortunately because he has not given notice.

An hon. Member: This is a short notice question . . .

Mr. Speaker: He seems to be half an hour behind. We have passed it already.

Shri M. Meghehandra (Inner Manipur): The hon. Minister has already stated that there has been such a conference. In view of the fact that India is anti-imperialist in her outlook and takes a neutral position in the Vietnam conflict, may I know whether such partipation in such a conference will embarrass our position and get us entangled in the game of the neo-colonialists and their designs? If so, why this participation in the name of defence?

Shri M. C. Chagia: I may repeat again that this seminar does not in the slightest degree affect our foreign policy or our views about neo-colonialism or our views on the war that is going on in Vietnam. This conference has nothing whatever to do with these questions. Our policy remains as stated in this House from time to time.

बी सताफ़त बली कां (मुजफ्फरनगर): क्या गवर्नमेट यह यकीन दिलायेगी कि इस सैमिनार में कोई फौजी राज दूसरे मुल्कों को को नहीं बतलाया गया है ?

Shri M. C. Chagla: I am in a position to give the assurance to the House that no military secrets: or any other secrets were let out at this meeting.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: Was he there? It was a closed-door meeting. How did he know?

Shri M. C. Chagla: I know the Indians who were representing us in this conference. I have every confidence in them. I know that no secret was leaked out or even thought of leaking out.

Shri Vasadevan Nair (Peermade). At least from the Indian side we are told that top officials of the Defence Ministry as well as the Atomic Energy Department participated in this so-called non-official seminar. Who were the participants from the other countries, especially the USA and UK, whether they were top officials connected with the defence departments of these two countries? If so, whether some of the facts concerning the defence and military posttion in the participating countries were placed before the seminar in the presence of the officials of these countries?

Shri M. C. Chagia: Yes, the people who represented the different countries were very important people, and if the House wants to know, I can 2913 Seminar on Asian APRII. 5, 1867 Motion of Privilege 18914

[M. C. Chagla]

give the list. Australia was represented by Prof. J. D. B. Miller, Dept. of International Relations, Australian National University, Canberra; Canada was represented by Dr. A. K. Longair, Director, Directorate of Atomic Research, Defence Research Board, Ottawa; France was represented by M. Andre Fontaine, Foreign editor, Le Monde of Paris, one of the most influential paper in France, perhaps in Europe, Germany was represented by Dr. Theo Sommer, Foreign Editor, Die Seit, Hamburk. That also is a very influential paper Japan was represented by Prof H. Kotani, International Peace Research Institute, Tokyo and Prof. Kei Wakaizumi, Professor of International Relations, Kyoto University Sweden was represented by Dr Karl Birnbaum Director of the Swedish Institute of International Affairs Stockholm. Britain was represented by Mr. Hedley Hull, Director of the Arms Control and Disarmament Research Unit of the Foreign Office, Mr Leonard Beaton, Consultant to the Institute for Strategic Studies, and Mr. Robert Wade-Gery Fellow, All Souls College, Oxford.

The United States, in which my hon. friend is particularly interested, was represented by Prof. Roger Hilsman of the Institute of War and Peace Studies, Columbia University, York Prof. Chalmers Johnson, University of California, Berkley, Arnold Kramish, Senior Staff Member, Physics Department, Rand Corporat on, Mr. Charles Bolte, Vice-President, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, New York, and Prof. Albert Wohlstetter, Department of Political Science, University of Chicago. Mr Arnold Kramish is at the moment Research Associate of the Institute for Strategic Studies.

Singarore was represented by Prof. Ching Hai Ding and Mr. Alistar Bu.han the Director of the I.S.S. Shri 'Sonavane (Pandharpur): When the Short Notice Question was taken up by you, you only stuck to the names that were in the list.

Mr. Speaker: A number of otherswere also called.

Shri Sonavane: My point of order relates to the Short Notice Question.

Mr. Speaker: Therefore, you are making a wrong statement I called a dozen people,

Shri Sonavane: Please give me a patient hearing.

Mr. Speaker: You had to raise it then, not now.

Shri Sonavane: When I rose on my feet I caught your eye, but you did not call me. I want to raise a point of order in that behalf. Can I not raise a point of order in respect of that? Because you passed on to the call attention notice and I was not given a chance to raise the point of order at that time, I am raising the point of order now.

Mr. Speaker: He forgot and he raises it now. I have not permitted

12.23 hrs.

MOTION OF PRIVILEGE RE. MRS. SVETLANA ALLELEUVA AFFAIR

Mr. Speaker: I have received notice of a question of privilege from Dr. Lohia and Shri Madhu Limaye, in which they allege that in the light of a letter dated 23rd March, 1967 which Dr. Lohia is said to have received from Mrs. Svetlana, the Ministers of External Affairs and Commerce and the Prime Minister have misled the House by making allegedly untruthful statements in this House on the Svetlana affair.

I now ask Dr. Lohia to ask for the leave of the House to raise this question of privilege.

2916

डा॰ राम मन्तेहर संस्थित (क्सीब) : सध्यक महोत्रव, में सदन की इंजाजत मानने के पहले कुछ थोड़ी बातें बतला देना बाहता हुं सगर साथ इंजाजत दें। ...म्यक्षान

बी बचु किवचे (मुंगेर): यह नियम है। यह जोग वर्यों हरूला कर रहे हैं? प्रध्यक्ष महोदय में इजाजत दी है।

वी वेजनाय कुरील (रामसनेहीघाट) क्रव्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा व्यवस्था सम्बन्धी प्रश्न है।

Mr. Speaker: Under what rule?

नो वैजनाय कुरील: घट्यक महोदय, यह हाउस वडा इम्पार्टेन्ट वर्क करने के लिये इस्टा हमा है।

भी नवु लिसके: तव क्या डा० लोहिया ने बुरा किया है ?

श्री बैजनाव कुरील : किसानों के समान का पैसा थीर टैन्सपेयर का पैसा यहां एक मिनट में हजारों की संख्या में खर्च होता है। माननीय मदस्य जिनके लिये कोकोडाइल टियर्स बहाते हैं उन का पैसा यहां परवह इस तरह से खर्च करते हैं और प्राइवेट विट्ठयों को यहां पर लाकर हाउस का समय बरवाय करते हैं।

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterji (Howrah): On a point of order under rule 224(3). Unless the matter requires the intervention of this House, Dr. Lohia cannot bring this privilege motion.

Mr. Speaker: What is this? I have permitted him, and you are questioning that. It has come before the House after permission has been given.

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterji: I can make a submission on this point for your kind consideration.

Mr. Speaker: Under which rule?

Shri Krishes Kumer Chatterji: 224. I want to draw your attention to the fact that this matter does not really require the intervention of this House.

Mr. Speaker: I have permitted him. Dr. Lohis may continue.

The Minister of Law (Shri Gevinda Menon): Sir, I suppose it is your intention to ask the House whether there has been a breach of privilege.

Mr. Speaker: That is right.

श्री मजु लिमशे: प्राप प्रक्रिया को ठीक तरहंसमझ लीजिये। उन्होंने इजाजत वी है। लोग बीच में क्यों बोल रहे हैं? प्रध्यक्ष महोदय ने निर्णय दिया है। उनको बोलने का श्रीक्रार है।

Shri Sonavane (Pandharpur): Why should he speak now.

डा० राज ननोहर लोहिया : इस सदन के लिये कनल करना जितना बढा घपराध है उससे बडा घपराध है उसके बारे में झुठ बोलना, भीर जब साबित हो जाये कि किसी मंत्री या किन्ही मंत्रियों ने इस सदन को जान बझकर असत्य बोल कर गमराह किया है तब केवल एक ही तरीका रह बाता है कि वह इस्तीफा वें । इस निये पहली मांग मैं भाप से यह करना चाहता हूं कि भाप मुझे श्री चागला के 31 मार्च के बयान की यहां फिर से पड़ने दें. जिस मे उन्होंने कहा है कि: 'दिनेश सिंह जी को न उन के मंत्री की हैसियत से न उन के निजी हैसियत से किसी भी तरह से स्वेतलाना ने, न लिख करन बोल कर यह कहा कि वह हिन्दस्तान में रहना बाहती हैं । धीर सिर्फ इतना ही नहीं किसी भी सरकार के मंत्री को वह नहीं कहा गया, "बाब्बर में उन्होंने जोड़ा है:

"This is a categorical statement that I am making to this House."

"यह एक विल्कुत ठोस समान है वो है सदन में दे रहां हूं 2017

Mr. Speaker: Dr. Lohia may kindly resume his seat. Will he tell me one thing? After it is admitted again he will have to argue the case. Why should he do it twice? He may ask the leave of the House.

डा॰ राम मनोहर नोहिया : जैसा ग्राप कहें। में सदन से इजाजत मांगता हं।

Shri B. D., Bhandare (Bombay Central): Sir, on a point of order. I am referring to the privilege motion which is sought to be moved by Dr. Lohia. The hon. Member Lohia said that because of "correct statements made by hos. Minister he thought there was a breach of privilege.

Mr. Speaker: You are arguing the case. What is the point of order?

Shri R. D. Bhandare: The point of order is that the hon. Member has no right to raise the question of privilege.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member may kindly resume his seat and read rules 222, 225 and 226.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kandrapara): If there is no objection, there will be no need to refer it to the House.

Shri Krishna Kumar Sir, I have a point of order. would request you to kindly read Speaker's Direction No. 115. Certain specific procedure had been laid down about incorrect statements there. I draw your kind attention to Direction No. 115. I have not got the book at hand; otherwise, I would have read it.

Mr. Speaker: It does not come in. The Law Minister.

Shri Gevinda Memon: I am glad that Dr. Lohia, the author of the motion explained what it is that he has to submit to the House.

डा॰ राव मनेक्षर सोहिया : प्रमी किया कहा है। मूझ को करने नही विया गया है। ये क्या कह रहे है ?

Mr. Speaker: If there is no objection-(Interruption)-Just a minute. If permission is granted, later on, we will put it to the vote; not now. Permission has to be sought. Then we will put it to the vote whether it should go to the Committee of Privileges or not. Is there any objection to the motion being made? I will have to take the leave of the House.

Several hon Members rose-

Mr. Speaker: Only 25 are necessary. I believe-Now, leave is granted. The hon. Member can move his motion

Shri M. R. Masani (Rajkot): Before the hon. Member moves his motion, may I request you, instead of proceeding further under rule 226 which involves a motion and a debate in this House to be good enough under rule 227 to exercise your right to refer this question without debate to the Committee of Privileges for examination, investigation or report? I do not think it is necessary for me to explain why I make this proposal. A debate in this House at this stage would be most unsuitable. Charges are difficult to prove or disprove in a big body like this. The Committee of Privileges is precisely constituted to go closely into matters of evidence, to hear the people concerned and to give a report to the House. I would therefore request you not to call on Dr. Lohia but to exercise your right under rule 227 to refer, without debate, to the Committee of Privileges, as a prima facte case has been made out.

Mr. Speaker: There are two statements. I do not want to say anything when one is pitted against the other. I do not want to take any sides. I would Jeave it to the House which will have to take a decision as

to which one is correct and which is wrong. One cannot force me to a particular course. (Interruption)

Shri P. K. Dee (Kalahandi): Sir, you are following a novel procedure. There is a procedure followed in such cases.

Mr. Speaker: It is not novel. I am strictly following the rules. You may not agree with me. Dr. Lohia, you may move it.

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterjee: Are you allowing them to discuss the merit of the privilege motion as such? (Interruption).

Shri Bal Baj Madhok (South Delhi): I support the suggestion that my hon. friend Shri Masani has made. This debate, if allowed now, will become very acrimonious and it will take a long time, and other useful business of the House will be delayed.

Mr. Speaker: Whatever it may be, you are making the same suggestion. But I cannot take the responsibility. If the House chooses, why should I take the responsibility on myself? I am not prepared to take the responsibility.

Shri P. K. Deo: You should keep the dignity of the Chair, Sir. The interests of the minority are also there. In a case like this, it is always the practice in this House that such matters are referred to the Committee of Privileges for a fuller examination. In a big House like this, it may not be possible. (Interruption). I beg to submit that you may kindly reconsider it and refer this matter to the Committee of Privileges as is being done in almost every case.

Shri Shoo Narain (Basti): Sir, a point of order.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Surendranath Dwivedy,

Shri gavendranath Dwivedy: Mr. Masani has made a request to you that under rules 226 to 227, you may, without permitting a discussion here, in the House, refer the matter to the Committee of privileges. What I want to urge is this. Dr. Lohia has also given notice of a motion. motion is of the same nature. after discussion it should be referred. to the Committee of Privileges. only difference is, after all, the Committee of Privileges will go into the entire matter if the House so decides. and then, when the Committee of Privileges report comes before the House, the House may also have an opportunity to discuss it. Therefore, it will be better if we do not have a discussion at this stage (Interruption): let this matter be referred to the Committee of Privileges and the House will have an opportunity to discuss. It again. That is my request to you, since the motion is the same, "that it be referred to the Committee of Privileges." Not Dr. that Lohia decision wants any here and now. He will move his motion for reference to the Privi-Committee. I request that underrule 227, you may please refer it to the Privileges Committee without any discussion at this stage.

Some hon. Members rose-

Mr. Speaker: I have already given my decision and asked Dr. Lohia to speak I am not going to take the responsibility in this matter.

बा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: मैंने प्रभी चागला साहब के 31 मार्च के बयान का तर्जुमा बताया था । मुझे यह सदन माफ करेगा मगर में

Mr. Speaker: He may move his motion.

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: मेरा प्रस्ताव है कि इस सदन की राय है कि प्राइमा फेसाई देखते ही प्रवान मंत्री ,विदेश मंत्री धीर स्यापार मंत्री के बयानों में प्रसंगति धीर शसस्य. है, इसलिये यह प्रस्ताव विशेषाधिका इ समिति को सौंप दिया आवे : [बाठ राम मनोहर लोहिया]

2921

वे जो तीन मंत्री हैं इनके विकास यह विशेषांत्रिकार का प्रस्ताव है। मैंने आप से इसकी इजाजत बाही है। मैं आप से मांफी मांग लेता हूं क्योंकि सब कारवाई अंग्रेजी में हुई है, इसलिए अंग्रेजी में ही मैं उडरण सुनाये देता हूं। सब से पहले तो 31 मार्च को जो श्री बागला ने कहा बा उसको मैं दीहराये देता हूं। 31 मार्च को उन्होंने कहा

"I have the authority to state to this House categorically that at no time, either orally or in writing, did she make a request to him either in his capacity as Minister of State for External Affairs, or in his personal capacity as a relation of hers..."

उसी के साथ साथ एक बार धौर जो कुछ कहा है वह भी सुनाये देता हूं ! 21 मार्च को भी उन्होंने यह बात कही थी। उन्होंने कहा था:

"Leave aside a pathetic request but even an ordinary request was not made."

"It is absolutely incorrect to say that this lady made any request to the External Affairs Ministry, to any Minister or to the Prime Minister, to stay on in this country. I have said it before . . . "

बहुत बक्त लगेगा इसलिये बाकी छोड़े देता हूं। यह उनका बार बारबयान हुआ है। येरे पास जो खत झाया हैं उस में से मै-आली दो पैरे पढ़कर सुनाये देता हूं। एक तो यह है...

ची नमु जिनमे : मेरा एक न्यवस्था का प्रश्न है। इस पन को बाद ने भ्राप टेबल पर रखने की इसायत वें

एक बाननीय समस्य : ससती पक्ष रखा जाए । भी सिथ वारावय: नथा प्रवाम है कि वह स्वेतनाना का निका हुआ का है s

सञ्चल महोडवः डा॰ लोहिया सपना भावन आरी रखें।

डा॰ राम मनोहर सोहिया: उन्होंने अपने पत्न में निका है कि दो बारबात हुई है। वैसे तो कई दफा हुई होगी। लेकिन स्वेत-लाना के मनुसार जो बत उन्होंने निका है, दो बार बात हुई है।

उन्होंने अपने पत्न में लिखा है .

"Yes, there was a private talk between me and Dinesh Singh in January, in Kalakankar, about the possibility for me to stay in India the rest of my life. I've asked him whether it will be possible for me to approach the Prime Minister with such a request. Dinesh knew my feelings to my late husband, to Kalakankar, to India. It was no surprise for him that I wished to stay in India.

But he told me that he thinks it would be impossible to settle, because of the strongest opposition from the Soviet Government, which would inevitably arise"

एक बार दुवारा फिर जैसा उन्होंने लिखा वैसाम पढ रहा हं:

"On the end of January, before Dinesh Singh left Kala-kankar for Delhi, he talked with me again, to make quite clear to me, that Indian Government, the Prime Minister, and he himself would not be able anyhow to help me".

". if I decided not to return to Moscow and stay in India, He said that I should try to find some ways myself to settle the problems with the Sowiet Government and if I would succeed in that then, certainly I can expect the help from the Indian side also."

ñ E लिबा, 1 मिल पूर्ता है । बाद नह कहा जा सकता है कि -1 乍 などはな मंकियो ने बाकायदा बिट खुद प्रपत्ती तरफ़ Be h सदन क सामित हो आता है कि मसस्य बोला गया F से दी है। यहा के मजालय के लोग उस का मामला का जाता है, जब बाद में हो समा कि मजी नोमों ने इस सदन ŧ मुकदमा चले । प्रीक्त क्राती तो मामना न्बैत्याना बूट बोल रही है, तो नह 160 इस से यह बिल्कुल 8, समझा कि उस की राय की इस गुमराह किया है। इस महिला TREAC PICE en me rette : mit n मिलने के लिए गए उस ने जो उस को बताया। सामने रखा 48 461

Ē Þ म ने थाप के सामने धसस्य के भाषने में, जो कि विशेषाधिकार में से सबध रहता तीन गुण बताए हैं : एक, कोई बात E बान-मूझ कर किया आये — पहले दो में से कोई एक गुण हो सीर यह अनन नूझ कर किया जाये, सगरये गुण मीजूद हो, तो बूठ बोली जाय, दूसरे सस्य के किसी F विश्वेषाधिकार का मामला निश्चित हो छिपाया जाये जीर सीसरे, से मा जाता है।

* मसत्य बोला है और उसके साथ साथ सत्य के प्रम को । मेरे सामने बाली यह सबाल रह जाता धिमाया है। प्रधान मंत्री की बात मैं यलग से कहे देता हूं। उन्होंने तो दोनो काम किये तो यह तो विल्कुल साफ़ है कि चिदेश मंत्री प्रचंदा स्वापार मंत्री ने सीवा है कि उन्होंने बान-बूझ कर किया झमांबाने में कर दिया ।

हो बचाने के लिए ऐसा कह सकते हैं। इसलिये में बातजीत मही हुई। विनेश निष्ठ भी पपने वागका साहब ने एक बात विस्कृत डाइक कृष्ट थी। देशा हो सकता है कि कोई गड़े कि दिने क्री की की तो इस से कोई

कांगका सहिव का वह बयान बताए देता है नी 3101 सर्फेट्स पर दिया गया है:

when the to get an ri Dinesh Singh I have personally talked to when Shri Chairman asked me yesterday "Since

188 वासका साहव यह कह चुके हैं कि दिनेज सिह औं से बातचीत करके उन्होंने सव कुछ किया है। बागला साहब ने जान ब्रम्न कर यह काम किया है, उस का मैं एक धौर प्रमाण 4 दे देना बाहता हूजो 31 मार्च की साहब बागला में झाया, 54 FET

to get rid of her, we could have said: well, your visa has expired; you have to go back'. But we did not say that I was asked by some hon. Member ... comes on a Russian passport with a visa for two weeks The visa expired. If we were so minded happens, what WON"

मुनिया नागरिक होते हैं, वे जहां नहीं जाते हैं वहां झपना पासपोटे लेकर जाते हैं, जहां बाहे घरना など ब्रसम माना END HEH F पासपोर्ट स्मी ब्रुताबास के पास कुछ बडे महीं उठ सकता है कि उन्होंने जा कर बीका बीसा माग सकते हैं और स्वेतलाना और ने हर गुषराह किया है क्योंकि उन्होंने सदन के 111 बर के ब्रीर मामरिक होते हैं, वेसे ही कस के पहले तक था। इस लिए यह सबाल बहुबता चुके हैं कि स्थेतलामा जी मात्र कुछ दीसा नहीं मागा। बहु जानते हैं, मांगा हो। हसी सरकार की सपनी दिमान में यह बात अपन दी कि असे जानता है कि वह सदन को बडे ठीस इसी तरह किया -पहले उन्होंने मीसा को उन को वेदिया गया धौर किर इस में बिदेश मती जी ने सदन की पक्रित है, जिस पर मृते होनता है।

[डा॰ राम ननोहर नोहिया]

लेकन यह बिल्कुल साफ बात है कि
बीसा मांगने का सवाल जब बागला साहब
उठाते हैं, तो वह जान बूझ कर सदन को
गुमराह करते हैं कि स्वेतलाना जी बीसा
मांगने की स्थिति ने थी। इस लिए बागला
साहब का मामला तो बिल्कुल साफ साबित
हो जाता है कि उन्होंने जान-बूझ कर इस सदन
से बहुत सी बातें छिपाने के लिए या गलत
बातें बताने के लिए यह सारा किस्सा गढ़ा
है।

धव रह जाता है सवाल विनेश सिंह जी का। दिनेशसिंह जी के बारे में में ने भाप से पहले भी कह दिया है और आज भी दोहराएदेता हं कि स्वेतलाना जी ने जो पहली चिटठी 10 फ़रवरी को कालाककर इलाहाबाद लिखि थी, उन्होंने यह जो चिट्ठी शिखी है, उन्होंने जो बातें इलाहाबाद लखनऊ, बनारस में न जाने किन किन लोगों से की हैं, अर्जीतक से की है, मुझ से की है, उन में उन्होंने दिनेशसिंह की के बारे मे क्या कहा है। अगर आप मेरी बात को महत्व म दें, तो बह धलग बात है । सागला साहब का हमेशा शब्द होता है, "सालम एकोरेंक"। मेरे पास "सालम" भौर "धनसालम" का तो कोई फ़कं नही है लेकिन में कोशिश करता हूं कि मेरी जीभ से कोई धनसालम बात न निकले। में ने हमेशा यह कोणिश की है। मैं यहा बताना चाहता हं कि स्वेतलाना जी ने बिल्कुल साफ़ तौर पर दिनेशसिंह जी के बारे में मुझ से कहा, "देखा, मुझ को यहा रहने नही दिया जा रहां है।"वह कोशिश कर रही थी भारत सरकार के बादिवयों के बरिये, या ऐस धारमियों के बरिये, जिन को वह महत्वपूर्ण समझती थी, कि भारत सरकार उन को यहां रहने दे । मैं ने उन में कहा, ''देखों, मूक्त को यहस्वपूर्ण न समझो। मैं तो पुक ही वरह से तुम्हारी मदद कर सकता हूं। धनर तुम सड़ना बाह्रों तो तुम नेरे वर में बा जाको, तुम बहुरे मा कर रही तुम समर्वस्ती रही और तम हम शीम जा कर इस मामले को मागे तज़ेंगे।" उस के सामने दो पत्म थे। यह पत्म उस को उस कमर कबूल नही था। मगर कबूल हो गया होता. यह सहीं है कि मैं पहले भी इस मामले में कमजोर रह चुका हूं। एक मीर ममरीकी महिला की मैं रक्षा नहीं कर पाया।

एक नामबीय सबस्य : माननीय सबस्य केवल महिलायों के मामले ही क्यों उठाते हैं?

डा॰ राम सनोहर लोहिया: उस को यहां से उबदैस्ती निकाल दिया गया था। तो इस लिए भी यह मामला तो झल्प होता है।

भव सवाल उठता है कि प्रधान संती जी ने कैसे विशेषाधिकार का हनन किया है। मैं भाप के सामने 666 सफ़हे की कार्यवाही का एक अभ पढ़े देना हू, जों कि 21 मार्च को हुई थी। श्री नाथ पाई ने एक बहुत बढिया सवाल पूछा था। मैं फिर संग्रेजी मे पढ़े देता हूं। मैं उनीद करता हूं कि भागे मुझे ऐंना नहीं करना पड़ेगा। 'Did she not request the Prime Min-ster"

यह सीधा सा सवाल था।

"Shri M C Chagla: No".
प्रधान मंत्री जी यहा सदन ने बैठी हुई थीं।
मैं यहा की पालियामेंटरी प्रैक्टिस के उद्धरण
नहीं पढ़ना चाहता। जब कोई भाषमी झूठ के
सामने चुप रहता हुआ बैठा रह जाये, तो
ससदीय पद्धति के भनुसार वह उस का
सहभागी समझा जाता है। तो इन तीनों
ने सब मामले में सदन को गुमराह किया है।

स्रव सवाल यह उठता है कि जो चिट्ठी मुझे मिनी है, वह चिट्ठी कैमी है। स्वेतलाना जी ने जो चिट्ठी कालाककर से लिखी बी सीर जो चिट्ठी उन्होंने श्वेच से लिखी है, उन दोनों की लिखाबट की मैंने तुलना की है बीर उन दोनों चिट्ठियों की लिखाबट एक ही है ।

श्रव सवास यह रह बाता है कि वह बहुा के गई क्वीं। इस क्वन के, श्रीर बात

चीर से एक र के लोगों के, मैं एक विनय करूंचा कि वे बार-बार इस सवास को न बढावें कि उस के यहां से जाने में, भागने में, किन किन लोंगों ने मदद की। भारत सरकार ने मक्ब, की, या सी० बाई॰ ए० से मदद की भीर या खुद रूसियों ने मदद की, कौन जाने । हो सकता है कि स्सी दूताबास में कोई ममंत्र रहा हो, कोई दिलपसन्द धादनी रहा हो, जिसे पुरानी बातों की याद धा गई हो भीर जिस ने इस दुखी महिला शदद करनी बाही । किसने मदद की, मुझे इस से मतलब नही है। सवाल यह है कि यह दुखी भीरत है। इस दुखी भीरत ने यहां रहना बाहा, पृथ्वी माता की भारत याता के रूप में शरण लेनी बाही---में जान बुझ कर "पृथ्वी माता" कहुंगा---भीर उस को यहां रहने की धनमति नहीं मिली बह भी रत सिर्फ क्सी नहीं है, बल्कि वह भाज कसी तो रह ही नहीं गई है, क्योंकि-मै चागला साहब की तारीफ करूंगा, क्योंकि उन्होंने यह एक बड़ी बात स्वीकार की-वह बजेशसिंह की पत्नी थी । उद्घरण मे बिल्कुल साफ है। वह स्वीकार कर च्के हैं। कानून को छोड़ दीजिए। वह पत्नी थी। इम का मतलब यह है कि वह भारतीय की पत्नी थी। इस का मतलब यह है कि भारतीय नागरिकता के कानूनो के भन्मार श्रग र वह बाहे, तो एक सैकंड में भारतीय नाग-रिक बन सकती है। मैं बाज एक रूमी के बारे मे नहीं बोल रहा हं-मै एक भारतीय नागिक के बारे में बोल रहा हू भीर मेरा दिल, भोर नव लोगों का दिल, छिना हुआ होना चाहिए कि उसको यहा नही रहने दिया गया।

उसके साथ सार मैं यह जी कहना चाहता हूं कि मैं एक मनुष्य और भी रन के बारे में बोल रहा हूं! वह जारतीय न हो, इस को छोड़ दें! मैं एक मनुष्य और औरत के बारे मैं बोल रहा हूं! उस के साथ कैसा वर्ताव हुआ है ? और इस निए में आप से अर्थ कर्मना कि हम सब को इस तरफ ज्यान देना है। कल मैंने चाप से जो इजाजत मांगी बी, वह केवस इस लिये कि मेरा बढ़ा लांछन होता रहता है। कम मैंने भाप को बाठ बजे टेलीफोन किया वा। साढे भाठ बजे टेलीफोन किया था फिर भाप के सचिवासय को टेलीफोन किया था। मैं धपनी बादत के खिलाफ भी बाप के यहां 9 बजे धाना चाहता था । मुझे कोई खबर-नहीं मिली भीर फिर इन के वहां मैंने अपने सारे बयान दस बजे तक पहुंचा दिये वे । पूरा एक चंटा बा फिर भी माननीय सदन मझ को लांछित करता है, बैर कोई नवी बात नही, जो कोई नया काम करना चाहते हैं उन का प्रथमान हुआ ही करता है। मौत्-भाषा को लेकर पिछले पन्त्रह बचौं से मेरा धपमान हुमा । कुछ थोड़ा सा यह सदन ड्याल रखे। लगान के मामले में मुझको आप लोगो की जितनी चिन्ता है .. (ध्यवचान)... कुछ ज्यादा ही चिन्ता है। सगान मैं बत्म करना बाहता हं। धकाल के मामले में... (ध्यमबान) बार बार हस्ला मचाया जाता है(व्यत्रधान)....ग्रव उधर से कोई व्यवस्था का प्रका....

Mr. Speaker: Please conclude now.

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया . इस लिये
मैं भाप से भर्ज करूंगा कि यह कभी किसी को
नहीं कहना चाहिये कि इस मदन का बक्त
खराब किया जा रहा है। एक मनुष्य का
मामला है भीर एक ऐसे मनुष्य का जिस को
इस पृथ्वी में भ्रपना सिर जाति के साथ रखने
के लिये अगह नहीं मिल रही है ऐसे मनुष्य के
लिये, जहां हम भ्रपने पेट के मामले को हल करें
बहा इस दूसरे मामले को भी हल करना इस
सदन का न केवल कर्तव्य है बल्कि खर्य है। इस
लिये मैं भ्राप से बिनती करूंगा कि भ्राप इस
मामले को विशेषाधिकार सिमिति में भेगों।

संसद कार्य तथा तथार वंदी (डा० राज सुभग सिंह): कीमान्, माननीय डा० मोहिया साहव से को विसेवाविकार का प्रस्न [डा० राम समन सिंह]

2929

प्रस्तुत किया है उस में मेरा एक संबोधन है नयोंकि उन्होनें स्वयं कब्रुल किया और बड़े दिल से कि माननीय विदेश मन्त्री ने कोई ऐसी बात नहीं कही जो सत्य के विपरीत हो और धौर भी जो वाणिज्य मनती और प्रधान मंत्री के बारे में उन्होंने बातें की तो बाजिज्य मन्त्री के साब जो बात हुई उनकी वह उन के साथ हुई वी स्वेतलाना से और डाक्टर मोहिया से, उस का लिखित स्वरूप हम मोगों के पास नहीं है धीर प्रधान मंत्री जी ने जो जवाद दिया मामनीय श्री नाथ पै जी के प्रश्न के उत्तर में वह हाउस के रेकार्ड पर है। तो इन सारी वातों के चलते में यह संशोधन रखता ह कि :

इस समा की राय में सदन के विशेषा-विकार का परराष्ट्र मन्त्री, वाणिक्य मन्त्री बीर प्रधान मजी द्वारा कोई उल्लंबन नहीं हमा है ।

Shri Dattatraya Kunte (Kolaba): On a point of order, Sir. A motion contrary to the original motion could not be an amendment. It is substitute motion.

भी मध् लिमये : ठीक कह रहे हैं।

Shri Nath Pai (Rajapur): I fully support it. I should say that Dr. Ram Subhag Singh should have been better advised by his colleagues as to the validity of the kind of amendment that he has moved. Kindly look at rule 226. It says:--

"If leave under rule 225 is granted, the House may consider the question and come to a decision or refer it to a Committee of Privileges on a motion made either by the member who has raised the question of privilege or by any other member."

Now, the motion before the House is that it should be referred to

Committee. What is his amendment? Does he want it to be referred to the Committee or not?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh:

भी नाम पाई: जरा सब से सुन लीजिये ।

Sir, I have been misdirected. we were debating Dr. Lohia's original proposal, if the House was seized of the motion in substance, that amendment would have been valid and relevant; but the motion before the House is that we refer it to the Privileges Committee. Therefore the motion is out of order and irrelevant.

Mr. Speaker: How is it out of order?

Shri Nath Pal: Let me answer it.

Mr. Speaker: Dr. Lohia is referring it to the Privileges Committee because he thinks that a prima facte case is there, but Dr. Ram Subhag Singh's opinion is that there is no prima facie case for it to be referred to the Committee.

Shri Nath Pai: I beg of the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs to follow the proceedings a little more diligently and, if possible, more intelligently.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: gence is not the monopoly of Nath Pai. He is not in a position to claim monopoly of intelligence,

की भाव पाई : गुस्से में मत घाइए, अरासून लीजिये।

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Why should he make such remarks?

भी नाम नाई : बाप वहे विक्रमान हैं इसीलिए मैंने कहा ।

डा॰ राम सुमय सिंह : हां, तो विमाय ठंडा करके कड़िये न ।

बी नाम बार्ड : इसी लिये मैंने ऋडा H 1

I am extremely sorry, if Dr. Ram Subhag Singh got the impression that I do not credit him with a lot of intelligence. Precisely because you are intelligent. I submitted that you should follow it intelligently. . (Interruption). Sir, the first stage was that you put the motion and then asked whether there is any objection. The second stage is that the leave is granted. Now, the third stage is either we debate the substantive motion here and now or we refer it to the Privileges Committee. The motion before the House being that we refer it to the Privileges Committee, the objection which ought to have been raised at the earlier stage cannot be raised now. The rules are very clear; the precedents are very clear. objection that Dr. Ram Subhag Singh is trying to rause ought to have been raised earlier when Dr. Lohia sought the leave of the House. The leave has been granted and the substantive motion before the House is whether we refer it to the Privileges Committee or not. That is the only question. There cannot be an amendment like Either we do not refer it to the Privileges Committee or we debate it here and now. The amendment moved by Dr. Ram Subhag Singh cannot be made. The rule is very clear.

Mr. Speaker: I think, Mr. Nath Pai almost made it clear. The motion could also be voted down. He is opposing the motion. It is not as though it is accepted by the House. The motion is before the House.

Dr. Eam Subhag Singh: There is one thing that I want to say. Mr. Nath Pai has been trying to make out that I could have objected to the motion before it was moved. How can that be done once the House grants leave to that being moved here? It is only after that anything can be done. Therefore, I moved this amendment.

Shel Govinda Monon: I think, we should understand the nature of the motion before the House. The question is not whether it was right or

wrong in not giving asylum to this particular lady. The question is not whether she went to Switzerland with the help of America or C.J.A. agent or India or anybody else. The question is not....

भी जब लिलवे : यह काहे पर बोल रहे है? प्राप की व्यवस्था नया हुई? ... (व्यव-धान) प्राव्यक्ष महोदय, यह भाषण कैसे कर सकते है? नाच पाई ने व्यवस्था का प्रकल उठाया है ।

Mr Speaker: I am trying to understand the issue.

भी मच लिजवे: तो उस के ऊपर सुनिये न । सबस्टैटिंव मोजन पर कैंमे वह बोल सकते हैं ?

Mr. Speaker: Not on the substantive motion. I want to hear him on the issue raised by Mr. Nath Pai that an amendment cannot be moved to the motion that is before the House. Later on, he can explain the other things.

Shri Govinda Menon: The substance of the amendment is that there is no case for the motion to go to the Privileges Committee.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: No. no.

Shri M. E. Masani: Sir, the statement made by the Law Munister proves Mr. Path Pai's point. The Law Munister just admitted that the substance of the amendment is that there is no case for referring it to the Privileges Committee. In that case, the amendment is out of order. Rule 344(2) clearly says:

"An amendment shall not be moved which has merely the effect of a negative vote"

According to the Lew Minister, thisamendment has the effect of a negative vote. So, this assendment is outof order. भी नमु लिक्ये: सम्मक महोदय, बहा तक डा॰ राम सुमग सिंह की तरमीम का सवाल है प्राप 344(1) देखिये। मेरा क्याल है नाम पाई का व्यवस्था का सवाल 'विल्कुल सही दुस्स्त है। 344(1)

"(1) An amendment shall be relevant to, and within the scope of, the motion to which it is proposed."

डा॰ राम सूचन सिंह का संशोधन न रेलीवेट है न इस दायरे में बाता है क्योंकि इस का दायरा है कि समिति के पास यह मामला भेजा जाय । घगर यह तरमीम होती 'कि समिति 15 दिन के बन्दर रपट दे. इस विन के बन्दर रपट दे, सब लोगों को सूने, तो यह इस दायरे के बन्दर बा सकता था। लेकिन समिति के पास मामला भेजने की बात बिल्कुल खत्म है। वहां तक मेनन माहब की बात का मवाल है. एक तो उन को इजाजत नहीं देनी चाहिये थी क्योंकि मामला द्यागे बढ़ बुका है, दूसरे मेनन साहब ने कहा है कि उनकी तरमीम खत्म हो जाती है। इस लिये डा॰ राम मनोहरलोहिया के द्वारा जो प्रस्ताव लिया गया है, उस पर बहस जारी रहनी चाहिये ।

13 hrs.

Mr. Speaker: I do not think that any further discussion on technical points is necessary. The purpose of the amendment, according to Dr. Ram Subhag Singh, is that it is not only opposing, it, but the facts as stated by the Foreign Minister.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Yes; the facts stated by the Foreign Minister, etc., are correct.

Mr. Speaker: It has the effect of being a negative one. and naturally...

बी मणु लिमबे : उस दाबरे में नहीं है, इर्रेसबेंट है ।

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: They can vote it down.

Shri Dattatraya Kunte: I have already raised a point of order that an amendment which is in opposition to the substantive motion could not be moved as an amendment and that point of order has not yet been decided by the Chair.

भी संबर लाल गुन्त: (दिल्ली सदर): मेरा कहना यह है कि उस का ससर, चाहे डा॰ राम सुभग सिंह ने कुछ भी कहा हो,...

Mr. Speaker: Every one is referring to the same thing.

भी कंबर लाल गुप्त: घड्यस महोदय,
मैं यह कह रहा था कि यह अमेंडमेंट मृब
नहीं हो सकती है। उस का एक ही रास्ता
है कि जो प्रस्ताव डा॰ लोहिया ने रखा है,
उस को बोट-डाउन किया जाय

Mr. Speaker: He is repeating the

The House stands adjourned for lunch and will meet again at 2 p.m.

13.03 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned for Lunch till Fourteen of the Clock.

The Lok Sabha re-assembled after Lunch at Fourteen of the Clock.

[Mr. SPEAKER in the Chair]

MOTION OF PRIVILEGE RE. MRS. SVETLANA ALLELENVA AFFAIR contd.

Mr. Speaker: After Dr. Ram Manchar Lohia moved his motion to refer the question of privilege to the Committee of Privilege, Dr. Ram Subhag Singh moved another motion to the effect that the House is of the opinion that no breach of privilege or contempt of the House has been committed by the Minister concerned.

A point of order was raised that this motion moved by Dr. Ram Subhag Singh was out of order as it was in the nature of an amendment to the original motion of Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia, and under rule 344 (1) an amendment has to be relevant to and within the scope of the motion to which it is proposed.

I have heard all the points of view, both for and against this point of order. I am of opinion that rule 226 is a self-contained rule, so far as the motions relating to the question of privilege are concerned. Rule 228 reads as follows:

"If leave under rule 225 is granted, the House may consider the question and come to a decision or refer it to a Committee of Privileges on a motion made either by the member who has raised the question of privilege or by any other member."

This rule envisages that either one of the two motions or both the motions can be made under this rule. original motion of Dr. Ram Manchar Lohia states that a prima facie case of breach of privilege has been made out and the matter should be referred to the Committee of Privileges for investigation. If this motion is voted down, it only means that the matter is not referred to the Committee of Privileges, and the substantive part of the question of privilege namely whether a breach of privilege or contempt of the House has been committed remains, and the House has to give a decision on the merits of the -case.

Therefore, Dr. Ram Subhag Singh is within his right to invite the House to come to a decision whether any breach of privilege or contempt of the House has been committed.

I rule that both the motions are in order and they should be put to the vote of the House one after the other. First, Dr. Ram Manchar Lohia's motion will be put to the vote of the House, and if it is not carried, then Dr. Ram Subhag Singh's motion will be put to the vote of the House.

Shri N. Sreekantan Nair (Quilon): On a point of order....

Mr. Speaker: After the ruling there can be no point of order on it.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I want to understand your ruling. I do not always with our submissions.

Shri N. Sreekantan Nair: Many Members are engaged in voting in the first floor, in connection with election to committees. If you put this question to vote now, those Members will not get a chance. They are doing a function of this House, and so, it should not be put to vote now; it should be put to vote only after 2.30 p.m.

Mr. Speaker: There is no point of order.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I only wanted to understand your ruling. According to your ruling, there are two motions before the House, whereas Dr. Ram Subhag Singh moved the motion as an amendment to the motion moved by Dr. Ram Manchar Lohia. So, it is not a motion by itself....

Shri Nath Pai: It was only an amendment. Dr. Ram Subhag Singh himself had said: 'Main samshodhan pesh karta hoom'.

Mr. Speaker: I have already given my ruling. Whatever he might have said, I have given my ruling already.

Shri Nath Pai: We can help you always with our submissions.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: That is what we understood. He gave it as

. 106 (Ai) LSD-6,

[Shri Surendraneth Dwivedy] an amendment. You take it as two separate motions. Will that mean that the motion to refer it to the Committee of Privileges

Mr. Speaker: Not only that,

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: He has given his reasons why it should be referred to the Committee because there is a prima facte case. After rejection of that, of course the question of privilege remains. But are we now discussing whether there is a prima facie case or not or whether there is any breach of privilege?

Mr. Speaker: Both are there.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Are we discussing both the things together?

Mr. Speaker: Naturally.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Therefore, I am still in doubt

Mr. Speaker: After my ruling, can this discussion go on?

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I want a clarification.

Mr. Speaker: Dr. Lohia's motion says that a prima facie case has been established and therefore, I should go to the Privileges Committee. Dr. Ram Subhag Singh's motion says that there is no case made, it has been proved, therefore, it need not go to the Privileges Committee. Both are separate motions. You may understand the latter motion as an amendment, but I treat it as a separate motion.

shri Nath Pai: I think we should get a chance to argue the case.

श्री मध् लिमये : यह बहुत पेचीदा मामला है भाप जुनिये ।

Mr. Speaker: After listening to the ruling, these points are being raised.

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Steel, Mines and Metals (Shri P. C. Sethi): Can the Speaker's ruling be challenged?

An hon. Member: No.

Shri H. N. Mukerice (Calcutta North-East): Dr. Lohia has moved a motion for having this matter referred to the Committee of Privileges because a prima facie case has been made out. That is the view. ther a prima facie case can or cannot be substantiated is a matter can be gone into by the Committee of Privileges - that is the purport of his motion. Here is a motion which you call an alternative motion which purports to say that the substantive aspact of the matter has already been determined by the House. How can that be so?

Mr. Speaker: Am I to understand that the ruling is being discussed?

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: No, What I am asking is, how can we consider both these motions together?

Mr. Speaker: May I request Shri Chagla to reply?

Shri Dattatraya Kunte: First of all, I have to seek some information from the Chair by way of clarification. Is the House being called upon to discuss two motions at one at the same time? If so, under what rule?

Mr. Speaker: It is all right.

Shri Nath Pai: We want your guidance.

हमें बाप के इस निजंब पर दु:ब है हम यही कह सकते हैं।

Shri S. M. Baserjee (Kanpur): On a point of order

Shri Datistraya Kante: There cannot be two motions before the House at the same time.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: The two motions cannot be taken together.

shri Dattairaya Kunte: I ask whether the two motions are going to be discussed at the same time. They should be discussed one after the other. I am not questioning the admissibility of the motion.

श्री समु लिसके : मैं स्पष्टीकरण चाहता हं, मैं चुनौती नहीं दे रहा हूं !

Shri S. M. Banerjee: My point of order is under rule 226. You have quoted that rule. I do not challenge your ruling. I shall surely bow to it. You have already ruled that if leave under rule 226 is granted, the House may consider the question and come to a decision or refer it to a Committee of Privileges on a motion either by the member who has raised the question of privilege or by any other member.

Mr. Speaker: What is the point of order?

Shri S. M. Banerjee: It is under rule 226 (Interruption). Unless you hear me, I will not be able to make myself clear. This morning after the question hour and call-attention motion you in your wisdom announced your decision taken perhaps in your chamber after due consideration that this was a matter where a prima facie case had been established. So you allowed Dr. Lohia to bring this motion before the House. This was brought, and a question was raised....

Mr. Speaker: What is the point of order?

Shri S. M. Bunerjee: I am coming to that. A point of order is not one point.

Mr. Speaker: Please make it brief.

Shri S. M. Banerjoe: Kindly hear me, When Mr. Masani suggested that this matter might result in an acrimonious debate, and so it should be referred to the Privileges Committee

Mr. Speaker: You are making a speech. How long can you go on? Without mentioning the point of order, you are making a speech, what Mr. Masani said, what somebody else said. What is the point of order? Tell me in one sentence now. (Interruptions).

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Am I answerable to you or to them? My point of order is this. I want a c'arification also.

Mr. Speaker: That is exactly the difficulty.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: According to me it may be unfortunate, according to you it may be prima facie wrong, anyhow I bow down to your ruling, but I would only invite your attention to this, in the form of a point of order, that previously also when there was a privilege motion against Mr. Madhu Limaye and there from us. Mr. was an amendment Surendranath Dwivedy wanted move an amendment because session was coming to an end some damage might be done to Mr. Madhu Limaye in the eyes of electorate by referring such matters, the Speaker, in his wisdom allowed it, and under this rule 226 the Speaker referred this matter to the Committee of Privileges.

According to rule 227,

"Notwithstanding anything contained in these rules... (Interruption)

I am quoting precedents, I am entitled to do it.

Mr. Speaker: You are entitled to raise a point of order, but not to make a speech in the name of a point of order. Certainly not. That is unfair. What is the point of order. Tell me in one sentence.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Kindly let me finish. What I am telling you is that [Shri S. M. Banerice]

2041

if the ruling is accepted. It should be accepted by all, that is a different matter, but this will be setting a bad precedent, because previously.....

Mr. Speaker: Will you please resume your seat? I request you.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I am concluding.

Mr. Speaker: You are making a speech, you are questioning the ruling and everything else. Please sit down.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: May I appeal to you....

Shri Dattatraya Kunte: Before the ruling is given

Mr. Speaker: The ruling has been given already.

I have heard your point of order Let me hear his.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I have not concluded.

Mr. Speaker: In the name of a point of order, a speech cannot be allowed.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: There are conventions in this House

Mr. Speaker: Please resume your seat.

Shri S. M. Bancrice: This is virtually wrong.

Shri Dattatraya Kunte: Sir, I will read rule 226 again along with you:

"If leave under rule 225 is granted, the House may consider the question and come to a decision or refer it to a Committee of Privileges on a motion made either by the member who has raised the question of privilege or by any other member."

Therefore, there ought to be only one motion, and not two motions. The rule is very specific, "either by a member or any other member", but there has

to be only one motion. When the Chair has ruled that there are two motions under rule 226 I am really trying to understand how there can be two motions. The Minister in charge of Parliamentary Affairs might move a separate motion, but on this motion..

Mr Speaker: That is exactly what I have said.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: You can see the record.

Mr. Speaker: In my ruling I have said it is a separate motion, and that motion is also entitled for the consideration of the House What is wrong, I cannot see really.

श्री मध जिनमें : उस की नोटिस नहीं है। मेरा प्वाइंट मारु मार्डर है। उस को द्याप सुने ।

भी मृ० क० चागला सड़ें हुए !

श्री वय लिवये : मेरा प्वाइट ब्राफ बार्डर है। मंत्री महोदय घषी कैसे बोल सकते हैं।

Mr. Speaker: There is no point of order There is some confusion.

श्री मध लिमये : यह गलतफंहमी श्रीर कन्प्यजन मैंने नहीं पंदा किया है। मैं जानना चाहता हं कि यह निर्णय किस चीज के बारे मे है। झाप नियम 226 देखिये। उस में दो प्रक्रियाये हैं।

Mr. Speaker: There can be no point of order on my ruling.

श्री वच लिशवे : रूलिंग पर नहीं है । इसी लिये मेरी प्रार्थना है कि श्राप मुझे सुनिये।

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterji: Sir, on a point of order.

Mr Speaker: There is already a point of order.

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterji: My point of order is on that point of order. (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: How can all speak at the same time?

श्री अथ शिलवे : उनको बैठाना याप का काम है, सध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं प्वाइंट प्राफ कार्बर उठा रहा हूं। मेरे बाद वह बोसें। म कहा मना कर रहा हूं। वह पचास प्वाइंट ब्राफ बाइंर उठाये।

Mr. Speaker: You can raise a point of order after he has finished.

श्री सथु लिसवे: मैं कहां मना कर रहा हं। मेरी समझ में नहीं आ रहा है। वह पचास क्ष्यबस्था के प्रश्न उठायें।

Shri M. R. Masani: There is some misunderstanding. My friend seems to think that you are calling on the Minister to reply to the debate. On the contrary the debate is going to start. I have asked you for permission to speak in the debate. Let the debate commence. Am I right, Sir?

Mr. Speaker: Naturally. The ruling is clear. Let us see what time should be allotted to this. I think one hour would be a reasonable time. It s now 2.15. Let us now proceed with the debate and take a decision. Mr. Masani.

Shri M. R. Masani: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I would like to support the motion moved so ably and, if I may say so, with great restraint by Dr. Lohia this morning. Supporting the motion does not mean that we agree that anyone is lying. That does not mean that either Mrs. Svetlana is lying or that Ministers concerned the two hon. have misled the House. It simply means that between the document House the placed before Minister's the Minister and the the letter and statements, has now been received by Dr. Lohia, there quite clearly apears to be conflict of testimony. The purpose of referring this matter to the Privileges Committee is that it is a small, quasijudicial body set up by all sections of this House to carry out the purpose for which it was constituted to call

the ministers, to call all concerned and then to come to a conclusion whether the privileges of the House had been violated or not. This is the correct position.

Privilege

I am surprised that there should be any opposition to this motion. I am only sorry that you did not respond to my plea this morning that ıt should be refer-Comred to the Privileges Then all mittee without a debate. argument could have this been avoided. Now. what does it mean when the motion is opposed? What does the amendment of the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs mean?

An hon. Member: It is not an amendment; it is a substitute motion.

Shri M. R. Masani: All right-what does the alternative motion mean? I am sorry that the hon. Parliamentary Affairs Minister, who is a man of great integrity and for whom I personally have great regard and have allowed admiration, should himself to be made party to this amendment or motion. What it means is this: that instead of facing an enquiry by the appropriate quasi-judicial body, competent to do so, in a quiet, dispassionate manner, this alternative motion asks the House, after one hour's debate, by a majority, to ascertain who is telling the truth ann who is not uttering the truth. It is an attempt, if I may say so, to resort to People's Justice in place of the Rule of

Shri Govinda Menon: The point

Shri M. R. Masani; I am not the hon. Minisvielding to proceed. let me Sir. I am very sorry that this countermotion should have been brought. I came to this House with a very open mind. I did not know whether there was a direct clash of evidence and if so who was telling the truth. I thought the hon. Ministers concerned would have welcomed a reference to the [Shri M. R. Masani]

Committee of Privileges and by a judgment of their own peers, quietly and judicially ascertained and then have a final debate in this House.

The act that they are burking a discussion, the fact that they are evading this enquiry, drives me to the very unpleasant conclusion—I do not mind saying that, with sadness—that if this motion of Dr. Ram Subhag Singh is carried by a majority that could not possibly apply its mind to the intraccies of the two documents, I shall then be forced to come to the conclusion that Madame Svetlana is telling God's truth and that those who are contradicting her are guilty of misleading this House and are unfit to hold their offices any longer.

I therefore once again appeal to my hon, friends, the Ministers concerned, and to my hon. friend the Mover, to withdraw this unfortunate which is lacking in ordinary parliamentary honesty and decency and to allow this matter to go before the Committee of Privileges, and nobody would be happier than I if the report of the Committee of Privileges comes and says, "Neither of the hon. Ministers told a lie, there was a misunderstanding in the matter, and the matter can be honourably dropped." If that is not done, then by the very vote on this resolution, this House will convict these Ministers of being unfit for holding office.

श्री बलराज मधोक: मैं डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया के विशेषाधिकर के प्रस्ताव का समर्थन करने के लिये खड़ा हुआ हूं। जिस समय यह प्रस्ताव सदन के सामने रखा गया था तब मैंने आपके द्वारा सदन से प्रार्थना की थी कि इस प्रस्ताव को इसी रूप में विशेषाधिकार समिति के पास भेज दिया जाय ताकि सदन के आन्दर इसके बारे में एकिमोनियस डिबेट न हो, कटुविवाद न हो और जिसमें बहुत कुछ खिछालेदार होने की सम्भावना है। यह न हो। मगर मही दःख के साथ कहना पड़ता

है कि प्रापने भीर सदन ने मेरे खड़ाश की स्वीकार नहीं किया । उसके बाद जिल प्रकार डा॰ राम सुभग सिंह इसरा प्रस्ताब लाए वह इतना ही है कि हम लोग इसको नहीं मानते हैं। भगर सिम्पल मैजेरिटी से किने-षाधिकार की बात तय होनी है तो मैं आपके द्वारा सदन से पूछना बाहता हं कि विशेषा-धिकार समिति बनाने का प्रयोजन क्या है ? जहां तक मैं समझता हं उसका प्रयोजन एक ही है जब ऐसी बातें उठती है जिनके बारे में केवल बोट के द्वारा निर्णय नहीं हो सकता है, उन पर विस्तार से विचार करना होता है, एकीडेस देना और लेना होता है, कुछ ऐसी बाते भी होती है जो कि मारे सदन में नहीं कही जा सकती हैं, वहा कही जा सकती है तो उनको उस समिति के पास भेज दिया जाय । यहा यदि इम पर विचार होता और वह भी भ्रपना पक्ष रखते. हा० लोहिया भी ध्रपना पक्ष रखने. एवीडेम रखने धीर उसके बाद जो निर्णय होता वह सब को मान्य होता । परन्त्र जो दग भ्रमनाया गया है उससे लगता है कि जो चाजिज या जो बातें डा॰ लोहिया कह रहे है उनके बारे में इन लोगों का पक्ष बहन कमजोर है। ग्रगर इनका पक्ष मजबत होता ना इन्हें कोई भापति न होती। मैं समझता ह कि उन्होंने ऐसी बाते कह कर स्वय अपने आपको मदन की नजरों में और देश की नजरों में गिरा दिया है। ऐसा करके न उन्होने प्रपनी सेवा की है न ही सदन की सेवा की है और स्वेतलाना की सेवा इन्हों ने करती ही क्या थी। इसलिय मैं प्रर्थना करता हं कि सभी भी मामला बिगडा नहीं है। वह भ्रपना प्रस्ताब वापिस लें । इसके ऊपर धौर डिवेट न हो और सारी चीज को प्रिक्लेजिज कमेटी के सामने पेश कर दिया जाय।

Shri Krishan Kumar Chatterjee: I am not convinced about the necessity for the privilege motion. Even if the allegation of Dr. Lohia were true that is no basis to consider that to be any contempt of the House or breach of privilege of the House. The privileges of this House are from the date of the Constitution, i.e. 26-1-50. Before that, our privileges were the same as the privileges of the House of Commons. Upto 26-1-50 there has been no instance in May's Parliamentary Practice in which any Mis-statement or incorrect statement by a Minister was treated as contempt of the House or breach of its privileges. In 1963 for the first time the House of Commons resolved that Mr. Profumo, the then Defence Minister, had been guilty of a grave contempt of the House by making a statement which he later admitted was not true. Before that date, there is no precedent. The relevant date is 26.1.50, when our Constitution was adopted. The previous Speakers of this House used to refuse to treat alleged incorrect statements by Ministers as amounting to contempt of the House or breach of its privileges.

In 1951, Shri C. Subramaniam and Shri Ram Nath Goenka, MPs. gave a breach of privilege notice against the then Commerce Minister for making an incorrect statement in the form of Statement of Objects and Reasons appended to the Indian Tariff Amendment Bill, 1951 The Speaker, Mr. Mavalankar gave this ruling:

"The substance of the allegation seems to be that the Minister concerned or the Government have not made the fullest disclosure or have made misleading statements. This may be regrettable, but I do not understand how this constitutes a breach of privileges even if it be assumed that the failure to give full or correct information was intentional."

This was the ruling of that great Speaker, Mr. Mavalankar.

In 1958, Shri A. K. Gopalan alleged "calculated distortion of facts" given to the House in answer to certain questions concerning the LIC, which matter figured in the proceed-

ings before Justice Chagla involving the responsibility of Shri T.T. Krishnamachari, then Minister and Secretary H. M. Patel. Shri Gopalan alleged inconsistencies between the statements made in the House and the evidence led before Justice Chagla. The then Speaker, Mr. Ayyangar ruled thus:

been convinced "I have not about admissibility of the notice. I am going to to rule that it is not admissible. No contempt proceedings can be started on this allegation even assuming this allegation to be true. Inconsistent statement is a mere irregularity even if deliberately made. Even Minister should have made one deliberately statement here and omitted to state or deliberately made an incorrect statement, thut may be a matter of misconduct . . Misconduct on the part of a Minister might not be raised by way of There contempt of the House. are other measures such as censure, etc"

भी मधु लिमधे: प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा एक व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है। आपने जो फैसला किया है, उस पर वह बोल रहे है। आपने लोहिया जी के प्रस्ताव को स्वीकार किया है और बहस भी चल गही हैं।

Mr. Speaker: When the opposition members speak, they can also go on raising points of order. Then, where will it lead to? If you have any important point to raise, you may do so after he finishes his speech. For Heaven's sake don't do it now. Let us hear both sides.

बी सब् लिसये: मैं कहां मना कर रहां हूं। इस तरह से अन्याय के सामने मैं नहीं सुकूंगा। मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न यह है कि वह एडमिसिबिलिटी पर बोल रहे हैं। उन्न घर बाप फैमला कर चुके हैं। वह बोलें मैं उनको मना नहीं करता हूं। उनको बाप पन्डह बिनट [श्री मधु सिमये] दें, युसे कोई एतराज नहीं है। लेकिन एडमि-सिविसिटी पर बोल रहे हैं।

Mr. Speaker: It may be so. But you can raise it afterwards. There will be no fruitful discussion if it goes on like this. Everybody can rise in his seat. When an hon. Member talks from this side it may look reasonable from his point of view, but hon. Members on the other eide may feel that it is not very reasonable. Where will it lead us to?

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterji. I am not questioning the validity.

Mr. Speaker: Do not go into the merits of it.

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterji: Sır, in August 1966. Shri Madhu Limaye, the hon. Member who is present here now, and others brought up a motion of breach of privilege against the then Home Minister, Shri G. L Nanda about a certain statement appearing in the Statesman, you will remember, Sir, according to the allegations of the Statesman certain information had been given to the paper through the sources of the Ministry Shri Nanda had denied it. The ruling given by the then Speaker, Shri Hukam Singh may be seen at pages 6765-66 and page 6783 of the Lok Sabha proceedings dated 24-8-1966 From the proceedings you will find that though the Speaker disallowed the motion doing so arguments advanced for were neither based upon precedents in India or the House of Commons...

Mr. Speaker: This motion is before the House.

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterjee: Sir, one more point and I have done I want to draw your attention to Rule 224(3) of the Rules of Procedure.

Mr. Speaker: Again you are going into the rules. You are confusing the issue, The motion is now before the House already. You are arguing about the admissibility of a base which is already before the House. You have taken the whole time in arguing about the admissibility of it. The motion has already been admitted. I'do not know what you are doing,

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterii: Sir, my submission is only this. The facts disclosed by Dr Lohia do not warrant any privilege motion. Madam Svetlana is a private personality. Also, she is not an Indian. As such this is not a matter for the Indian Parliament to intervene The facts as disclosed have not substantiated anything We have not been able to verify if the correspondence quoted was actually written by her. Again, even the letter mentioned suggests that there has been no intervention on the part of any Minister as such. A private individual who happens to have relation with her because of her marriage with his cousion might have said something in a private That should not be any cause for penalising a Minister or the Prime Minister or the Minister of External Affairs. The Prime Minister and the Minister of External Affairs have made it perfectly clear The Minister of External Affairs took the House into confidence and categorically denied that ever an attempt was made by Madame Svetlana to take permission of the Indian Government to stay Indirectly, Dr. Lohia says, by here remaining silent the Prime Minister also conceded this. This is a vague and absolutely fantastic charge against Therefore, I suggest that the motion be thrown out

Shri Dattatraya Kunte: SiF, we find that there are two motions before the House, the original motion merely placing cerain facts before the House and asking us to refer them to a Committee of Privileges to find out how much truth is contained in them and whether the Ministers concerned have

made any statements which are untrue; and another motion which prima tacle admits the untruth of those statensents and yet wants to say that no breach of privilege has been committed. I really fail to understand if it is the privilege of a Member to tell untruth, much more so of a Minister, and then to plead that no breach of privilege has been committed. I really do not know to what stage this House can go. Therefore, it would have been really better if the original motion of the hon. Member who moved it to be referred to the Privileges Committee, where it could have been discussed dispassionately, had been accepted. The Committee of Privileges could have examined the truth untruth of the statements made and later on made its report. That report could have then been discussed here. But the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs has rushed in to say, possibly by the number of votes that is at his command what is truth is untruth. This is a very curious manner of deciding the fate of truth or untruth. Therefore, at one stage I was trying to point out that there ought to be only one motion before the House. There is an opposition motion which says: let us take this matter to the Committee of Privileges. There is another motion which says "it does not matter if the statements made on the floor of the House are untrue; all the same, we will say that no breach of privilege has been committed". This is really derogatory to the past practices of this House and therefore, I oppose the second motion and I support the first motion moved by Dr. Lohla.

Shri K. Narayana Rao (Bobbili): Mr. Speaker, Sir, there is no necessity for us to eater into a long discussion. The issue is very simple. We know the extent or area to which we can hold a particular Minister responsible to a House of Parliament. In the course of debates, in the course of discussions we may raise all sorts of problems and we may oftentimes try to pin-point him or get a categorical answer from him. In such situations one is put between the two horns of

a dilemma. So, the important factor to be borne in mind is to what extent is it relevant to the vigilance of the Government.

Coming to the facts of the case, this is the case of a private citizen who has come here on a Russian passport. When she comes to India on a Russian passport the Government of India has a certain amount of responsibility to Russia in the sense that a Russian national was taken into the Indian. Union. So, it is not merely a question of a private citizen called Mrs. Svetlana as Dr. Lohia tried to make out. The moment a foreign national taken as a citizen of the Indian union. it becomes a problem of the relationship between two countries. So, once a Russian citizen has been adopted as an Indian citizen, the Russian Government has got a right to express its view. We cannot simply adopt any citizens we like. Even a Minister of the Government has no right to commit himself to an understanding adopt a foreign national as Indian citizen in a situation of this nature.

That being the position—I am not going into the merits of the case or what transpired in the conversation between that person and the Minister—in a context like this it should not excite the House so much. We need not bother about it so much. Even in the parliamentary context of things, there is no possibility of any Minister committing any sort of breach of privilege in a situation like this. So, I would appeal to all hon. Members to throw out the motion moved by Dr. Lohia.

Shri Nath Pal: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I bow down to your ruling, though I must say that I was very much distressed when I heard your ruling. Dr. Ram Subhag Singh has played history, not good history, a history which the House will Iament later on. This is the first time that a motion to refer a matter of privileges to the Committee of Privileges is sought to be defeated by the sheer strength of numbers. Dr. Ram Subhag Singh was

[Shri Nath Pai]

under a duty to try to extricate his colleagues, the Ministers, who found themselves in difficult water. But, instead of summoning logic, instead of summoning facts, instead of depending upon reason, he has chosen the path of depending upon the path of brute force of numbers. Mr. Speaker, it wi'l be a very sad day when the House will try to solve such questions which deliberately our founders. the founders of the Constitution and the predecessors in Parliament in the Central Assembly created the delicate mechanism of the Privileges Committee. When an issue is not clear, when it appears that something must be investigated, the matter is referred to the Privileges Committee. Dr. Ram Subhag Singh, whose first concern should be to strengthen these institutions of Parliament, he is trying to scuttle and destroy them for the benefit of his party.

This will be the only case, I repeat, where a motion to refer a matter to the Privileges Committee is sought to be defeated. I would like one single example in the long history of the Central Legislature and of the Lok Sabha when a motion to refer a matter to the Privileges Committee was sought to be defeated.

Now I will come to another thing. Like Shri Masani, though not exactly, I had an open mind though I was feeling very gravely disturbed and, therefore, I had raised questions. It is very unfortunate that the unhappy life of an unhappy young woman has come to be debated so publicly ... (Interruption) It is a matter of judgement as to who is young and who is old I think, we should try to forget the partisan overtones which try to creep in and remember that we are dealing with a human tragedy, with an unfortunate story of an unfortunate woman. Let complete-115 USA ly keep away the and the USSR just look and to our duty and that too not from the partisan point of view of PSP, Congress etc. but as Indians. In this regard let us try to recall the tradition of this country.

I do still think till Shri Chagla is in a position to prove to the contrary that this young woman was somehow enamoured with this country, was fascinated with this country, was enchanted with this country. She wanted to stay in this country. You may be disillusioned with India but there are many who fall in love with India and this girl fell in love with India. know, you are creating an India which Indians find very difficult to live in but many outside still have a glamour. a fascination, an attraction for India, This is the simple thing. I do not think, had Svetlana been allowed to stay here, Shrimati Gandhi need not have entertained any fears, she was not going to engineer a revolution against the Soviet Union and had she thought of that then, of course, the almighty security of India could have been invoked against her. But she just wanted to stay here and lead a private life.

What is the truth about this? I do rely upon the veracity of the letter. It is an agonising thing which should move every Indian. Everybody did not find shelter anywhere else came to the shores of India. Our forefathers came to the Ganges from Volga. It was a repetition of that history once again. I was told by the great Hindi scholar, Rahul Sankratyayana, the author of Volga to Ganga, that from Volga we came That history was being repeated here. daughter of Volga was coming once again to seek refuge on the banks of the Ganges and those who are sitting on the banks of the Ganges were turning their back on her because they were worried and petrified by fear. The worse feature of the whole episode is that where sympathy was called for where pity was called for, where love was called for, fear was the only thing that dominated the judgement of Shri Chagle and his colleagues.

I do not at this stage think it proper to charge him with telling lies to the House. It is for this reason that the celm reflection, deliberation and mature judgement of the Privileges Committee ought to have been summoned to help the House reach a judgement on this delicate issue. Shri Chagla has not perhaps told a lie but he is a good lawyer-at least, he was before he became a politician-and he has deliwered some of the best judgements enriching the Constitution of India. I may remind him of a proverb in Latin -I hope, he has not forgotten his Latin as he has forgotten some law recently It is suppressio veri suggetio falsi. Suppression of the truth is telling a lie. I do not say that he told a lie but I must say that he was wandering in the twilight land between suppression of truth and telling of falsehood and the borderline is wery difficult to decide.

What he said was. "No asylum"; what he said in this House was, "No marriage" and what he to'd the other House was that she was married; but I do not go into details. Shri Chagla is shaking his head. I may quote him. My heart is affected, not my memory. I will quote him. In reply to my question in this House—the lights are rather dim—

"This Ministry somehow is getting notorious about its lack of ability to handle affairs where women are concerned, whether it is Reita Faria or Svetlana Stalin. I should like to ask, though he has said categorically, decisively, resolutely and emphatically also, in spite of all these adverbs which he has used, whether it is not a fact that this unfortunate lady did pathetically plead, not for extension of visa....

"...and ask for permission, not once but repeatedly...and whether it is not a fact that she made a request personally to the Prime Minister also..."

What does Mr. Chagla say? It is in this context that the matter must be raised and the House must make up its mind whether India is not departing from her traditions of providing shelter. Forget she comes from Russia. Just remember a human soul, a young woman, wanting shelter in your land, not to carry on revolution here, not to damage the name of the Soviet Union. All she said, "I want to live in the land of the man I loved whose religion I accepted and whose culture I accepted." What is the crime? If anybody has been guilty, it is not this unfortunate lady but who denied her the permission to stay in India. They did not only deny the permission but the heritage of India. This is my greatest charge against Mr. Chagla.

What does Mr. Chagla say? He says:

"It is absolutely incorrect to say that this lady made any request to the External Affairs Ministry, to any Minister or to the Prime Minister, to stay on in this country. Leave aside a pathetic request....

—this is in reply to my question which I raised earlier—

"....but even an ordinary request was not made."

There was the usual chorus of applause when he mocked at my word 'pathetic'. Then, he goes on to say:

"I have said it before and I repeat that we extended the visa and there was no question or suggestion that she wanted to stay on in India."

In conclusion, may I say this? I do not accuse you of telling lies; I do not go into that. But by avoiding the calm and deliberate scrutiny by the Privileges Committee, Dr. Ram Subhag Singh is showing his fear and fret and is creating an impression which will be extremely difficult to resist, a conclusion which will be unaviodable that they have been telling lies. This is not what I want to say but this motion. If it is carried, will mean an indelible, inescapable and unavoidable conclusion which any sane man in this

[Shri Nath Pai]

House and, perhaps, your masters who are watching your actions, the people of India, will be reaching. Try to help them to reach a correct impression.

बीकती तारकेव्वरी सिनहा (वाढ) .

क्रम्यक्ष महोदय,मैं श्रीमती स्वेतलाना के बारे

में कुछ अभी तक नहीं बोलों थी । पर आज

यह मौका मिला प्रिविलेज के मामले को

लेकर तो मैं कुछ कहना चाहती हूं । चार पाच

दिनों से बहस जो हो रही है श्रीमती स्वेतलाना

के बारे में तो मुझे ऐसा मालूम होता है, इस

पालियामेट में मुझे इस बात का एहसास नहीं

या अध्यक्ष महोदय, कि यहा इतने गालिब,

मीर, बच्चन, टैनीसन, बायरन यह सब के सब

इचट्ठे हो गए हैं । अध्यक्ष महोदय,मैं हैरान

ह अखबारों में स्वेतलाना के बारे में निकला .

डा॰ राम मनोहर सोहिया मीरा बाई का नाम भीर जोड लो . (व्यववान)

एक बाननीय सबस्य आप इस तरह से बीच मे बाधा डालेंगे तो हम भी इसी तरह करेंगे । . . (अवक्थान)

का राज मनोहर लोहिया मैं मदद कर रहा हू। मैंने कहा कि मीरा बाई का भी नाम ले लो।

कीमती तारकेश्वरी सिनहा मैं यह कहना चाहती हू कि एक तरफ तो डाक्टर साहब की दरियादिली पैमाने से बाहर हो गई है और दूसरी तरफ दूसरी महिला खडी हुई है तो इस तरह से बोलने नही देना चाहते। (ज्यब्यान)

कच्यक महोदय , मेरा समय बर्बाद हो रहा है तालियों में, मुझे बोलने की इजाजत दी जाय ।

बाज्यक्ष महोदय, मैं इसलिये इस बात को कहना चाहती बी, क्योंकि मुझे याद है

जिस बक्त यह मामला श्रुक हुआ था, मुझी भी विरोधी बलो के नेताओं से हाउस के अन्दर बा बाहर बातचीत करने का मौका मिला वा और मैं समझती भी कि यह अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय जगत के लिये एक महत्वपूर्ण घटना थी। ग्रमरीका धौर रूस के सम्बन्धों के बारे में इस का महत्व था तया इसके साथ साथ हमारा इस मे क्या सरी-कार होना चाहिये था, हमारी क्या उपयोगिता होनी चाहिये थी, हमारा क्या महत्व होना चाहिये था, इस बात को लेकर हमारे घन्दर एक भावना काम कर रही थी और यह काफी महत्वपूर्ण चीज थी, जिस के बारे में हम गौर कर रहे थे, विचार कर रहे थे। उस के बाद पता नहीं वह चीज कहा चली गई। रूम धौर धमरीका का इस घटना से धन्तर्राष्ट्रीय जगन पर क्या धमर होगा, यह सब बाते पीछे हट गई, तया श्रीमती स्वेतलाना का दिल कितना दुखाया गया, इमकी चर्चा होने लगी । इस विषय को, भ्रध्यक्ष महोदय, तीन चार दिनो से बराबर सून रही हु। यहा भीर वहा दोनो जगहो पर इसकी चर्चा हो रही है। याज जितने लोग, दिल की धड़कनों को देखने वाले लोगों से मैं यही कहना चाहती ह कि हिन्दस्तान के लोगी. करोड़ो लोगो के दिलां की धडकनो को वे पहले देख लेते, उस का घहसाम कर लेते, उस के बाद बाहर के दिलों की धड़कनों का ग्रहमास करना उन के लिये ठीक था।

Privilege

इन्होंने प्रिवलेज की बात उठाई हैं। हमारी डाक्टर साहब से बातें होती हैं, उनकी हम से होती हैं

डा॰ राम ननोहर लोहिया आपके दिल की धडकनो से भी बाते होती हैं।

नीनती तारकेश्वरी सिन्हा घष्पस महोदय, वह उधर से होती होगी, सेकिन मेरी तरफ से नहीं होती हैं।

नी राज सेवक बावक (वारावकी) : प्राच्चम नहोक्य, दूस,श्रुप्ताई की जकरत क्यीं पडी ?

जीवती तारहेववरी सिन्हा : मैं इस बात को महत्व इसलिये देना बाहती हं कि हम इस सदन को चलाने का क्या तरीका बना रहे हैं। को बाहर की बातें होती हैं, जो प्राइवेट बातें कोती हैं, यदि उन पर हमने यहां पर तवज्जह देना शुरू किया, तो उन का कोई अन्त नही होगा । मेरे साथ भी, ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, ऐसी दुर्बटना हो चुकी हैं, मेरे ऊपर भी इल्जाम लगाये गये पच्चीसी बार मैंने फैसला किया कि में संसद मे बक्तव्य दूं। परन्तु मुझे बड़े नेताम्रो ने, बकीलों ने, जिन में श्री सीतलवाड जी का नाम भी बाता है, उन्होंने कहा कि यह तरीका जो संसद को चलाने का है, उस में बाप बगर कोई बात कहेगी धौर उसके बाद मिनिस्टर साहब उस बात को काट देंगे तो बापकी बात को मान्यता नहीं मिलेगी। श्री सीतलवाड धौर श्री फेंक एन्टनी साहब ने मझ से कहा कि श्रीमती सिन्हा, ग्राप कभी ऐसी गल्ती न करें, क्योंकि मिनिस्टर साहब जब कोई वक्तव्य देंगे तो कोर्ट मे जाने मे परेशानी होगी । मैं उस को चुपचाप बरदाक्त करती रही । मैं पूछना चाहती हुं विरोधी दलों के सदस्यों से, जब हमारे लोगों की मान्यताघो पर इस प्रकार के प्रकृत उठाये जा रहे थे. तब उन्होंने यह सवाल क्यों नहीं उठाया । हम कुछ परिपाटियों से बंधे हए है, परम्पराधों से बधे हए है। धौर मैं द्मापकी तबज्जह इस तरफ़ दिलाना चाहती हं कि हमेशा यह परम्परा रही है कि अगर कोई गैर-सरकारी घादमी बाहर कोई वक्तव्य देता है, संसद के झन्दर नहीं, उस के बाद यदि शंबी का बक्तव्य हो जाता है तो मंत्री के अक्तब्य को प्रधिक मान्यता दी जाती है, गैर सरकारी बक्तव्य को मान्यता नहीं दी जाती है। अगर आप इस परम्परा को लोईने तो यह समस्या की शब्बात होगी, बन्त नहीं होगा।

मैं एक बेतावनी देना चाहती हूं इस संसद को, एक सदस्य की हैसियत से ध्रपने आपको भी बेतावनी देना चाहती हूं कि ध्रगर ऐसी बात सहां पर हुई सो जितने भी 40-50 करोड़ आविश्वीं की बातें हैं, उन को हमें वहां पर उठाने के लिये बाध्य होना पड़ेगा, स्पन्ट है कि इस तरह से ससद की परम्परायें, नहीं बल सकेगी।

दूसरी बात मुझे थह कहनी है, कि आप धाज अध्यक्ष के पद पर बैठे हए हैं। आपको इस बात का फैसला करना है कि कहां प्रिबलेज होता है, और कहां त्रिवलेज नहीं होता है। हमारे माननीय सदस्य एक बात का साका खीचे. कुछ घटनाये पेश करे और उस को प्रिवलेज का रूप दें, मैं उन से भी एक घटना का जिक करना चाहती हु। सन 1951 से जब श्री सी 0 स्वह्मण्यम श्रीर श्री रामनाव गोयनका ने, दोनों इस सदन के, इस लोक सभा के सदस्य थे, एक प्रिवलेज का नोटिस उम समय के जो काममं मिनिस्टर थे, उनके खिलाफ़ दिया । श्री मावलकर उस समय हमारे श्रध्यक्ष थे। उन्होंने यह मामला रखा था कि कामसं मिनिस्टर ने गलत बयानी की है। उन्होंने इण्डियन टैरिफ समेण्डमेन्ट बिल, 1951 के ब्राब्जैक्ट्रम एण्ड रीजन्स में, जो कि एक बढ़ा बैलिड डाक्युमेन्ट होता है, इल्जाम लगाया कि उस के ऊपर गलत-बयानी की गई है। मामला बहुत पेचीदा था, बहुत सगन था, बहुत महत्वपूर्ण था। मै इसे प्रापको पढकर सनाना चाहती हे. .

Mr. Speaker: Now, the hon. Member must conclude We have allowed only one hour for this. She has already taken a lot of time and, therefore, she should conclude now. Shri Vajpayee.

सीनती तारकेश्वरी सिन्हा : अध्यक्ष महोदय, मझे दो मिनट का बक्त और दे दीजिये, मेरा डाक्टरसाहब ने बडा बक्त बासा किया है।

हा० राव वनोहर लोहिया : प्रश्नी क्या है, जिन्दगी में बहुत बक्त खराब करूंगा ।

Mottom of

Privitege

afineft erceburch fungs : ug ayan गह था, में हते झायके सामने रखने की इबाजत TIENT E

tutes a breach of privilege even if it is assumed that the failure to "The substance of the allegation seems to be that the Minister concerned or the Government have not made the fullest disclosure or This may be regrettable, but I do constiunfor mation have made misleading statements. not understand how this give full or correct was intentional".

नही जाने चाहिये। हमे प्रिवलेज का जो महत्व-गया था भीर वह था प्राप्यूमो का मामला। मध्यक्ष महोदय, इस घारा को पढने के बाद उन्होंने जो रूनिंग दिया था, उस के बाद मैं भदन में भारता चाहती है कि ऐसे को उठाने के पहले शापको भ्रपनी तरफ मे इम बान का इजहार इस बान का फैसना करना चाहिये कि ऐस मामले उठाये पूर्णमधिकार मिला है, मध्यक्ष महोदय, इस सम्बन्ध मे हमने हाउस माफ कामन्ज की परम्परामो को पाला है। वहा पर प्रिवलेज बहुत बड़ी चीज हैं। बिटेन में प्रमी हाल के बादी मे प्रिबलेज का एक ही मामला उठाया लेकिन यहा हम मुबह-शाम हर वक्त प्रिवलेख की बाते उठाते है—इस बात का प्रन्त नही

स्वेतलाना माई। डा॰ लोहिया ने कहा कि जिसकी रिक्सेदार या दोस्त बन कर श्रीमती उन की बादी हो गई थी, डा॰ लोहिया खुद यह ठीक है कि यहापर बहुत कुछ बाते कही गई है—मैं उस मतीपर तरस खाती हू सादी नहीं किये हुए हैं, उनको मालूम नहीं है मनीहर मीहिया : धापने मीका कव दिया MIO CLA

महोबय, बादी के कानून हुथा करते हैं। मैं उन तारकेश्वरी जिल्हा : सञ्चल A seed

के मुताबिक वह शादी नहीं कर सकता भीर **813**H मीजूद है, तो क्या उन से डाइकोर्स लिया गया विजेश सिंह की पत्नी, मगर वह विज्वाहै, मैं नहीं जानती क्यों कि मझे उनके बारे में घरेलू मामनो की ज्यादा जानकारी या, ताकि श्रीमती स्वेतलाना की शादी श्री उन को भ्रानुमनि दे हो, यदि सोवियत सरकार है कि यह हमारे साथ झन्याय हुआ है, उन का हिन्दुस्तानी कानून के मृताबिक नहीं हुई। बी मालूम नहीं है, डा॰ लोहियां साहब को दूसरी जिजेश सिंह से हुई? यह सब गैरकानूनी काम हुका, मुझे पता नहीं सोवियत मरकार ने कैसे कानून का उल्लयन हुम्रा है, तो हम ममझते को यह बदाना बाहती हूं कि किसी को परमी मगर मोजूद रहती है सो हिन्दुस्तानी मानून क्यो कियेश सिह की झावी उस मुताबिक, ने उनको मनुमति दी है मौरद्वस प्रकारहमारे की दी पस्निया वी, मगर उन से से एक मे जानना बाहती ह---शीविषेश प्रनमि नही दी जानी चाहिये थी। * ٠ . (عطعطاط रहती है

फूल हो, बहुत क्षूबसूरत हा, बहुत महत्वगूण हो, बहुत मन्छी हा, में इन सब बातो का मानती हू, परन्तु यहां पर हम एक सरकारी फैसले की बात कर रहे हैं, पालियामेन्ट के फूल मौर चमेली के फूल की बाते नहीं हो रही है। घष्पक्ष महोदय, एक महिला माती है, यहा दूसरी बान यह है कि यह सारी खिचडी सारे मामले में एक महिला ब्राती है। मैं मानती है कि वह स्टाम्नि की लडकी हो, गुलाब का प्रियलेज की बात कर रहे हैं, यहा पर मुलाब के रहती है, श्री दिनेश सिंह प्रगर उन को धपने घर मे नहीं रखते तो कोई सवाल पैदा नहीं

We have only 15 So, hon, Members may make very short speeches. minutes more left Speaker: Mr.

वाष्य पूरा करते दीविषे । मैं तिर्ध हरूना ही जीवती तारकेवन्ती जिल्हा : जिन्हं सक

महमा चाइती हं कि भी दनेश सिंह अपने घर में नहीं रखते तो कोई बात पैदा नहीं होती। मेकिन बाप के घर मैं बाक र रहे, उठते, बैठते बाने की टेबिल पर, नाश्ते के समय, बाइंग रूम में हर समय कुछ न कुछ बातें होती रहती 🖁 । उन बातों की चर्चा ग्रगर एक व्यक्ति इस तरह से करे, इस तरह से उन को इस्तेमाल करे कि वह अन्तराष्ट्रीय घटना हो जाय, तो व समझतो हं कि श्रीमती स्वेतलाना ने सरासर गलती की है। इस तरह से उनको नहीं करना चाहिये था। यह गोभा की बात नहीं है, जब दिनेश सिंह जी ने उन को रहने को भौका दिया था । इमलिये, श्रव्यक्ष महोदय, मैं यह निवेदन करना चाहती हं कि यह मामला प्रिवलेज का नहीं है और इस को प्रिवलेज कमेटी में भेजने की कोई जरूरत नहीं है।

भी घटल बिहारी बाजपेयी (बलरा मपूर): भ्रव्यक्ष महोदय, मैं श्रीमती ता रकेश्वरी सिन्हा से सहमत हं कि यह मामला गुलाब के फूल का मा मला नही है, यह मदन के विशेषाधिकार का मामला है भीर भदन के विशेषाधिकारों का मामला किसी पार्टी का भामला नहीं है, यह सरकारी पक्ष का धौर विरोधी दलों का मामला नहीं है। यहां भारोप लगाया गया है कि स्वेतलाना के मामले में तच्यों को दबाया गया है, सदन को ग्रन्धेरे में रखा गया है। यह निर्णय कौन करेगा कि सदन को भनजाने में अंधेरे में रखा गया या जानवझ कर अधेरे में रखा गया ? क्या यह निर्णय मतों से किया जायगा ? यदि विशेषाधिकारों के प्रश्न को हम बतों का प्रकृत बनायेंगे तो फिर सदन के विशेषाधिकारों की रक्षा नहीं होगी। याज जो सरकारी बैंचों पर बैठे हैं वह कल प्रतिपक्ष में आ सकते हैं। उन का यह अधिकार सुरक्षित रहना चाहिये कि वे मंत्रियों से सही जानकारी प्राप्त कर सकें।

15 hrs.

ध्ययक्ष महोदय, डा ० सीहिया को लिखे पक्ष में एक बहुत मम्बीर बात है। उस दिन बी

बागला से पूछा गया था कि श्रीमती स्वेतलाना से मिलने के लिए विदेश संवालय के जी प्रधिकारी मेजे गये वह क्यों भेजे गये धीर वह क्या रिपोर्ट लाये ? डा० लोहिया को लिखे पत में उस प्रधिकारी के सम्बन्ध में बड़े गम्पीर भारोप लगाये गये हैं। श्री चागला ने कहा था कि वह अधिकारी इसलिए भेजा गया कि विदेश मंत्री स्वयं इस बात का विश्वास प्राप्त करना चाहते थे कि श्रीमती स्वेतलाना के बारे में जो कुछ कहा गया है वह ठीक है या नहीं लेकिन डा ॰ नोहिया को लिखे गये पत्र में इसरी बात कही गयी। उस प्रधिकारी ने वहा जाकर क्या किया उस के सम्बन्ध में श्री चागला का वक्तव्य सही है या ग़लत है इस का निर्णय कौन करेगा । उस श्रधिकारी को इस सदन में नही बुलाया जा मकता । उस ग्रधिकारी को विभेषाधिकार समिति में बनाया जा मकता है। श्री चागला ने रालत बयानी की, जान में या धनजान में, इस की तह में कौन जायगा ? मध्यक्ष महोदय, मुझे दुःख है, डा० राम सुभग सिंह से ऐसे प्रस्ताव की हमें भाशा नहीं थी। मैं फिर इस बात को इहराना चाहता हूं कि यह पार्टी का प्रश्न है। ईमानदारी का तकाजा तो यह या कि श्री चागला सदन से माफ़ी मांगते और कहते कि मैने कुछ ग़लस बाते कह दी हैं लेकिन झगर वे उस के लिए तैयार नहीं है तो मैं डा॰ राम सूथग सिंह से अपील करूंगा कि वह अपना प्रस्ताव वापिस ले ले या सारा मामला विशेषाधिकार समिति में जाना बाहिए। समिति में समिति के सदस्य दलों के आधार पर काम नहीं करेगे। यदि ममिति में जांच के बाद यह सिद्ध हो जाय कि किसी विशेषाधिकार का उल्लंबन नहीं किया गया है तो श्री चागला की कीर्ति बढ आयगी। उन के ऊपर झूठ बोलने का आरोप गलत साबित हो जावगा । लेकिन इस प्रश्न का निर्णय मतों से नहीं होना बाहिए । अगर मतों से इस प्रश्न का निर्णय होगा तो फिर सदन के विशेषाधि-कारों की रक्षा नहीं हो सकेगी और

[श्री घटन विहारी वाजनेवी] इस की जिल्लेदारी तरकारी दल पर होगी]

Shri P. Venkatasubhalah (Nandyal): May I ask for a clarification from Dr. Lohia?

Mr. Speaker: Afterwards.

बी शिव नारावच : अध्यक महोदय, मेरा
एक व्यवस्था का प्रक्र है । व्यवस्था मेरी यह
है कि इस हाउस में यह गवर्नमेंट 50 मेम्बर्स रन
कर रहें और 50 मिनिस्टर्स के बाद, 50, 50,
फिस्टी, फिस्टी हम और उधर के वह लोग हैं
एक मेम्बर उधर से बुलाया जाम तो एक
नेम्बर हमारी तरफ का बुलाया जाना चाहिए।
हम इस बारे में आपका प्रोटैक्शन चाहते हैं और
यह व्यवस्था होना चाहेंगे।

Mr. Speaker: It is not a point order: it is only an intended demand. The point is that only 15 minutes more are left. I thought I would call - only leaders of groups. But if House wants that other members also should be called and we should go on, I have absolutely no objection. will then have to have two hours more. I have already got a list here and am calling members according to that. In that the list of members from the Congress Party is exhausted. If others also want to speak, I have no objection.

Shrimati Lakshmikantamma: (Khammam): On this subject also a list is sent to you?

Mr. Speaker: Yes.

Shrimati Lakshmikantamma: We also want to express our opinion.

Mr. Speaker: All right. Please sit

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: I support the motion moved by Dr. Lohia for reference of this unfortunate matter for determination by the Committee of Privileges.

I have been long enough a member of the Committee of Privileges to know that when we refer a matter to that Committee, it does no mean that the here and now decide the rights and wrongs of the proposition, but want to have the matter settled by a quasi-judicial committee of this House. Therefore, I do not propose to go into the merits of the subject, in spite of the provocation very gratuitously given by the so-called amendment or the alternative motion which was put forward by the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs. Some of us have fallen victims to that provocation, and incidentally the result of it has been a characteristically attractive speech by my hon, friend Mr. Nath Pai, but I am not going into the merits of the matter at all.

I would like only to say this, that things happen in our country or appear to happen which should never have been allowed to happen, and in the House situations are created on count of the monumental ineptitude of the administration, which situations should never in any normal parliamentary set up have been allowed to be created. That is the kind of thing which has been going on, and that is wny we discover that a matter has arisen which possibly has certain deltrate nuances and certain even international implications of which we are not very fully aware, but the position to this, that in this House statements are made by Ministers without reference to one another, that inconsistenries appear in whatever statement they choose to make out of their cranium which do not happen to fit together, and therefore the charge of suppressio veri and suggestio falsi becomes available in our only too readily minds. This kind of thing has gone on I believe there is a saying too far. in English that those whom the gods destroy they first make mad. Government has lost its head, they do not, they just do not know which way to turn, and that is why the house which the Congress built is now fal-

ling down like a pack of "London Bridge is falling down, falling down, falling down", (Interruptions)

I am concluding. Here is a matter where on the motion of a member certain things arise which appear, according to your judgment, prima facie to be inconsistent with the statement made in the House with statements otherwise available. That being so, it should be referred to the Committee of Privileges There should not be any effort of the vile sort which has been made, surely under Cabinet guidance. by the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs to exonerate the There is no need to exonerate Ministers who have been charged with certain things, of which they might be very completely innocent I shall be the happiest man if our friends on the other side find themselves to be complete'y innnocent of the charge which has been brought by Dr. Lohia, but to exonerate even before the matter has gone to the Committee is an effort which requires to be scotched by this House. That is why I support the motion made by Dr Lohia.

Shri P. Venkatusubbaiah: Dr. Lohia has said that he has received letter from Mrs. Svetlana. I would like to know from House. I want a clarification ...

Mr. Speaker: What is the use the clarification? You are asking Dr. Lohia for clarification. He has reply to you. You are creating further complications. I am not allowing.

श्री सब् लिसवे : घष्ट्रयका महोदय. मैं केवल दो बार्ते कहना चाहता हं। यहा हाउस भाफ़ कामन्सका और संसदीय प्रणालियों की जो कटनीति किया गया। वहां की जो हुक्मत है, उस का जो साझाज्यबाद है उसका जो गठन है उस को हम बिलकुल नापसन्द करते हैं हामांकि इंग्लैंड की पालियामेंट की धौर उन की जो प्रक्रियाएं हैं।

उन की में इक्जत करता हूं। वहां पर मंत्री लोग बैठे है। उनके काम कैसे हैं ? इंग्लैण्ड की टोरी सरकार मे प्रोपयमों नाम का जो मंत्री था, उस के घसत्य भाषण को जब पकड़ा गया तो वह प्रधान मंत्री को जो चिटठी लिखता हैं, उसके दो जुमनों को मैं पढ़ गा :

'To my very deep regret I have to admit that this was not true, and that I mislead you, and my colleagues, and the House. I ask you to understand that I did this to protect, as I thought, my wife and family, who were equally misled, as were my professional advisers.

I have come to realise that by this deception, I have been guilty of grave misdemeanour and despite the fact that there is no truth whatever in the other charges, I cannot remain a member of your Administration, nor of the House of Commons."

उस के बाद क्या होता है ? टोरी पार्टी के जो सदन के नेता थे, "धायन मेक्लीघांड़" उन्होंने प्रस्ताव[रक्खा प्रपने मंत्री के खिलाफ, भीर वह इस तरह है जो कि मर्वसम्मति मे पास हमा :

"That Mr. John Profumo in making a personal statement to this House on the 22nd day of March 1963 which continued words which he later admitted not to be true was guilty of grave contempt of this House". (Journal of the House of Commons, 1962-63)

यह इंग्लंड की लोक समा की परम्परायें हैं इसिक्ए संसदीय परम्पराधों के ऊपर मैं भावण नहीं करना बाहता है।

दूसरी बात में यह कहना चाहता हूं कि समिति की जो पविज विशेषाधिकार प्रक्रिया है उस के धर्य कुछ माननीय सदस्य

समझ नहीं रहे हैं ? विशेषाधिकार परे सदन के. एक सदस्य के भीर उस की समितियों के होते हैं, कांग्रेस पार्टी के कोई विशेषाधिकार नहीं हैं, स्वतन्त्र पार्टी के कोई विशेषाधिकार नहीं है, समक्त सोगलिस्ट पार्टी के कोई विशेषाधिकार नहीं हैं। इसलिए जब विशेषाधिकार की धवहेलना की जाती है तो पहने तो उस प्रस्ताद पर मतदान नहीं होना चाहिए, लेकिन अगर माननीय मदस्य मतदान करना ही चाहते हैं तो मैं डा॰ राम सुभग सिंह से जानना चाहता ह कि क्या वह पहले इस बात का खुलासा देंगे कि वह मनदान के लिए कोई घादेश जारी करना चाहते हैं, कोई व्हिप जारी करना चाहते हैं ? प्रगर कोई भी ह्विप चलेगा तो वह सदन की धवहेलना होगी धौर उमके खिलाफ कल मझे प्रस्ताव देना पहेगा ।

मैं सभी माननीय मदस्यों से घणील करना बाहता हूं कि हम इस प्रस्ताव पर गम्भीरता से सोचे कि इस में क्या कहा गया है। उस में कहा गया है कि प्राईका फेसी केस हैं। इस के घलाबा घीर कुछ नहीं कहा गया हैं। इसमें विशेषाधिकार समिति को यह मामला सौंप देने का सुझाव हैं जिस से कि जो सत्य है वह सामने घा जाये। सत्य से हम सोग इतना क्यो इस्ते हैं?

भी शिव नारायन : घड्यस महोदय, मैं प्राप का अनुबहीत हू कि आप ने इस अवसर पर जब कि बीच धाफ प्रिक्तिज का सवाल हाउस के अन्दर हैं तब मुझे बोलने का मौका दिया । बीच भाफ प्रिक्लिज का प्रश्न का कर जो लोग हम को कल रिप्नेस करना चाहते हैं, वह हमारे सामने एक नमूना रख रहे हैं धीर यह नमूना कंट्री के सामने रख रहे हैं।

मैं डा॰ नोहिया से पूछना चाहता हूं कि जब उस लेडों ने उन से रिक्चेस्ट की कि वह हिन्दुस्तान में रहना चाहिती हैं और यह वैचेनर थे तो उन्होंने यह क्यों नहीं कह दिया कि तुम मेरे घर में रहों? जब वह एहा से चली गई तब उन्होंने उस के डोल पीटना मुख कर दिया। उस वक्त वह कहा पर सो रहे थे जब उस ने उनसे रिक्वेस्ट किया कि वह यहा रहना चाहती है। उस वक्त यह क्या कर रहे थे, यह मैं जानना चाहता ह। (व्यवचान) यह नमूना है जो यह लोग हमारे स मने पेश कर रहे हैं।

Some Hon Members rose

Mr Speaker: If anybody wants to put a question, I can allow that Mr. Umanath may take two minutes We have taken about an hour already.

Shri Umanath (Pudukkotta) But I am not to be victimised for your allowing others 10 or 15 minutes. I am speaking on behalf of a group

Mr. Speaker: Shri Vajpayee who spoke on behalf of a party took only two or three minutes. Mr. Mukerjee also took 3-4 minutes

Shri Umanath: I support the first doctor's motion and oppose the second doctor's Motion Apart from other reasons, for supporting the motion and opposing the other motion, the one reason and the most important reasons which I consider is, the conduct of the Congress party itself, which is going to be the sole reason why it should go to the Privileges Committee Because, as I see it in this entire affair, the conduct has been this: first, they started from shying away from the situation; now, they are trying to end up with showing their claws That is how the whole thing has gone. In this discussion, which was taking place in this House before, an important Member of the Cabinet, Mr. Dinesh Singh has to be referred to; serious allegations were there against him in this affair. What happened both in this House as well as in the other house? I find one strange thing though serious allegations were pointed out during the two or three days when this question was referred to in both the Houses, Shri Dinesh Singh never faced the situation. I find it is a strange thing. Though Mr. Dinesh Singh was a Mem. ber of the House, a Minister of the Cabinet and of the Government,he was in Delhi-it was Mr. Chaglaa strange procedure-who was meeting Mr. Dinesh Singh and asking for a statement and asking him "what is your view?", and it was Mr. Chagia who was conveying the statement to the House. That is how the whole thing has gone on. The whole thing now gone to the country.

So, the first point is, why should the Government shy away from the situation, when an important Member has alleged certain allegations, when certain allegatoins are made, why should they shy away from it? That is reason Number One. My second reason 16, normally, this question will go to the Privileges Committee. But now, an abnormal procedure is being followed by the Government. They have asked another Member of the Cabinet to move a motion like this: that means t'rey want to suppress the usual procedure by using their majority. This happened during the previous session also, using their majority which they had in greater numbers than this slender majority which they have now. Using that majortry they had suppressed so many. rights of this House and so many rights of Members, and they have seen the result in the elections, and yet, they are not learning from history. It is said that history does not repeat itself, but one exception is the Congress party. It repeats history again and again; their own conduct is being repeated; it is going on.

Mr. Speaker: Let him conclude now.

Mari Ilmanath: I am concluding: I would like to say that this whole

the country's problem has become property now. It is no more the property of this House So, since the whole country is interested, since crores of the public are interested in what the Government have done and are doing, the Congress party should not use its majority; if they use that majority it may not be suppressing the rights of the House but suppressing the feelings of the people. Also, if they repeat it, they will get another Uttar Pradesh and another Raryana which will take place aere itself.

भी रणबीर सिंह (रोहनक) मेरा व्याइट आफ मार्डर है। डा॰ मली महोदय की बाबन जो कुछ कह गहे है वह तक प्राइवेट डाक्मेट है, पब्लिक डाकुमेट नहीं है। पिलक डाकुमेंट की बाधेटिसिटी उम में नहीं है। इमिलये यह गेडमिमिबल नही है।,

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Dinesh Singh): Mr Speaker, Sir. 1 am glad the hon Member Mr. Umanath also thought of me in this context. I did not say anything in the House or even much outside the House because the matter so far raised had been addressed to the Minister and I naturally External Affairs. thought that it should be replied by

Many matters have been raised in this connection. I would not like to go into them because in many ways they relat . to private matters of Ma-'lam Svetlana Alli'ueva and her family. She did come and stay with us. she was a friend of my uncle though never married to him and she talked to me as she stayed with us in our house; she had talked to us on various personal matters, about herself, about her family, and I would not like to take this up in the House, nor would it be proper to do so.

The hon. Member Dr. Lohia may want to make po'itical capital out of it. I would not like to do so He has [Shri Dinesh Singh]

himself mentioned that she went and saw him that she had told him that she would like to stay in this country. If he was so concerned about her, that would have been the time to take up this matter, because she was in India. Even if he had a sad experience in another case, he is not deterred that sad experience from taking it up in this House. If he was genuinely inferested that she should stay in this country, that was the time He knew she was going. Apparently he tried to persuade her to stay on, but she did not agree; she went away Now he wants to rake up the whole matter and tries to prove that the Government as wrong. (Interruption).

भी मध् लिसये : ग्राम श्रारोगित है ग्रीर दमरो पर ग्रारोप करने जा रहे है ।

Mr. Speaker: He must have his sav.

Shri Dinesh Singh: I would not like to reply to these interjections made by the hon Member. He is quite good at it. So far as I am concerned, the Foreign Minister has already clarified the position. Madam Alleleuva at no time asked me to take any steps to ensure that she should stay in this country. She did not ask me either to initiate anything for a political asyluni or for permanent stay. I am not going into technical matters. Therefore, the position that has been taken by the Government is quite correct. I do not see how there is a question of any privilege in this matter.

भी राम सेवक यावच : तब जाने दीजिये न प्रिवलेज कमेटी थे।

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I have spoken on this subject already twice in this House and twice in the other House, I do not want to contribute more to the literature that has grown up around this unfortunate matter. Serious charges have been made against me and it is my duty to answer them. My friend, Mr. Nath Pai, who is sometimes carried away by the exuberance of his eloquence....

Shri Nath Pai; This is an old taunt. I know you are borrowing it from Churchill.

Shri M. C. Chagla: He has said something which I strongly resent. He asked me whether I have forgotten my law.

Shri Nath Pal: I said, it gives that impression.

Shri M. C. Chagla: Sir, I did not interrupt Mr. Nath Pai when he was speaking. I should be allowed to defend myself He asked whether I have forgotten the Latin saying suppressio vert suggestio falsi. I have not forgotten it. I have not also forgotten certain standards of integrity which I maintain throughout my life. I can assure my hon. friend, that I will not stay here even for a minute if I was asked to sacrifice even an iota of that. (Interruptions). My learned friend has been in the hespital; I am sympathetic to him. He has not read the record If he had read it, he would not have made this charge. I propose to satisfy this House that every word of what I have said is true. You will permit me. Sir. 5 or 10 minutes to defend myse f. Even my friend, Vajpayee, said that I have not been telling the truth There is nothing which I resent more than this. Say, I am wrong; I have made a mistake; 1 fallible. I will accept all that. am But if anybody tells me that I have told an untruth or I have tried to mislead the House, I will not stand it. If I am satisfied that I have done so, I will tender my resignation straightaway.

Let us look at Dr. Lohia's letter. I am prepared to establish every word of what I have said. He has quoted some passages. I will read by passage and passage satisfy this House that every word, every comma and ' semi-colon of what I have said is correct. ruptions). Please give me a patient hearing. I gave you a patient hearing. After all, I have been charged with a serious charge and I have a right to defend myself before this House. Let us look at Dr. Lohia's letter. Re says:

"Mr. Chagla made the fo'lowing assertions in the course of the statement on 21st March:

'I have got the statement here with me.

"The Swiss Minister of Justice said on March 14 that both India and the United States have refused Mrs. Allilueva's request for asylum".

Dr. Lohia's letter says this is correct. I have checked up the official record and it is not correct. That is what 1 said:

"This is incorrect."

Then I went on to say:

"The position is, she came, as I said, with a Soviet passport with a visa for a certain period. When she asked for the extension of the visa, we granted it. After that, at no time did she indicate any desire on her part to stay further in India nor was any request received by us from the Soviet Embassy or from her that she wanted to continue to stay in India."

Every word of this is true I was here talking officially because—I will come to the latter part afterwards—I was talking as the Minister of External Affairs. So far as the Ministry of External Affairs was concerned no request was received for either an extension of the visa or for a desire to stay in this country. Then I went on to say:

"Therefore, no question of giving her asylum in India arises. She came with a passport and if she wanted to stay further, we would have considered the question of extending the visa. The question did not arise because she never suggested she wanted to

stay beyond the time the visa would expire, which was 15th March."

That was the first passage. Let us come to the second passage. This is what I have said:

"It is absolutely incorrect to say that this lady made any request to the External Affairs Ministry, to any Minister or to the Prime Minister, to stay on in this country."

This is absolutely correct. I am referring to me as the Minister of External Affairs. I am referring to the Prime Minister, to the position she occupies. I am referring to my colleague as the Minister of States as he then was. Then I went on to say:

"Leave aside a pathetic re-

Shri Nath Pai had made a great plea on this, I said:

"Leave aside a pathetic request was not made."

This is perfectly true. I went on to say:

"I have said it before and I repeat that we extended the visa and there was no question or suggestion that she wanted to stay on in India."

Now comes the last paragraph:

"Now a distinction has been made or sought to be made that I have merely stated that no request was made for her stay here either to the government or to the Minister, and the insinuation is that she might have made that request to Mr. Dinesh Singh in his personal capacity. I have the authority..."

This is not my knowledge; please note this:

"I have the authority to state to this House categorically that at no

[Shri M. C. Chagia]

time, either orally or in writting, did she make a request to him either in his capacity as Minister of State for External Affairs or in his personal capacity as a relation of hers through Shri Brijesh Singh This is a categorical statement that I am making to this House."

This is the statement I received from Minister of Commerce. Minister of Commerce has explained under what circumstances this statement came to be made. Therefore, this was not my knowledge. What was my knowledge was as Minister which I had placed before this House. When I was asked, what about Shri Dinesh Singh's action in his personal capacity, it was my duty to ascertain from him what was the position Having ascertained it from him came and placed it before the House What is the untruth that I have told this House. What is Shri Nath Pai talking about suppressio veri. It is all very well to know a little Latin Shri Nath Par knows that a little Latin is a dangerous thing.

Now, Sir, let us come to the merits I am surprised at Shri Masani and also my hon. friend Shri Madhok saying that the reputation of the Government is at stake unless we go to a Committee of Privileges What was the rule enacted for? Let us have a look at the rule.

Rule 226 says :

"If leave under rule 225 is granted, the House may consider the question and come to a decision or refer it to a Committee of Privileges on a motion made either by the member who has raised the question of privilege or by any other member."

Therefore, the rule themselves contemplate two alternatives open to this House, one to go to the Committee of Privileges, the other, to decide the question on merits on the floor of the House itself. The sole question is: is this a question which should go to a Committee of Privileges or is it a question which should be decided on the floor of the House? I cou'd not understand why some hon. Members have said that there is something disgraceful, something utterly bad in the suggestion made from this side of the House that this is a question which should be decided on merits in the House itself and should not be sent to the Committee of Privileges. Now, let me satisfy you why it should not go to the Committee of Privileges.

An hon. Member: You cannot satisty us.

Shri M.C. Chagla: First, what it the issue before us?

Shri M.R. Masani: Who is lying, that is the issue

Shri M.C. Chagia: Shri Masani, will you have some patience? A statement made by an hon. Minister ...

भो मन् लियवे : सन्र कहा है।

Shri M.C. Chagla: Shri Limaye, that is a very cheap jibe. It does not become the leader of a group to speak in that vein... (Interruptions).

श्रीमण लिलये : ग्रामी ग्राप नेश्री नाय पांको क्याकड़ा?

Shri M. C. Chagla: I will tell you what the position is. You have, on the one side, a statement made by an hon. Minister on the floor of this House it to what the position is. On other side-I am assuming this; this is not the case; if I have the time, I can show that there is no contradiction; Here I am only assuming-you have a letter written by a private individual. giving a different version. I am taking the extreme view. We know under what circumstances that letter was written or received. do not know whether the contents of the letter are correct. Are you prepared for an investigation to take place because a private person challenges

the varacity of the statement of a Minister (Interruptions).

की व्यक् लिवने : उसी के बारे में सारा वानवा है।

Shri M.C. Chagla : Sir, this is a very serious matter.. I hope the will bear with me. I agree that we must lay down the principles and procedure not only for ourselves but for the House. This is a very serious matter. As I said, some day hon, Members on the other side might be some Ministers.

श्री मथ लिनये: तो क्या हमा ? हम ग्राप की तरह भागेंगे नही।

Shri M C. Chagia: Are you going to refer every case where a private citizen challenges the veracity of a Minister to a Committee of Privileges? what you are going to lay Is this down? If a matter of public importance is invo'ved. I can understand it. But what is the position here?

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy : The difference between a private person... (Interruption):

Mr Speaker : Order, order. The hon. Minister is not yielding.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Sir, on a point of order. . . (Interruptions).

Shri Umanath: Sir, you can ask us to sit down but not the whole gang sitting opposite What is this nonsense? . . . (Interruptions). I have noticed it twice or thrace, whenever anybody speaks from this side, they go on shouting. Sir, you must tell them to stop shouting . . . (Interruptions). You are keeping quiet. I am not going to be cowed down by them. I ask them to shut up. . . (Interruptions).

This Mr. Speaker: Order, order. is not the way. It is simply running commentary and shouting at every speaker going on. Both sides are doing

it (Interruption). They must not do it. I appeal you. You can say something if he yields. Do not think of others; they shout from both sides. You can say something provided he yields, but he is not yielding.

Privilege

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: All this period I had not opened my mouth. When I spoke. Shri Chagla was listening but these people shouted. If this goes on there will be no end. I tell you, the House cannot be carried on like this even for a moment. This is not the way that the House should be carried on. If there is any Leader of te House, he should tell these people; otherwise, you cannot carry on. There must be some order somewhere.

I only wanted Shri Chagla to clarify whether the private individual that he was mentioning is an ordinary private individual or it is the person concerned who has written a letter like this. He must make a distinction between a private individual and the person concerned.

Shri A K. Gopalan (Kasargod): If this continues, till now there is only exchange of words, there will be exchange of blows also

Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah : I will only make an appeal to you I would also request the leaders. The trouble starts from there. We have been keeping quite and they cannot charge us that we are shouting There is a running commentary from every Member of the Opposition. . . (Interruption)

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy : Not even once did I open my mouth.

The Deputy Prime Minister Minister of Finance (Shri Morarji Desai): May I make an appeal to my hon, friends here and there also? If we are going to have a repetition of this and if either side is going to say that it will retaliate, where shall we end? Where did it start? That a'so should be considered. I am not justifying anything done on my side. I

[Shri Morarji Desai]

must say that; that is not a question, of justifying anything. But if you look to human psychology, there should be patience shown by everybody. When an hon. Member is speaking and if, he is being interrupted all while, there is a provocation. Even then I request my hon, friends not to be provoked; but, after all, because of that provocation we begin to threaten. Even when the Speaker is standing, somebody stands up. When my hon. friend is speaking, if any hon. Member there wants to say something, he cannot immediately stand up and do it. unless he vields ground. If all this is not followed by us, I feel we will be nowhere

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy : You cannot justify this. It is wrong .. . (Interruption):

Shri M. C. Chagla: Sir. I was trying to explain (Interruption)

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I have not even once opened my mouth. There is a limit to one's patience, I tell you. Some of us want decorum and prestige of the House to be maintained. We have been fighting for that for the last so many years but if this be the attitude, what Shri Gopalan has said probably may happen. You want the House to be reduced to that, I am quite convinced now . . . (Interruption).

Shri Morarii Desai: May I request the hon. Member not to be excited over this? I am not finding fault with anyone. I am only saying that all of us have to consider it. If in spite of that he keeps on like that, I think, we will be in a very sorry plight.

Mr. Speaker: Tempers are a little frayed. But one side, after ali, cannot do it. Presumbly, one side starts something wrong; but the other side copying it cannot make it right. Therefore if these friends, who are saying that the trouble started from there. going to CODY them. are are they doing whything right? Are you doing anything right? If you do something wrong and they copy it, they are not doing anything right. After all, the Minister is replying to a serious debate. So many allegations have been made.

I would request the whole House, including the Government and the Congress benches, and all of you, to hear him patiently and then Dr. Lohia has the chance to reply. The running commentary, now and then, is permitted but the continuous running commentary and shouting is not permitted. I may tell you how patiently people have been hearing you. Therefore, I would appeal to the Members that there should be a limit to the runing Commentary and Mr. Yadav should not go to the length of continuously shouting at everybody who speaks on this side. I would appeal to all Members to hear the hon. Minister with patience.

An Hon. Member: Why should there be a threat of blows? (Interruptions)

Shri M. C. Chagia: Sir, I was trying to point out to this House that the rule permits two alternatives, eiher to go to a committee or to decide the issue on the floor of the House itself. I want to explain to you why the Members on this side of the House have taken the decision not to go to a committee but to decide it here.

As I said, it would be the most dangerous precedent to lay down-I warm the hon Members opposite to bear this in mind-that every time the Minister's word is challenged by any private individual, by a private letter furnished in this House, you must go to the Committee of Privileges to decide that. Secondly, I could have understood it the matter was of public importance. It is a private letter. What the question of public importance?

Shri M. R. Masshi: Refusal to give asylum.

Side a

Shri M. C. Chagia: Here is a voung Russian lady who comes here in her private capacity on a Russian passport with a visa granted by our Embassy in Moscow for one month. She comes here and stays in a private capacity with Mr. Dinesh Singh or his uncle Her visa expires. We renew it, Instead of returning to Russia, she goes to Rome and ultimately to Switzerland. Today, she is leading there the life of a quiet private citizen. She is not an Indian citizen We have nothing to do with her publicly officially. What is the issue involved? The only issue involved can be: Did we refuse to give asylum? She herself does not say that she asked for asylum. Now, may I ask Dr. Lohia the champion of damsels in distress. this question?

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: श्रव डम के मतलब हो गए सभी कांद्रेसी महिलाए।

Shri M. C. Chagla: He knew this in January. According to him, in January, he had talk with her and he got evidence of her talk with various people. What was it? Did he ever approach the Minister concerned? If he had sent a word to me, that here is a woman who is being forced to leave our country, I would certainly have seen to it I agree with Mr Nath Pai that this is a country which has got a reputation of giving asylum to many refugees. Why did Dr. Lohia not approach us? What that shows I leave it to the House to judge.

One thing more I want to say and that is about the point raised by Mr Vajpayee. I told this House that I will not make a statement till I have myself checked the correctness of every statement I was going to make. For that purpose, I sent Mr. Jaipal to Switzerland. He had an interview with her. He came and reported to me and I was satisfied that what I had said was true. Now, take your mind to what the issue then was. was being said was that she was kidnapped, that she had gone out of this country against her will, that she had been driven out and that we had done nothing.

Now let us go through the whole letter. Does it or does it not bear out what I said? This is what he said:

"Mr Jaipal took the letter from me to Dinesh Singh to prove that nobody in India knew about any plan to defect and nobody helped ..."

Shri Nath Pai: Read the previoussentence. This is precisely suppressio.

Shri M. C Chagla: I will read:

"Mr. Jaipal did his best to explain to me that my talk with Dinesh Singh and his refusal were private"

which is perfectly true,

". that I should not consider that as a reply of the Government of India. I do not understand much in diplomacy, but I got the opinion, the certain opinion expressed by the Minister of State,—why should I neglect it? Or have I to say now that it did not ever happen?"

So, Mr. Jaipal explained to her, assuming that it is true, "look, you have not made any application to the Government, you have not made any application to the Minister; therefore, no application can be entertained; if you did make any application to Mr. Dinesh Singh in his private capacity, that is a different matter" What is wrong about this? What is untrue about this? What have I said which is contrary to this? You may see what emerges from this letter

This theory of complicity with the United States, the theory of complicity with the CIA agents, the theory that she was kidnapped, the theory that she was taken to the Airport in secrecy and bundled out, all that has been exploded. This letter conclusively shows one thing that this woman made up her mind—I do not know at what time, day or night; she did not want to go to Russia and she wanted to go somewhere else. The fact of the

(Shri M. C. Chagla).

2985

matter is, the truth that emerges is, that she u'timately wanted to go to Russia, her children were there ..

Shri M. E. Masani: Certainly not there.

Shri M. C. Chagia: Her were there: that was her home, but at a particular moment of time, she changed her mind and said, "I would not go to Russia, I will walk to the American Embassy and ask for visa". Whatever that may be, all that I want to say in conclusion is that it would be a bad precedent, a very bad precedent, to refer every complaint that comes from a private source against a Minister to a Committee of Privileges I would ask this House not to look upon it as a party question. Privilege is the privilege of the House and not of a party If you think that the matter is grave, if you think that it involves a public policy, if you think that the conflict is so serious that it cannot be reconciled, certainly go to the Committee, but do not waste more time, do not create more difficulties, do not allow repercussions on the international situation by having a Committee of inquiry, calling witnesses, the matter coming here again and there being a further discussion

Therefore, I strongly support motion moved by Dr Ram Subhag Singh

डा० राज नगोहर लोहिया : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, जनसंत्र का धर्य है बादविवाद धीर बहस । और बहस का प्रधं है सत्य । जब तक सत्य न हो तब तक बहस नहीं हो सकती। जब तक बहस न हो तब तक जनतंत्र मही हो सकता । इसी भाषार पर वह प्रस्ताव धाप के सामने आया है। भी भगर कही किमी देश में मन्त्रियों की घादत पड जाय कि वह किसी फंसाब के मौके पर असत्य बोल कर के प्रवान हटना बाहें तो फिर

उस सदन का कर्तव्य हो जाता है कि विश्वेषा-धिकार उतनी ही बार बावे, रोड बावे क्योंकि इंग्लिस्तान का यहां उदाहरण दिया गया हैं तो वहां अन्त्रियो की आदत नहीं है शुठ बोलने की । वहा की जनता ग्रसस्य को पकड लिया करती है। मैं समझता हं जल्दी वह दिन हमारे यहां भी भाने बाला है जब हमारे यहां भी भसत्य बोलते हुए शाजनीति करने बाने लोग वबडायेगे । इसलिए सब से पहली बात मुझे यह कहनी है कि खद बन्ही महोदयों ने बात को स्वीकृत कर लिया मरी है। क्योंकि श्री दिनेश सिंह ने केवल इतना कहा है कि स्वेतलाना जी की कही हुई बात झूठी है भीर कुछ नहीं कहा है । अब इस सदन के सामने बिल्कुल साफ सबाल या गया कौन झुठा है? क्या स्वेतलाना जी झूठ बोल रही हैं या क्या दिनेश सिंह जी अमत्य बोल रहे है ? मैं जानबुझ कर झुठ न कह कर ग्रसत्य शब्द का इस्नेमाल कर रहा हु हालांकि मतलब दोनों का एक ही होता है। कौन यहा पर गडबड कर रहा है ? इमलिए केवल यही एक प्रथम यह जाता है और मैंने कहा है कि जो इन लोगों के बयानों की ग्रान्तरिक गवाही है उस से भी साबित हो जाता है कि स्वेतलाना जी सही बोल रही है लेकिन मुझे ग्रभी उस का फैसला नहीं करना है। विशेषाधिकार समिति के सामने इमलिए द: नं नला जाना चाहिए। श्राखिर चागुला साहब का यह कह देना कि यह मामला तो किसी एक व्यक्ति क्य है कोई मतलब नही रखना वह भी एक विशेषाधिकार का मामला हो जाता है क्योंकि जिस व्यक्ति का मामला है उसी को कहने का मौका नहीं दिया जाता है। स्वेतलाना जी का मामला है और स्वेतलाना कुछ कह रही हैं तो यह मामला विशेषाधिकार समिति के पास जाना वाहिए ताकि मानुम हो सके कि कीन सड़ी बोल रहा है (व्यवदान) में इस से नहीं वयकाता, खूब व्यवधान करिये

बरीर यह संसदीय है हालाकि बाप की सरफ बालों को जब इधर से बीच मे खचीटा जाता है तो बड़े चवडा जाते हैं वह लोग क्षेकिन ऐसे खर्चाटने से मैं नही बबडाता । कोई बात नहीं है, मेरे बीच मे व्यवसान करो यह बिल्कल ससदीय है बीच मे शेकना ।

मैं आपसे यह निवेदन कर रहा था कि स्वेतलाना का बीर श्री दिनेश सिंह का मामला इतना साफ हो गया है कि विशेषा-धिकार का इस पर निर्णय होना चाहिए भौर इसीलिए भव तक केवल एक भाषण उस तरफ से हचा है जो इस विषय से सम्बन्धित है और वह श्री चटर्जी का है बाकी सब प्रसगत भाषण हए। उन्होने भी केवल श्री मावलकर का हवाला देते हए कहा कि सत्य को छिपाना ही केवल विशेषा-धिकार नही हो जाता है। यहा सत्य को छिपाया नही गया है यहा असत्य बोला गया है। क्या स्वेतलाना ने हिन्द्स्तान में रहने की इजाजन चाही यह है सवाल? चाही तो भ्रसत्य बोला गया या नही, मत्य को छिपाने वाली बात नही है। दूसरी बात उन्होंने यह कही कि भगर कोई मती एक बयान यहा दे दे दूसरा बयान कही धौर देदेशे प्रसगत बातों को लेकर विशेषा-विकार नहीं हो सकता। सवाल यह नहीं है कि मती धगर धसत्य बयान दे दे तो क्या होना चाहिए? इसलिए बहुत ताकत के साथ मैं कहना चाहुगा कि चाहे जुही जितना ज्यादा जले कि मैं चमेली और चम्पा के बारे मे कुछ ज्यादा बोल गया लेकिन मैं जही को बतलाना बाहता ह कि मैं उस की भी कड़ करता ह और मैने कभी किसी मदं भीर भीरत के दिल की धड़कन को सूनना नहीं चाहा जिस ने पहले से स्वीकारान हो कि उस के विस की ब्रष्टकन को मैं सुनु ऐसा जिंदगी ने मैंने कभी नहीं किया ह । इसलिए एक नीय में नित्कल साफ बतला देना चाहता ह

कि चागला साहब ने जो वहा पर बयान दिया है जयपाल साहब के बारे मे उस का स्वेतलाना का जो सम्बन्धित बाक्य है वह मैं पढ दू। मुझे फिर बडे अफसोस के साथ शंग्रेजी पढ़नी पह रही है

"Mr Jaipal did his best to explain to me that my talk with Dinesh Singh and his refusal were private, that I should not consider that as a reply of the Government of India"

यह प्राइवेट बात थी यह उस की बिटठी मे है। चागला साहब उसी चिटठी पर निर्भर करते है। घगर ऐसी बात है, तो बागला साहब खद कह चके हैं Whether officially or unofficially, privately or publicly, orally or in writing. उस बक्त तो बहुत ज्यादा फैल गय मगर श्रव चवराते है कि वहा पर ऐसी चिट्टी लिख कर भेज दी है। जब एक दखी औरत हो या सुखी ग्रीरत है इस बात को छोड़ दो। सवाल यह उठ ग्राना है कि श्रव यहा पर रूस का भी मामला या गया है तो जरा कुछ रूस की तरफ नी जो चिद्रिया आप के पास आई हैं बह भी इस नदन को मालम होनी चाहियें क्योंकि मुझे ता एक बात मालूम होती है कि कोई बहुत गैर जह री दग से यह लोग चबडा गये हैं। गैर जरूरी दम से घवडा गये है । प्रव यहा पर झाप देखे उनका यह नहना है कि रोम के इन्टरनेशनल एयरपोर्ट मे यह लोग गये, चबडाहट मे गये। क्यो गये ? हल्ला म**का,** मेरे जैसे प्राद्मिया की तरफ से। वहा गये उस को कहने, जो कुछ बाई हमा सी हुमा, चलो बापिम भाभो । भव वह बेबारी क्या कह रही है ?

"I refused because I knew that was a request of Moscow".

वह डर गयी। शायद मौस्कुषा ने भारत सरकार को कहा कि भाई उस को बुसवा लो वापिस और उसको उन्होंने यहां बुलवाना बाह्य फिर न जाने क्या कर डासते ? यह सब बबडाहट में मामले हो रहें और बाख [डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया] भी चबडाइट में यह लोग बोल रहे हैं। क्योंकि न खण्छा हो एक दफा तो वह बोल दे जो सच बात हो, घबडा कर कभी यह कहानी बनाना, कभी दूसरी कहानी बनाना धौर कभी तीसरी कहानी बनाना यह तो घच्छा नहीं होता है।

मुझ से बहुत लोगो ने कहा कि मैंने खुद क्यो नहीं उसकी मदद की (व्यवधान) मैं उसे महिला नहीं कहुगा। जिन भौरतो की मैं इज्जत करता हू उन्हें मैं धौरत कहा करता हु। लोगो ने कहा कि मैंने खुद उसकी सदद क्यो नही की ? मैंने तो सदद करनी चाही थी। वह बैचारी समझती थी कि प्रनुनय बिनय से काम चल जायेगा । लडने के लिये वह तैयार नहीं थी । मुझे कुछ धनुभव हो बुका । जागला साहब ने वहा कि मेरी एक चिट्ठी का ससर पडेगा। मेरी चिट्ठी ? यह जितने लोग यहा बैठे हुये है, नेरी चिटठी जायगी तो ठीक उससे उलटा यह काम करेगे इयोकि इनको मालूब है कि यह जो कुछ कह रहे हैं श्रायद हम लोगो की जड खोदने के लिये कह रहे हैं। मेरी चिट्ठी का असर नही पडता। मैंने उस मारकोस किनर (?) की बाबत मीस्क्या भीर इस मरकार को न जाने कितनी बार लिखा है कि उस अमरीकन औरत के साथ अन्याय हुआ है। उसको जबरदस्ती द्याप लोगों ने इस देश से निकाला है। भेरी बिट्ठी का कोई असर नही पडा लेकिन फिर भी में तैयार था क्योंकि स्वेनलाना रहती यहा पर और वह अपने माननीय सदस्य है बस्ती बासे, मैंने कहा या कि स्वेतलाना घाकर मेरे कर मे रहे तो वह घाकर क्यो नहीं रही क्योंकि बेबारी स्टालिन की लडकी थी। स्टालिन चाहे जैसा भादमी रहा हो येरी समझ मे बच्छा बादमी नहीं बा, कुछ बच्छा बा, कुछ बुरा था, निश्चित था, नावद बुरा ज्यादा बा, बुरा और अच्छा एक विश्वित भावनी बा, उसकी वह सड़की बी। आब जितने

मामले उठ रहे हैं वह एक नही, हजारी नीन ऐसे हैं जो बाज ससार में बसहाय और निरीह हैं जिनकी पूछताछ करने बाला कोई नही है। मैं चाहता हु कि उनका भी मामला उठे। उठाया भी है मैंने। लेकिन मुक्लि यह है कि यह स्टालिन की लक्की है इसलिये यह मामला इतना उठ गया है। क्या मुझे उन करोडो देशवासियो का पता नहीं है जो धाज विना खाय, करीव करीव मर चुके हैं या मर रहे हैं ? लेकिन सिर्फ वही घादमी वही सरकार इन करोड़ी लोगो की भूख से जो दिल की धडकन होती है उसे पहचान सकती है जो स्वेतलाना के दिल की धडकन को भी पहचाने। दोनो मिली जुली हैं, पेट की धडकन भ्रीरमन की धडकन मिली जुली 81

उधर से माननीय मदस्यों ने कई बार कहा जैसे कि हमने सदन का कोई बक्त खगब किया हो, लगान के बारे मे बहस करा, भूख के बारे म बहस करो। जानते हो ग्रच्छ तरीके से कि भूख और मन और मन सहिता और क्तिना धनाज होना चाहिये उसके बारे मे इस सदन म जिननी बहम मैंने की है उतनी किसी ने नहीं की हैं निवन क्या ग्रमर पडा ? ग्रमर कही पडता नही है। इसलिये सीघा सा जवाब यह है कि एव तो समर पडेगा जनता के उपर जब वह ग्राप लोगो को यहा से विदा करेगी और दूसरा असर यह पडेगा सत्य भीर ग्रसत्य पर । सत्य क्या 🕏 प्रसत्य क्या है [?] में जानता हू बहुत घवडाये हुये हो, कई तरह की बातें कर रहे हो। जानने हो श्री दिनेश सिंह ने, मैं कहना चाहता हू कि मूझ को न जाने कितनी बाते बताई घड क्या मैंने उनकी वह बाते यहा पर बताई ?

श्री दिनेश सिंह मैंने भी क्या आप की बातें यहां पर कही ?

डा० राज ननोहर सौहिया : यनो दोनों एक जैसे हैं ।

2992

फिर क्यों कहते हो कि मैं ज्यादा यहां पर राजनीतिक बात करता हूं। उस मंग्रेजी सरकार ने 10 दिन तक लगातार मुझ को नाहौर के किले में सोने नही दिया दिन रात सब भी उन्हें कोई बात नही मालूम हुई इसलिये आप बेफिक रहिये आप की कोई बात यहां नही मालुम होगी

श्री विनेश सिंह: डा॰ माहन ग्राप की भी कोई बात मेरं द्वारा मालूम नही होगी। 16 hrs.

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया में तार-केम्बरी जी को भी कहना चाहना ह कि न जाने कितनी बाते वह मुझ को बनला जानी हैं लेकिन मैं ने क्या कभी उनको कहा है ? भौर उनको ही क्या न जाने कितने लोग भीर भी बैठे हुये है यहा, उन्होने अब कई बाते मुझ से कही है लेकिन वह बाने मैं नही कहना। धाप उन्हें सुन भी नहीं सकोगे। मेरे लिये यह मारा मामला विलकुल ऐसा आ पटा है जो मारा वाक्या है

श्रीनती तारकेश्नरी सिन्हा जो भी द्याप बनाना चाहे बता दे मुझे उससे कोई खनग नही है थाप उसे कह सकते है।

का० राज जनोहर लोहिया में नहीं कहुगा। नहीं कहुगा तारकेण्यरी जी, जिलना भी उकमाधोगी मैं नही कहूगा, नही तो फिर कहोगी कि तुम्हारी बाते बतला दी। इस-लिये एक बान बहुन जरूरी हो जाती है कि जब यहा पर इननी बातें कह दी गई, जो कुछ भी रूप में हुआ, तो मैं कह दू कि मैं सचमुच कत का दोस्त बनना चाहता हु और हुं भी। बाहं वह कुछ भी समझते हो इस वस्त । मैं अमरीका का भी दोस्त बनना चाहता हु। एक कार्य हम लोगो पर है, भीर में इस सदी के सब से कूर काम, यानी देशों के बटवारे की, खत्म कर के देशों को इकट्टा करना बाहता हुं। भारत पाकिस्तान को बचाना चाहता हूं, द्वीमीं का बीस्त बनना चाहता हूं । इसलिये

जरूरी हो जाता हैकि इस संबंध में जो कुछ रूस के साथ बातचीत हुई या खत-किताबत हुई, उसको इस सदन के पटल पर रक्खा आये, स्वेतालाना का खत जो भाग है वह भी सदन पटल पर रक्खा जाये । भीर सब से बड़ी बात यह है कि विशेषाधिकार ममिति के पास जो प्रस्ताव जा रहा है, ध्रगर उमको डा॰ राम सुभग सिंह ...

एक भाननीय सबस्य : मरेगे ।

डा० राज जनोहर लोहिया प्रभी उन की उम्म बहुत ज्यादा नहीं हुई है, उनकी कुछ उम्म बाकी है, इमलिये मुझ से कुछ मबक सीखे। बहुत बुरे मरेगे। इतना ही मुझें उनमे कहना है। इस बार तो किसी तरह बच गये, ग्रगर्ना वार नहीं बच पायेगे।

विशेषाधिकार समिति के मामले मे कोई ऐसी चीन नहीं है। अगर आप समझने हैं कि मेरे प्रस्ताव में. (व्यवधान) ग्रगर व्यवधान हो और मै उसे सून लू तब तो मै उसका जवाब द्।

भी शिव नारायच : 400 वोटो से माये हो।

एक माननीय सबस्य कोई मनलब की बाने करो।

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: भेरी बातें तां मारी बेमतलब की होती हैं क्योंकि तुम को उबाड दिया करती हैं। ग्राप के मतलब की नही है इसलिये बेमतलब हैं।

बगर उनको केवल एक शब्द से झगड़ा है कि मेरे प्रस्ताव में बाइमा केसी सब्द बाया है, यानी चेहरा केखते ही साबित ही जाबे, तो में अपनी तरफ से सुझाब दे रहा हूं, और शायद मसानी साहब को भी यह ज्यादा यसन्द सावे और उन को भी पसन्द आवे, कि वेरे प्रस्ताव में जो प्र.हजा केती मध्य धाया है [डा॰ राम मनीहर लोहिया]

उसको हटा दिया आये । उसको हटा कर जितना मामला हो वह सब विशेषाधिकार समिति को सौंप दिया जाये। विशेषाधिकार समिति के सामने मामला आयेगा तो पता बल जायेगा कि कौन सब बोल रहा है भीर कौन झुठ।

घाट्यक्ष महोदय, मैं घाप से भी निवेदन करूगा कि जरा थोड़ी देर के लिये ग्राप मेरी तरफ देखें क्योंकि मैं थोडी सी घंपील घाप से करना चाहता ह। घपनी नजर धाप इधर रक्खे तो अच्छा होगा। यह चीज मामली नही होगी। भारतवर्ष के इतिहास में यह लिखा रहेगा कि सत्य और असत्य के बीच की लकीर के बारे में इस सदन ने क्या किया। यहा सत्य के धन को छिपाने वाली बात नहीं है। यहा मित्रयों की प्रसगत बातो की बात नहीं है, यहां सत्य ग्रीर ग्रसत्य की बात है। पतली लकी की बात नहीं है, बल्कि बह मोटी लकीर है जोकि सस्य की ग्रसस्य से धलग करती है। माननीय दिनेश सिंह जी कहते हैं कि स्वेतलाना ने कभी उन से यहा रहने के लिये नहीं कहा, मैने साबित किया है कि उसने यही रहने के लिये कहा है। यह सीधी सी बात है। कोई पतनी लकीर नहीं है, बड़ी मोटी लकीर है। इस मोटी लकीर के मबध में भाष सब लोगों से मेरा निवेदन है कि जरा इस तरफ धपने मत को दीजियेगा।

Shri M. R. Masani: Mr. Speaker, before you put the motion to the vote of the House, would you permit me to make an appeal to the movers of both the motions? I think something unfortunate would otherwise be happening. I appeal to Dr. Lohia and Dr Ram Subhag Singh to withdraw their respective motions. I would request the Minister of External Affairs to tell the House that if madame Svetlana wants asylum in India and says so, we shall grant it. I think it will be a happy end.

Shr M. C. Chagla: I have said thus; I repeat it. If she applies for a visa . . .

Shri M. R. Masani: Asylum.

Shri M. C. Chagia: If she wants to stay permanently in our country, we will certainly favourably consider it. (Interruptions).

बा॰ राज जनोहर लोहिया: यह देखिये, नया कहते हैं। फ्रेंबरेज्ली कंस्तिबरे कहते हैं।

Shri Nath Pai: Will you grant it or not?

Mr. Speaker: How can you force him to make a statement like that?

Shri Nath Pai: We should grant it.

Mr. Speaker: I shall put the motion to vote now Is there any proposal to withdraw it?

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया . जी नही । मगर वह देदेते, उन को यहा माने देते, तो बात भ्रलगथी । यह नही हो सकता । मैं भ्रपना प्रस्ताव वापस नहीं लेता ।

भी मचुलिमये यह साफ कहे कि वह प्रासकती है।

Mr. Speaker: They said that she could come here and they would be happy to give her asylum

बी मचु लिमचे प्रधान मली कहे।

Shri Nath Pai: Mr. Speaker, perhaps Mr. Chagia means to grant it but he himself knows that the reply he has given does not carry conviction because this is a stereotype reply which is in the printed letter: the matter is under sympathetic consideration. But it takes years and years, What he wan's to say, let him say frankly, openly and

2006-

clearly: if she applies, certainly she will be entitled to come; we shall certainly grant it. Why do you hesitate? What are you afraid of, if you mean that?

Shri M. R. Masani: I think the answer is as good as an assurance and I appeal to both the movers to withdraw the motions. . . (Interruptions.)

Mr. Speaker: Shall I put the motion to the vote of the House? All right. The question is:

"It is the sense of the House that prima facie, there is a discrepancy between the repeated and categorical assertions of the Minister of External Affairs, on his own behalf and that of the Minister and Commerce Government headed by the Prime Minister and the letters from Mrs. Svetlana to Dr. Lohia; and the House, therefore resolves to refer the matter to the Privileges Committee."

का० राज मनोहर सीहिया: "डास्टर" ।। सन्द हटा दीजिये। मेरे प्रस्ताव में वह सन्द नहीं है।

Mr. Speaker: Unfortunately it used in the printed motion; you may not have used it.

Those in favour of the motion may say: Aye.

Some hon. Members: The Ayes,

Mr. Speaker: Those against themotion may say: no.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: I think the Noes have it.

Some Hon. Members: The Ayes have it.

Mr. Speaker: They want a division. Let the Lobbies be cleared.

The Lok Sabha divided.

Division No. 31 Abraham, Shri K. M. Adichan, Shri P. C. Ahmed, Shri J. Amat, Shri D. Amin, Prof. R. K. Amin, Shri Ramchandra Amersey Shri M. Anbuchezhian, Shri Anirudhan, Shri K. Atam Das, Shri Ram Agarwal. Shri Singh. M. Banerjee. Shri S. Behera, Shri Baidhar Bhadoria. Shri Arjun Singh. Bharat Singh Shri Bharti. Shri Maharaj Singh Birua, Shri Kolai Biswas, Shri J. M. Bohra, Shri Onkarlal Bril Bhushan Lal, Shri Bhagaban Das. Shri Chakrapani. Shri C. K. Chandra Shekhar, Singh Chatterjee, Shri H. P. Chaudburi, Shri Tridib

Kumar Chittyhaiks, Shri C. Chowdhury Shri B. K.

Dandekar Shri N.

AYES Dar. Abdul Gani Deo, Shri K. P. Singh Deo, Shri P. K. Desai, Shri C C. Devgun, Shri Hardayal Dhandapani, Shri Dhirendranath, Shri Dipa, Shri A. Dwivedy, Shri Surendranath Esthose, Shri P. P. Fernandes, Shri George Gayatri Devi, Shrimati Ghosh, Shri Ganesh Singh, Girraj Saran Shri Gopa'an, Shri A. K. Gopalan, Shri P. Shrimati Gopalan, Suseela Gowd, Shri Gadilingana Gowder, Shri Nanja Gupta, Shri Kanwarlal Jageshadur, Shri Jai Bahadur Singh, Shri Jana Banadur Sinki, Sari Jena, Shri D. D. Jha, Shri Bhogendra Jha, Shri S. C. Joshi Shri Jaganath Rao Joshi, Shri S. M. Kabir, Shri Humavun Kachwai, Shri Hukam Chand

118.11 hrs. Kalita, Shri Dhiveshwar Kameshwar Singh, Shri Kandappan, Shri S. Kaushik, Shri K. M. Kedar Paswan, Shri Khan, Shri Ajmal Khan, Shri Ghayoor Ali Khan, Shri Latafat Ali Khan. Shri Zulfiquar Kisku, Shri A. K. Kothari. Shri S. S. Krishnamoorthi. Shri V. Krishnan, Shri G. Y Kundu, Shri S. Kunte, Shri Dattatraya Kushwah, Shri Y. S. Lakkappa, Shri K. Limaye Shri Madhu Lohia, Dr. Ram Manohar Madhok, Shri Bal Raj Madhuka, Shri K. M. Majhi, Shri M. Mangalathumadom, Masani, Shri M. R. Meetha Lai, Shri Meghachandra. Shri M. Menon. Shri V. V. Misra, Shri Srinshas Modak, Shri B. K. Mody, Shri Piloo Mohamed Imam, Shr Mohammad Ismail. Shri

Kumari Shri-

Shastri, Shri Raghuvir

Shastry, Shri Sheopujan Shiv Charan Lal, Shri Shivappa, Shri N.

Singh, Shri J. B. Solanki, Shri P. N. Somani, Shri N. K. Sondhi, Shri M. L.

Sreedharan, Shri A. Subravelu, Shri

Suraj Bhan, Shri Tapuriah, Shri S. K. Thakur, Shri Gunanand Tyagi, Shri O. P.

Singh

Mohan Swarup, Shri Molahu, Shri Mukerjee, Shri H. N. Muthusami Shri C. Naik, Shri G. C. Naik, Shri R. V. Nair, Shri Vasudevan Nambiar, Shri Nath Pai, Shri Nayar, Shrimati Shaluntala Nihal, Shri Padanatha, Shri Muhammed S. Pandey, Shri Sarjoo Parmar, Shri D. R. Patel, Shri J H. Patil, Shri N R. Rai, Shri Charanjit Rajaram, Shri Ram Charan, Shri

Achal Singh, Shri Agadi, Shri S. A. Ahirwar. Shri Nathu Ahirwar, Ram Aga, Shri Ahmad Ahmad, Dr. I. Ahmed, Shri P. A Anjanappa. Shri B Ankineedu. Shri Arumugam Shri R S. Asgar Husain, Shri Avdhesh Chandra Singh. Shri Azad, Shri Bhagwat Jhe Babunath Singh, Shri Bagunath Singh, Shri Bajai Shri Kamalnavan Bajpai, Shri Vidva Dhar Barua, Shri Bedabrata Barua, Shri R Barupal Shri P. L. Barua. Shri Bedabrata
Barua. Shri R
Barual Shri P. L.
Raswant Shri
Rerwa. Shri Onkar Lal
Reara. Shri S C
Bhagat Shri B R.
Gandhi. Shrimati Indira
Ganch. Shri Manant Shri
Rhakt Darchan Shri
Rhakt Darchan Shri
Rhandare. Shri R. D
Bhanu Prakash Singh.
Shri
Bhargava Shri R N
Bhargava Shri R N
Phattachervva Shri CK
Bhola Nath Shri
Birla Shri B K
Rist Shri J B. S
Brahm Prakash. Shri
Buta Singh Shri
Guota Shri Tukaram
Govind Das Dr
Gowda, Shri M. H
Rist Shri J B. S
Gupta Shri Lakhanlal
Guota, Shri Mahant
Chanda Shri And
Dinesh Singh Shri C
C Kuchok Bekula, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
mati
Lilit Sen Shri
Laskar. Shri N
Canna Devi Shrimati
Canna Devi Shrimati
Canna Shri C D
Gavit, Shri Liladhar
Krishnan Shri G Y
Kuchok Bekula, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Laskar. Shri Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Laskar. Shri N
Laskar. Shri Liladhar
Krishnan Shri G Y
Kuchok Bekula, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Laskar. Shri N
Laskar. Shri N
Canna Devi Shrimati
Canna Devi Shrimati
Gandhi, Shrimati Indira
Ganchi, Shrimati Indira
Ganchi Shrimati
Indira
Luskar. Shri R
Laskar. Shri Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Lakshmikantamma, Shri
Las Chanda Shrimati Hari Krishna, Shri
Tvotana Shrimati Hari Krishna, Shri
Tvotana Chandrika Prasad Shri Hararika, Shri J. N
Chandrika Prasad Shri Ham Rai, Shri
Kumar Chatumredi Shri R L Chaudhary, Shri Nitirai Jadhay, Shri Tulahidas
Singh
Chaudh Shri D B Jassalah Chel K Chaven Shri D B Chaven, Shri Y. B.

Ram Gopal, Shri Ramamurti, Shri P. Ramani, Shri K. Ramin Ram, Shri Rasu, Shri Duran Ray, Shri Rabi Sambandhan, Shri S. K Samanta, Shri S. C. Sambhali, Shri Ishaq Saminathan, Shri Santosham, Dr. M. atya Narain Shri Satya Singh. Sen, Shri Deven Shah, Shri Virenendra Kumar J. Sharda Nand, Shri Sharma, Shri B. S Sharma, Shri N S. Sharma, Shri N S. Sharma, Shri N S. Sharma, Shri Yajna Datt Shastri, Shri R. NOES

Choudhury, Shri J. K. Choudhury, Shri Valmiki Dalbir Singh, Shri Damani, Shri S R Das Shri N. T.

Dass. Shri C.

Deoghare. Shri N. R. Desai. Shri Morarii Deshmukh, Shri B D Deshmukh, Shri K G. Deshmukh, Shri Shivaiirao S. Devinder Singh, Shri Dhillon, Shri G. S. Dhuleshwar Meena, Shri Digvital Nath, Mahant Dinesh Singh Shri

Inhal Singh Shri

Jaujiwan Ram, Shri

Umanath, Shri Vajpayee, Shri A. B Vidvarthi, Shri R. S. Viswanathan, Shri G. Jamir, Shri S C. Kahandole, Shri Kamble, Shri Kamla. mati mati
Kastur, Shri A S.
Katham, Shri B N.
Kavade, Shri B R
Kedaria, Shri C M
Keshri, Shri Sitaram
Khan, Shri M.A.
Khanna Shri P K
Kitas Singh Shri Kikar Singh, Shri Kinder Lal, Shri Kimt Shri Manikva Kotoki, Shri Liladhar

Gowda, Shri M. H
Gupta Shri Takhanlal
Gunta. Shri Ram Kishan
Halarnawis Shri
Hanumanthaiya Shri
Masuria Din, Shri
Mehta, Shri Asoka Melkote. Dr Menon Shri Govinda Miahra, Shri Bibhitti Michra Shri G. S. Mchammad Yusuf, Shri Mchammad Yusuf, Shri Mchada, Shri J. E. Mandal, Dr. P.

Mrityoniay Presed, Shri

Madrika Singh, Shri Sharda Subribil Shri B. S Murti, Shri M. S. Nageshwar Shri Naghnoor, Shri M. N. Nahata, Shri Amrit Naidu, Shri Chengairava "Nair, Shri N. Sreekan-Ramshekhar tan Nanda, Shri Nayar, Dr. Sushila Nirlep Kaur, Shrimati Oraon, Shri Kartik Padmavati Devi, Shrimati Pahadia, Shri Pandev, Shri K. N. Pandey, Shri Vishwa Nath Pandit, Shrimati Vijaya Lakshmi Panigrahi, Shri Chinta-Pant, Shri K. C. Paoki Naokip, Shri Parmer, Shri Bhalibhai Shri Packi Singh, Partap Shri Parthasarathy. Shri Patel, Shri Manibhai J. Patel, Shri Manubhai Patel, Shri N. N.
Patel, Shri A. V.
Patil, Shri C. A.
Patil, Shri Deorao Patil, Shri Deorao Patil, Shri S. B. Patil, Shri S. D. Patil, Shri T. A. Poonacha, Shri C. M. Pradhari, Shri K. Pramanik, Shri J. N. Qureshi, Shri Shaffi Raghu Ramaiah, Shri Radhabhai, Shrimati. B. R. Raj Deo Singh, Shri Rajaseknaran, Shri Raju, Shri D. B.

Ram Dhani Das, Shri Shrimati Ram Kishan, Shri Kam Subhag Singh, Dr. Snastri. Ram Dhan, Shri Ham Sewak, Shri Ram Swarup, Shri Ramesh Changra, Shri Kamour, Manadevapa. Prasad Singh, Shri Rana, Shri M. B. Randhir Singh, Shri Rane, Shri Rao, Shri Jaganath Rao, Dr. K. L. Rao, Shri K. Narayana Rao, Shri Muthyal Rao, Shri Thirumala Raut, Shri Bhola Reddi, Shri G. S. Reddy, Shri Ganga Reddy, Shri P. Antony Reddy, Shri R. D. Rohatgi. Shrimati Sushila Roy, Shri Bishwanath Roy, Shrimati Uma Sadhu Ram, Shri Saha, Shri S. K. Sant Bux Singh, Shri
Sarma, Shri A.

Saht Bux Singh, Shri
Sarma, Shri A.

(Bhanjanagar)
Savitri Shyam, Shrimati
Sayad Ali, Shri
Sen, Shri D.
Sen, Shri P. G.
Sethi Shri P. C.
Sethuramae, Shri
Shri Ramachandra
Verappa, Shri
Chandra
Verappa, Shri
Chandra
Verappa, Shri
Chandra
Verappa, Shri
Chandra Saleem, Shri M. Y. Salve, Shri N. K. P Sanghi, Shri N. K. Sethuramae. Shri N. Shah, Shrimati Jayaben Verma, Shri Balgovind Shah, Shri Manabendra Verma, Shri Prem Shah. Shri Shantilal Shambhu Nath, Shri Shankaranand, Shri

Sharma, Shri Shiv Shastri, Shri B. N. Shri Shiv Kumar Sheo Narsin, Shri Sher Singh, Prof. Sheth, Shri T. M. Shinkre, Shri Shinde, Shri Annasahib Shiv Chandika, Shri Shukla, Shri Siddayya, Shri Sidheshwar Prasad, Shri Singh, Shri D. N. Singh, Shri D. V. Sinha, Shri R. K. Shri Sinha. Satva Narayan Sinha, Shrimati Tarkeshwari Solanki, Shri Nar Deo Solanki, Shri S. M. Sonar. Dr. A. G. Sonavane, Shri Sunder Lal, Shri Supakar, Shri Sradhakar Surendra Pal Singh, Shri Suryanarayana, Shri K. Swamy, Shri G. Venkat Swaran Singh, Shri Chand Yadav, Shri Jeet, Shri Shri Chandra

Several hon. Members, rose-

Mr. Speaker: Order. order. All of you might please sit down. Those who have made mistakes, if any, one by one may get up and say,

With the additions that have been made-of course, they have checked up to the extent possible—the result of the division is as follows:

Ayes-150; Noes-236

Therefore, the Noes have it.

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: I shall now put Dr. Ram Subhag Singh's motion to vote.

Shri Nath Pal: Sir, the notice becomes infructuous (Interruption).

Shri N. Sreekantan Nair Recorded his vote hader 'Noes though he actualy wanted to vote for 'Ayes'.

^{**}Names of three Members could not be recorded. tName of one Member could not be recorded.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"This House is of opinion that the Minister of External Affairs, Minister of Commerce and the Prime Minister have not committed any breach of privilege of the House with regard to the complaint of privilege brought before the House by Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia today."

The motion was adopted.

16 22 hrs.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

TELEGRAPH (AMEDMENT) RULES

The Minister of Parliamentary Affairs (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Indian Telegraph (Second Amendment) Rules, 1967 published in Notification No. G.S.R. 312 in Gazette of India dated the 11th March, 1967, under sub-section (5) of section 7 of the Indian Telegraph Act, 1885. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-227/67.]

REPORT OF NATIONAL RESEARCH DEVE-LOPMENT CORPORATION

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhagwat Jha Axad): I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Annual Report of the National Research Development Corporation of India, New Delhi, for the year 1965-66 along with the Audited Accounts and the comments of Comptroller and Auditor General thereon under sub-section (1) of section 619A of the Companies Act, 1956. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-228/67]

REPORT OF HINDUSTAN TELEPRINTERS LTD.

Dr. Ram Subbag Singh: I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Anual Report of the Hindustan Teleprinters Limited, Madras, for the year 1965-66 along with the Audited Accounts and the comments of the Comptroller and Auditor General thereon, under subsection (1) of section 619A of the Companies Act, 1956. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-229/67].

CONCLUSIONS OF INDUSTRICE COUNTRY-

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Shri L. N. Ministra): I beg to lay on the Table—

- (1) A copy of the Main Conclusion of the Tenth Session of the Industrial Committee on Coal Mining held at Dhanhad on the 30th January, 1967. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-230/67.]
- (2) A copy of the Neyvell Coal Mines Provident Fund Scheme, 1966, published in Notification No. G. S. R. 1771 in Gazette of India dated the 26th November, 1966, under section 7A of the Coal Mines Provident Fund and Bonus Schemes Act, 1948. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-231/67.]
- (3) A copy of the Dock Workers (Advisory Committee) Amendment Rules, 1967, published in Notification No. S. O. 803 in Gazette of India dated the 11th March, 1967, under sub-section (3) of section 8 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-232/67.]

FOREST SERVICE (RECRUITMENT) AMEND-MENT RULES

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri K. S. Ramaswamy): I beg to lay on the Table—

- (1) A copy of the Indian Forest Service (Recruitment) Amendment Rules, 1967, published in Notification No. S. R. 387 in Gazette the 25th of India dated March, 1967, under section (2) of section 3 of the All India Services Act, 1951. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-233/67.]
- (2) A copy of the Policy-Forces (Restriction of Rights) Rules, 1966, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 1868 in Gamete of India dated the 18th Day-

Presidents Address

comper, 1966, under sub-section (3) of section 6 of the Police Forces (Restriction of Rights) Act, 1966. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-234/ 67.]

REPORT OF SALAR JUNG MUSEUM BOARD

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Education (Prof. Sher Singh): I beg to lay on the Table—

- (1) A copy of the Annual Report of the Salar Jung Museum Board, Hyderabad for the year 1965-66, along with the Audited Accounts. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-235/ 67.]
- (2) A copy of the Salar Jung Museum (Amendment) Rules, 1967, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 341 in Gazette of India dated the 11th March, 1967, under sub-section (3) of section 27 of the Salar Jung Museum Act, 1961. [Placed in the Library. See No LT-236/ 67.]
- (3) A copy of the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment) Rules, 1966, published in Notification No. S. O. 3520 in Gazette of India dated the 20th November, 1966, under sub-section (4) of section 38 of the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act, 1958. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-237/67.]
- (4) (i) A copy each of the Annual Reports of the Executive Committee of the Trustees of the Victoria Memorial, Calcutta, for the years 1963-64 and 1964-65. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-185/67].
 (ii) A statement showing resons for delay in laying the above Reports.
- (6) A topy of the Annual Report the Executive Committee of

the Trustees of the Victoria Memorial Calcutta, for the year 1965-66. [Placed in the Library See No. LT-238/67].

16.24 hrs.

MOTION OF THANKS ON THE PRESIDENT'S ADDRESS-Contd.

Mr. Speaker: The House will now take up further consideration of the following motion moved by Shri K. Hanumanthaiya and seconded by Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey on the 21st March 1967, namely:—

"That an Address be presented to the President in the following terms:—•

"That the Members of Lok Sabha assembled in this Session are deeply grateful to the President for the Address which he has been pleased to deliver to both Houses of Parliament assembled together on the 18th March, 1967."

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): Mr. Speaker, Sir, this Parliament has met after three crucial months during within the political scene in India is transformed. This is a phenomenon which proves the vitality of our contry, the vitality of our democratic system and the faith of our people in our system.

Life is always changing. It is not only the Congress but even the hon. Members opposite who must adjust to those changes. As I said on a previous occason here, now all of us, the Congress and the other parties, are in Government and outside Government. We see here many new faces and I had hoped that new ideas would also emerge. But listening to the debate-I would like to assure hon. Members that even though I was not present here all the time I did listen to almost all the speeches from my room-I was disappointed. I thought new ideas would emerge, ideas which would help to strengthen our democracy and our country. But I did not see much evidence of this. I do not grudge my hon.

3005

[Shrimati Indra Gandhi]

friends opposite their sense of elation or pleasure at the success they have gained in some States. I welcome the fact that they now share not only power but also the responsibility that goes with power. I am not much concerned with which party sits on which side in what State. What is important is that whichever side is in power uses the opportunity to solve the problems which confront our country. Along with this positive aspect, chang brings with it fresh challenges, also certain dangers against which we have to guard. Here and there there are evidences of small groups belonging to different sides, this side as well as the other side, who have tried to place themselves in a position to bargain. There are some who are attracto politics today when politics means an opportunity for power, and they are using this opportunity to criticise those who joined politics at a time when it meant unmitigated hardship. I am referreing to the many members of the Congress, some of whom are now in the House and a very large number outside, who have sacrificed a great deal from youth throughout and life to old age for the Congress, not merely because Congress is a party but because the Congress stood for certain vital values in the country

Shri M. R. Masani (Rajkot); Only in the Congress?

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: All of you were also in the Congress. I am not denying that. But that does not mean that you should now consider that everything that is in the Congress is bad and that you should not recognise the very genuine sacrifices which so many genuine and sincere and dedicated workers have made to the cause of freedom and the cause of building the country after freedom.

The most important question which we face today is the question of food and of drought in certain parts of the country. The other day, yesterday I think, certain members referred to my visit to Bihar and U.P.

Therefore, I would like to say a few words on this matter. I have been to Palamau, Gaya and Mirzapur over the last week end. Never have I seen the Gangetic plains so dry. The recent rains gave a little relief in the sense that they have helped some grass to grow, reducing the shortage of fodder and also delaying the crisis about the availability of drinking water. But this is a crisis that has only been postponed and we will have to face it within a short time.

I found that a great deal of work was being done. But I also found the need to accelerate this work and to extend it and expand it in every way. The Centre will do all it can to supply needed equipment and store. We are also making an effort to enlist the support of all possible people who can help in this. A suggestion was made, which I welcome, that ex-serdistinguish. In the face of such acute vicemen living in those areas might help in some of these problems.

Unfortunately, there was a set back to relief work due to the elections. Now we have to regain the momen-State Governments tum again. The are doing the job and non-official agencies are also active, specially in Bihar. I would like to pay a tribute here to the Bihar Relief Committee, of which Shri Jayajrakash Narayan tothe Chairman. He has gathered gether a band of workers who are doing excellent work. The special complication in Bihar is that of the outhreak of smallpox.

In Palamau District I heard that 2 lakhs are at work out of a total of 2 lakhs of the working population, but more help is needed from non-official agencies because the coming three summer months will be exceedingly critical.

In Mirzapur I found a large number of aged and destitute and, as hommembers may have read in the newspapers, I was given some reports of starvation deaths. I, naturally, took up this matter with the authorities. I was given two representations, one giving specific names, a list of 13, of which the authorities have already in-

vestigated 11 names and they have various causes for death. The other representation mentioned the figure 50 but gave no details of the names or where these people came from. Naturally, unless we have more detailed information, it is difficult to look into

This question of stravation deaths was also taken up by the hon. Memhers here. What I would like to say is whether deaths are due to stravation or ailments or diseases which come about because of prolonged malnutrition and exposure it is really hard to distress this would be a verbal dispute. The need of the hour is provide all the relief that is necessary and ail that is possible whether Government provides it or non-officil agencies or the people themselves.

The foodgrains supply is in shortage all over the country and we cannot meet the full demand of all the States. The Food Minister and I have had talks with the Chief Ministers of Kerala and Bihar. We will discuss this matter in greater detail at proposed Chief Ministers' Conference this week-end.

I feel, as I have said earlier also, there is need for a national sharing of the distress, the need to mobilise all eur energies and all our resources, to cut our lavishness and waste. This is something which we can only do with the full co-operation of the States. It is something which we must sit down and discuss and formulate a plan which can be carried out and implemented in all parts of the country.

Some have said that we would rathere starve than import food. I am afraid. I cannot agree with this and I feel that it is an irresponsible statement to make in the present conditions.

Shri S. M. Bauerjee (Kanpur': We are importing and starvaing, both.

Shrimati Indira Gandhi): It is because we cannot import as much as is needed; but it is a problem which faces the entire country and it is as some hon. Members said, a national problem.

When I talked of co-operation with hon. Members outside, it is not small matters of procedure and thing like that they are important and if we cant, work together, it is a good thing—out far more important is to get dorration in these matters which are matters of as ional importance and of life and death for our people. Certainly, food comes in that category.

Food and drought relief are the foremost problems for us. Last year we faced the same kind of problem and it was only through a Herculean effort that we were able to aver! a great human tragedy. This year is even more difficult because reserves are depleted and there is considerable uncertainty about supplies which we can get and which we need.

The Food Minister has already outlined the programme of short-term crops and we must all see that programme is made a success. There is also the need to accelerate intensive cultivation programmes all over the country. Many steps are being taken to deal with this immediate problem in Bihar and in eastern U.P. Some people here mentioned Rajasthan. I would like to say that we have not at all forgotten that Rajarthan also faces this problem and so do areas of other States.

16.35 hrs.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

There was the joint Emergency Committee for Bihar set up the Food Minister with the Chief Minister and his colleagues, In the short time, it has already three times.

There are some figures which would like to give to the hon. Mem-I am told that nearly 6.5 lakhs people are employed on relief works or on Plan schemes in Bihar and the number may increase to 13 lakhs in the months of maximum distress which are May and June. Over 3.5 lakhs people have been give gratuitous relief from the State plus 3000

[Shrimati Indra Gandhi]

2.6 lakhs who are fed in free kitchens run by non-official agencies, that is, the Ramakrishna Mission, the Warwari Relief Society and other agencies. The international agencies like the CARE and the UNK'SF are giving milk to 14.6 lakh peoply. We also received gift of AMP. I tons from Italy which is valued at about Rs. 60 lakhs to Rs. 70 lakhs and this has also been used for giving relief through voluntary agencies.

Drinking water is an acute problem and schemes worth over Rs. 5 crores have been sanctioned by the Central Government.

118 rigs are being mobilised from all available resources, our own as well as abroad, by the Government, the Army and the private agencies and so on. About 66 rigs are working and another 33 rigs have reached the sites. Institutions like the Geological Survey of India, the Oil Natural Gas Commission, the UNICEF and so on are helping in this and also the Governments of U.K. and Canada have offered to airlift the rigs. We are also airlifting other essential In cattle camps, half a million heads of cattle have been provided and there are short-term fodder crop plans for 130,000 acres. The food supply to Bihar is being progressively increased from 72,000 tons in last October to 1,85,000 tons in April this Over Rs. 50 lakhs have been given from the Drought Relief Fund to U.P. and Bihar. Health measures arc also being taken but I must confess that these need to be stepped up considerably.

Sir, the elections have thrown up certain political challenges. But the real challenge is, and it remains, the economic challenge of prices and production. Many hon. Members opposite have talked about the economic situation. This is natural because it is a serious one. But, as usual, the diagnosis as well as the treatment has differed from person to person. This is what I mean to say, when I say that we should get together on certain things. Let us have some kind of

a minimum programme at least on which we can agree, just on one or two national issues.

Member. Mr. The hon. Marani. painted a glomoy picture. Mr. Hiren Mukerjee said that devaluatin at the root of all ills. Others questioned the food policy and so on. The main cause really is fall in production mainly the fall in agricultural production. Shri Morarjibhai given the blunt facts. We are making any attempt to cover up anything or to hide anything. have put all the difficulties and the seriousness of the situation VOIT clearly before the House. We must now do everything possible to increase production and to cut existing expentdiure to the bone.

Higher production and budgetary discipline can give some respite from high prices. I think, that streamlining the administration and procedures is the first need and the second is to use the full capacity of already installed plants, and to have a full survey of their capacities. It is necessary that we try to achieve our target of swadeshi in the next 10 years.

We are very anxious that tions should be created in which science and technology can be applied to practial problems of the nation, the economic development as well as the security of the nation, Therefore it is necessary to have a greater interflow between institutions research and development and those connected with implementation of programmes and also to have a larger measure of technical, scientifi and technocratic association at policy-making propriate levels of and implementation, so that a climate can be created for the most effective fulfilment of tasks according to modern methods of technology and science

In administration also, the changed situation needs changed methods and change in outlook also. We are trying to give greater autonomy to public sector plants and to simplify financial procedure so as to reduce delays which are costly and which have

prevented so many of our schemes from getting going.

We have policy planning cells which can at all stages look forward and see how the present programme in into the programmes for the future.

Many speakers have talked about the public sector. Those whose creeds disapprove of the public sector as a whole, naturally saw nothing but failure about them. I am not prepared to admit that failure. There have certainly been shortcomings, but there has been good work also. I do agree that the public sector must be run well and must produce resuits, must create new resources, and that we should try our utmost to give new re-orientation to the public sector which would increase its efficiency and all round, profitability, modernise management consolidate their investments and make efficiency their watchward.

Much interest was evinced in the future of the Planning Commission. The Administrative Reforms Commission has received its interim report from the Study Team and I suppose, we will get their recommendations in a short time. Therefore, I do not want to anticipate what they are going to say. But I am sorry that the Planning Commission should have come in for so much criticism. It has played a valuable, even a historic, role. It has translated the economic vision of our nation-builders into concrete policies and it has provided a useful forum for discussions between States and the Centre. It would have been very difficult for the Government to achieve this without the Planning Commission. The objective expert composition of the Planning Commission has naturally helped. I have felt that the Commission should concentrate much more on the task of economic development, i.e., analysing factors and trends, assessing strategy and, if possible, it should present various alternatives. That is, it should be a kind of an expert body which would give certain alternatives, which would Of course, the task of actual implementation falls on the State Governments.

Another criticism made here of the Planning Commission was that it was encroaching on the States. But the major decisions on the Plan, the size, strategy, priorities and allocations, are always taken by the National Development Council which consists of both Central and State representatives and even in the old days. despite the fact that there was the same party in power in the States. there were pulls and counter-pulls: there were occasions when States' interests were not always satisfied according to the desire of the State representatives and there was some clash with the Centre This will no doubt, continue. I sincerely hope that this will not be taken to mean that the Centre is not co-operating with the States, but only that the State problem has to be viewed in the context of the national problem. All of us have to adjust our programmes in that light and in that perspective.

Fedralism means that Government functioning is shared by and divided among different focil of authority.

With the changes which have come about in the recent elections, the NDC naturally assumes greater importance. All the schemes which we take up are national schemes, whether they are in the State sector or the Central sector, because the welfare and progress of the country are indivisible.

Many hon Members have talked of relationship. Certain Centre-State Chief Ministers have also come cut with statements on this matter. I read the other day the Address of the Governor of Madras. I think there nich be no aprehension of friction. The Constitution is strong enough and also resilient enough. The problem of Centre-State relations is an old problem in our national movement. I think the Constitution was Jrawn up with foresight and its sprit is both accommodating and reconcillatory. It [Shrimati Indra Gandhi]

FIOE

spells out division of functions to the extent possible and leaves the rest to discussion.

Now, even in these three weeks of the new Government, I am heartened at the kind of response which we have had from the State Governments. I would like to decisre once more that our own attitude will always be to work together with them in the national interest.

Even if a subject is in the State list, such as Agriculture or Education, inter State and Centre State interdependence are unavoidable.

Shri A. K. Goptlan spoke of provincial autonomy. I would like him to appreciate more fully the spirit of our Constitution, and I would like him to look once again at the statement made by the Chief Minister of Kerala who said that time must be given for these relations to develop.

Shri A. K. Gopalan wanted a higher share of income-tax and export earnings. Under the Constitution, as you all know, a Finance Commission goes into this matter every five years. As for grants, the Study Team of the Administrative Reforms Commission is going into the question of Centre-State finance.

Many hon. Members referred to the problem of corruption. We are as anxious as anybody to maintain the highest standards of personal integrity because we think that this is essential in public life. The Administrative Reforms Commisson has recommended the institution of a Lokpal and a Lok Ayukt. We have nearly completed formulating our views, and we have approached the States. We would like to have their views also before finalising our own.

A matter which creates great emotion is that of language Many Members have spoken on this matter, Shri V. Krishnamoorthi talked of the betraval of non-Hindi-speaking people. Shri H. N. Mukerjee talked of the need for imaginative handling. I think that a very good reply to this

was given by Shritmati Tarkeshwari Sinha who very clearly said that we had no desire to impose Hindi on the Friends of the DK have South. spoken with deep passion on this matter. Our view is well known. We believe that all our languages are national languages and of equal status. And any of them can be made the official language, if a State so wants, But it is important also for all of us tobe able to understand each other and this was the real reason why we felt that one language should be a national language. We have given a solemn assurance that English will be retained at the Centre as an assoicate link language as long as tris is necessary. We shall move a Bill in the next session to give formal effect to this assurance.

I should like to make a reference also to Urdu I am very concerned with this problem. When certain deputations came to see me earlier, we assured them that this matter would be taken up most urgently. Here again, in some States there are new governments. We will take up this matter when the Chief Ministers come here, and I hope that it will be satisfactorily solved.

Reference was made to the delay in announcing Government's decision regarding the Education Commission's Report. The Education Minister himself was a member of this Commission. I am sure he will set a definite date by which to announce his decision. He is also setting up a Youth Division in the Education Ministry.

A new Member spoke about the President's powers. Our Constitution is clear on this issue. Our conventions are well-established. I do not think there is any justification for imaginary fears of friction.

I should like to say one more word here about our deep concern about some sections in our country who have been under-privileged and who in apite of various programmes have not really had their due share. I am speaking now of our Harijan brothers and sisters, of our tribal brothers and sisters, of our hill people and of the very large mass of people who are known as the landless labour. These people have really had the worst of the difficult situation and we must bend all our energies to help them now in every way.

I should like to say a few words about foreign affairs and our relations with foreign countries, whether they be our neighbours or whether they are situated far off. Many members have touched upon this. hon, member, Shri Masani, said that we are friendless. I think it is very difficult to judge who is whose friend I do not think that we are friendless. In times of need, countries with different systems different persuasions, have come to our help; I think the list of our friends, if we are to go into detail, is a fairly comprehensive one and it covers practically all continents.

Our foreign relations are governed only by considerations of national interest and the nation's security and are rooted in the firm belief that mankind is one family and that to exist we have also to co-exist. That is why we have tried always to further our national interests from the economic, political and strategic points of view and secondly to promote international co-operation and peace. This was our aim in the past and this is what will guide us in the future.

I want to assure members about one thing. Some of them have a feeling that we pursue a particular policy merely because it has been a declared policy. All policies are constantly under review, because if they do not serve the purpose of today, there is naturally no point in continuing with the same policies. If we continue a particular policy today, it is because on reviewing and re-testing, we feel that it meets the needs of today, that it meets the needs of national interests.

We also have a fundamental dedication to championing the cause of all people who are fighting against racialism and colonialism. people feel that may be this is unnecessary or it is not our business. but we know from our own experience how much it meant to us when we were fighting for our freedom when there were people in other countries who appreciated our fight. and if they could not help us in any other way at least they could give moral support. Freedom is indivisible. Therefore, we are deeply concoined with the rights of the people of South Africa, of Angla, Mozambique, Portuguese Guinea, Southern Rhodesia. Aden and other countries which have yet to attain freedom.

Shri M. R. Masani: What about Tibet?

Shri M. I. Sondhi (New Delhi): Pathans. What about Pakhtunistan?

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: There are few things which are more dangerous than the consequences of racial war, and that is why we have opposed the policy followed in South Africa.

Some members have talked of the need for a more positive policy towards Pakistan. Government entirely agree. We have always stated our point that it is necessary, in fact it is vital, for India and Pakitan to work in co-operation on as many issues and as many spheres as possible, because we are neighbours. because we share the same problems and difficulties. And we shall certainly continue to make every effort possible to have greater understanding and goodwill with Pakistan. distresses us deeply that our relationship should not have been one of amity, and that there should be considerable distrust between these two neighbours.

With regard to China, our policy is well known, and here again, we have no quarrel with the Chinese

[Shrimati Indra Gandhi?

people, and we would certainly like not to have a rigid attitude in this, but we feel that some indication should come or some situation created in which we can get out of our present rut. This has been completely lacking, but we do not believe that we should close the door or that matter.

Prof. Mukerjee said that we have been silent on Vietnam. There are other members who are constantly telling us that we talk too much about Vietnam. Sir, actually, I do not think we are silent, nor have we overstated the case. We have expressed our view whenever it was necessary to do so The House knows the Government's views on this We fervently hope that peace will return to Vietnam soon. We have welcomed the various peace Which have been made, including the one by the Secretary-General, U Thant, whom we shall have the pleasure of welcoming amidst us some time next week. And it is our hope that the problem can be taken away from the battle field and brought to the conference table.

I also want to talk about something which is rather delicate. For some time now, Mr. Deputy-Speaker, systematic attempts have been made m this House by certain quarters to raise questions in one form of another about gifts received by me esepcially from foreign dignitaries, or about my personal possessions. have denied these allegations on the floor of the House, and I want to do so again. Since I become a Member of Parliament or entered Government, or earlier when I was a private citizen. I should say that, to the best of my knowledge, I did not infringe any of our conventions and rules relating to the acceptance of such gifts The allegations and insinuations which have been sought to be raised are false and malicious. I have denied the specific allegations from time to time.

Mention was recently made about a diamond necklace given to me ever ten years ago by the King of Saudi Arabia, and I have written to the Speaker at some length about this I am not really worried about these allegations even though they are made day in and day out. But there is a deliberate and sustained attempt at character assassination and it is for this House to judge and decide how long this personal villification and maligning can be allowed to continue

17 hrs.

I would like to take this opportunity to make a few other observations on this matter. In 1955, the then Prime Minister, my father, expressed the view that it would be desirable to apply the to shakana rules to Ministers also and that they should not retain expensive presents went on to observe that as the toshakanas was not equipped properly to preserve the many things which were given they should be sent to museums and other suitable places where they might remain as state property, rather than as the personal property of the recipient That is how he and I treated the presents which we received Except for a stol of sable skin which was presented to me by Mr Khrushchev on the Russian trip, I have not retained any other expensive gifts I kept gift because in the manner in which it was given, it was felt that it would perhaps be misunderstood if it were handed over. It is not the custom to make public the details about the presents received from Heads of States and Governments or high dignitaries from friendly foreign countries. They very considerably in nature and any publication of a list of presents, such as might possibly give rise to embarrassing comparisons between country and country, would obviously not be in keeping with the propriety and the dignity of our relations with those countries. It would also not be in the public interest to reveal how individual presents were disposed of because such disclosures might conceivably be misunderstood as an act of discourtesy to the dignitaries from whom they were received. But a full list of all these things is however kept.

Under the code of conduct which was adopted sometime ago and which was laid before Parliament, all Union Ministers send returns of their personal assets and liabilities to the Frime Minister. Although the code does not specifically lay down any procedure for the Prime Minister, for my part I have been following the practice of placing my own statement along with the records of my colleagues.

There is no intention on my part nor on the part of my colleagues to evade answering allegations even of a personal character which any Member might choose to level. We have rules and conventions about manner in which such allegations can be raised by one Member against another, whether in Government or not. These rules and conventions naturally take into account the fact that what any of us chooses to say on the floor of this House is fully protected against legal consequences and highly privileged. I think it is within the right of this House and indeed appropriate, that it should be possible to raise allegations against Members of Government or even against another Member which relate to the period of Membership of the House or of Government. It would be particularly open to a Member to raise such allegations against a minister of a nature which involves abuse of public office.

I say all this with a sense of hesitation because from time to time various things have been said which concern me personally and I consider it my duty to bring them up here today. I sincerely feel that what this House must consider are some of the

general issues that arise, including, if I may say so, in all humility, the question of how long one single Member or two should be allowed to persist with wild, irresponsible and baseless allegations against the Prime Minister of the country.

When I began to speak, I spoke about certain national problems. Some hon. Members opposite tend to give the impression that it is only they who are concerned with the poverty in the country or with the difficulties in the country, Indeed, it is not so. In speaking of these, they do not speak only of the present period of difficulties or the last year or two.

But they try to sweep up all the 20 years since Independence years in which considerable progress was made years in which the very face of this nation has been transformed.

An hon, Members: Question.

Shrimati Inddira Gandhi: Well, I think this is there for all to see. Except in the tribal parts and drought-affected areas, there great differences from before in the lives of our peasantry, in the lives of our workers and so on. But it is true that since the last few years and notably since 1962, since the Chinese invasion followed by other repeated invasions, along with which we had this unprecedented drought, Hon. Memobrs may know that at least in Uttar Pradesh, it is really three years since we have had hardly any rain, and even in the year before, that is, the fourth year, rainfall was deficient. So, a very difficult situation has arisen because of this, and at the same time, we have had a rise in population and rising demands from our people.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Rising prices also.

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: Weil, that is true. There are many causes for it. As I repea tedly said, we are not trying to hide anything; we do not

[Shrimaty Indira Gandhi]

want to run away from the shortcomings of the Government. They are there; there have been short-comings in implementation; we all know there have been, but it does not help to keep on underlining the shortcomings and ignoring the achievments. All that I say is, let us see the picture in its reality; it is not that the situation is not grim today. The statements made by the Deputy Prime Minister and the Food Minister have very clearly outlined how critical and grim the situation is, and what a termendous national effort will be needed in order to face it and to overcome it. Therefore we must all get together to create the national will to face this kind of problem. (Interruption). As I said, we are not running away from the fact that we might have made mistakes, but the situation is also caused by many, many happenings and events which were beyond our control, such as the invasion on our borders, the increase in defence expenditure, which naturally had to follow, and other probiems which I have already mentioned.

National problems require national outlook, and a national effort. Many honurable Members opposit feel that they have suggested a national government. But if we cannot even get together on these problems and form a basis of working on policy, I do not see how a national government can function in the present cirumstances. It is for Honourable Members opposite and all the different parties to show that they are willing to tackle these problems on a national basis.....

Shri Kanwarial Gupta: (Delhi: Sadar): The initiative should come from you.

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: and to find at least what is the minimum common factor which could straightway be implemented and pursuade. Our primarytask is that of economic growth and of the rapid transformation of our economy. And it is

a trenmendous task to transform this great and ancient country in keeping with the hopes of the new generation. We are deeply concerned. with the difficulties of the masses of our people and the question of inequality. This question has come upand rightly, many times in this House and it is a question to which we must give deep thought and try to solve. Because, no matter what we have achieved, it loses its importance if the benefits of it do not go to the masses of our people and if there are many amongst our people who still remain and feel underlprivileged. This is our major and first duty today to see how we can minimise this inequality and help those people who are most in need of our help.

I am fully conscious of the rights of the minorities. We must also pay the greatest, and speedy attention to all their right demands

One hon, member said that the essence of democracy is debate. I entirely agree with him This is so, but it also means getting the job done. Now we spend a great deal of time on debate. Very often this clamfies many issues I am not at all against debate, but I think we must at least give the same effort and cooperation in getting the job done. If we can work out this problem, then of course the debates would also have more point As it is, in a debate many points are expressed. But most people go in different directions and the net result of it is not the kind of action that can have meaning for the people.

In 'the President's Address it was not possible to outline more clearly certain aspects of policy. That will be clearer in the next session certainly the Address gave some idea of what is to be done immediately. These we must take up as national problems and see that we solve them. We must see that the extremely difficult period through which we are passing, is not with courage and that whatever unity can be is brought about so that we are cleared of it. Although the situation is grim, our people do have the courage and the capacity to meet it. It is up to us to help them to do so. My plea to the hon. members is that they should commend the President's Address to the people, that through what it has outlined we should be able to take at least one step forward in the right direction.

भी मचु लिमये (मृगेर) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, क्या स्पष्टीकरण के तौरपर कुछ सवाल नहीं पूछे जा सकेंगे?

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय : एक ही सवाल भूछ मकते हैं।

श्री मणु लिनये: माननीय नदस्य, श्री गुणानन्द ठाकुर, एक प्रश्न पूछना चाहते हैं।

श्री गुणानन्त ठाकुर (सहरमा):
उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, श्राज से छः महीने
किञ्न माननीय प्रधान मंत्री जी बिहार
गई थी श्रीर उन्होंने गया, पालामऊ श्रीर
सुगेर के जमूई इलाके का दौरा किया था।
यह 1 अभैन को भी बिहार गई थीं।
बिहार के एक प्रमुख अख्वार इंडियल
लेक्सन में बिहार के एक मंत्री ने कहा है कि
मिर्फ़ गया जिले मे 157 व्यक्तियों की
मृत्यु भूख से हुई है। मैं प्रधान मंत्री से
यह जानना चाहता हूं कि बिहार के प्रन्य
जिलों में भी इसी तरह बड़े पैमाने पर जो
भूखमरी है, उसके लिये वह श्रविलम्ब

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: You have only given information.

भी सबु लिक्बे: माननीय सदस्य गये चिक्ते में भूक से हुई मौतों के बारे में पूछ रहे हैं। वह वह जानना चाहते हैं कि नया प्रधान मंत्री की जानकारी में यह बात भाई है।

बी गृणानण्य ठाकुर: प्रधान मंत्री स्वयं बिहार धूम कर बाई हैं, लेकिन मुझे धक्तसोस है कि अपने भाषण में उन्होंने इस बात का कोई जिक्र नहीं किया है।

श्री बलराज ज्ञांक (दक्षिण दिल्ली): इस डिबेट में कई माननीय सदस्यों ने काश्मीर के चुनावों के बारे में कहा था। यह जिल्य डैलीकेट है, तो भी मैं उम्मीद करता था कि प्रधान मंत्री इस सम्बन्ध में कुछ कहेंगी, परन्तु उन्होंने इस बारे में कुछ भी कहना ठीक नहीं समझा। मैं समझता हूं कि इस बारे में अगर कुछ और न किया जाये, तां कम से कम एक हाई-पावर्ड प्रौब तो वहां के चुनावों के बारे में हां।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There is no question. You have made a suggestion.

श्री वलराज मधीक : क्या प्रधान मंत्री इस बारे में कुछ कहेंगी?

भीनती इंदिरा गांधी : ये बातें इलेक्शन कमीशन के सामने हैं।

श्री सरक् पाण्डेष (गाजीपुर):
प्रधान मंत्री जी ने अपने भाषण में कहा है
कि उपर प्रदेश की हालत बहुत खराब
है। पूर्वी जिलों की — खास तौर से गाजीपुर, बिलया और धाजमगढ़ की—
हालत बहुत खराब है। लेकिन आज भी
उन जिलों मे तकावी आदि हर तरह की
सरकारी बसूलियां जारी हैं। क्या प्रधान
मंत्री उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार को धादेश देंगी कि
जितनी भी मरकारी वसूलियां बहां पर हो रही
हैं उन जिलों में, जो कि अकालप्रस्त इसाक
हैं, उन को स्थिगत किया जाये?

जीवती इन्विरा गांबी: हमने उनको स बारे में पहले ही आदेश दिया है। [बीमती इंद्रा गांधी]

मामनीय सदस्य ने बिहार के बारे में एक समान पूछा है। जहां तक मेरी जानकारी है, बिहार के एक मिनिस्टर ने जो बयान दिया है, वहां के मुख्य मंत्री ने उस पर कुछ कार्यवाही की है, यानी किसी को यह देखने के लिये भेजा है।

बी गुषानव ठाकुर: मजपकरपुर जिले में जो दो व्यक्तियों के भूख से मरने की खबर है, मुख्य मंत्री ने उस पर जांच कसीमन बिठाया है। मैं तो गया धीर सहरसा जिलों की बान कर रहा हू। वहा के लिये कोई जांच कमीमन नहीं बिठाया गया है।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: If hon. Members agree, I shall put all the amendments together to the vote of the House.

Shri P. K. Deo (Kalabandi): They may be put separately.

Some hon. Members: They may be put together.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I shall put them together.

All the amendments were put and negatived.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I shall now put the original motion to the House. The question is:

"That an Address be presented to the President in the following terms:—

"That the Members of Lok Sabha assembled in this Session are deeply grateful to the President for the Address which he has been pleased to deliver to both Houses of Parliament assembled together on the 18th March, 1967."

The motion was adopted,

17.18 hrs.

REPRESENTATION OF THE PEOPLE: (AMENDMENT) BILL

The Minister of Law (Shri Govinda Menon): Sir, I beg to fove:

"That the Bill further to amend the Representation of the People Act, 1951, be taken into consideration."

I hope, Sir, that much by way of explanation may not be necessary for the passage of this Bill. As the House knows, late in February this year anordinance was issued under the following circumstances It was the desire of many sections of the House that after the election was completed of the Members of the Fourth Lok Sabha a 'lame duck' session of the Third' Lok Sabha need not be held as was done in the previous years-1952. 1957 and 1962 In order to enable the President to summon the Fourth Lok Sabha it had to be constituted and for that purpose an amendment of the Representation of the Pepole Act was necessary. It was done by this Ordinance and the object of this Bill is to substitute that Ordinance by a regular Act of Parliament, I hope he House will unanimously approve of this Bill

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motions

"That the Bill further to amend the Representation of the People Act, 1951, be taken into consideration."

Half an hour has been allotted for this Bill.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): I do support this Bill, because some of us 'hought that this lame duck session was useless when new Members were elected in the general elections. But we cannot shut our eyes to the fact that the lame duck session gave both members newly elected members as well as those members who were unfortunately defeated, to meet here once and exchange views. That was the main object with which the lame duck session was thought of earlier. During the lame duck session congratulations and condolences were exchanged between members. In this session, for instance, we could not meet either Shri Patil or our good friend, Shri Atulya Ghosh. If they were here, we could have expressed our sorrow on their defeat and gave them the reasons for their defeat.

Shri Sonavane (Pandharpur): Deputy Speaker, I rise to support the motion moved by the hon, Law Minister. Here I want to say something The lame duck session was summoned and then, surprisingly enough, on the suggestion of some of the members of the opposition, it was cancelled and the first session of the Fourth Sabha was convened. This is the first time in the history of this Parliament that the members of the Lok Sabha who either did not contest in unfortugeneral elections or were nately defeated in the polls did not get an opportunity of a get together and a photograph, unlike the earlier Lok Sabhas, Added to that, some inconvenience was also caused to those members who were to retire.

WAS I do not know what object achieved by the Government by readily accepting the suggestion coming from one or two Members, howsoever eminent they may be. In my opinion, it was a great mistake. What was the object achieved? If it was for economy or some other pupose, that was not achieved: The travelling expenses of the outgoing members had to be paid. Added to that, inconvenience was caused to the new members in the matter of accommodation. So, the whole arrangements became topsy turvy. The first session of the Fourth Lok Sabha also only served the same purpose for which the lame

duck session was originally convened. It was called for a short duration topass the Vote on Account.

Therefore I felt that the Government did not act wisely in this matter and no useful purpose was served, a lot of inconvenience was caused, economy was not effected and the good tradition of a farewell meeting of old friends who were to retire did not take place. All that was zood in the lame duck session was lost. The unceremonious way, the way in which the retiring Members were made to go, was really most unhappy and I thought, it was not a good feature. The Government should not have acted in this way. They have achieved any purpose; probably, only the ego of the Opposition was satisfled and nothing else.

श्री कृष्ण गूलाव वे समूल (यम रावती):
उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं प्राप से यही प्रजं करना
चाहता हूं कि यह जो लेम डक से शन के सिल
किया गया और नयी पालियोमेट का पहला
से शन बुलाया गया उस के बारे में मेरे निल
ने कहा है कि नये जो मेम्बर यहां आये हैं
उन को बहुत मुश्किल में पड़ना पड़ा।
प्रभी तक यहां रहने को श्री जगह नहीं
है, जो पलैंट्स हैं वह पुराने मेम्बसं के
पास है.....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: May I ask the hon. Member whether it has any relevance to the Bill?

Shri Senavane: It is perfectly relevant. What else is relevant?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: You can voiceyour dissatisfaction regarding accommodation.

बी हुळच गुलाब देसभुक्त: मेरा कहने का मतलब बोड़ा झागे है। मेरा मतलब यह है कि यह जो इस बक्त सेशन बुलाबा गया यह हमारे झपोजीशन के मिख हैं इन के कारण बुलाबा गया है। उन नोगों ने जो अपील की हमारी गवर्नमें टसे तो उस बक्त उन [श्री कुष्य गुलाब देशमुख]

को बस करने के लिये किया गया। हम लोगो को जो कांब्रेस के मेम्बर हैं उन से पूछा भी नहीं गया कि यह बात करनी चाहिये या नहीं करनी चाहिये। खेर, वह बात जाने दीजिये । वह बात हो गई। लेकिन मैं यह प्रपील करना चाहता ह कि यह परमानेन्ट फीचर नहीं बनना चाहिए माज जो उस से यह बिल लाया गया है। परमानेन्ट फीचर होत वाला है भीर परमानन्ट फीचर के लिये मेरा कहना है वह नहीं होना चाहिये। यह जो कुछ किया गया उस को हम मान्यता देते हैं लेकिन जो बिल लाया गया और जो कानन बनाया जा रहा है यह हमेशा के लिये होने जा रहा है भीर मागे चलकर कथी भी लेम डक नेशन नहीं होगा, पूराने मेम्बरान घाने नहीं पार्यें ग्रीर हमेशा के लिये यह एक भच्छी कन्वेशन वापन ली जा रही है। तो मैं यह अपील करता ह कि इस को हमेशा के लिये नहीं करना चाहिये। ग्राहिनेस जो निकाला गया, उसका वैलिडेट करने के लिये बिल धाता तो मझे कोई ऐतराज नहीं या।

भी किन गोपाल सेन गुन्ता (पूणिया)
मैं भी अपने मिल की तार्डेद करता
ह । बास कर के जो हमारे पुराने मिल
थे भीर जो हार गये चाहे वह इस तरफ के
थे या उस तरफ के थे, वह लोग आते तो
हमारे मिलो को जो तकलीफ हो रही है
मकानो के लिये, वह नहोती। उन लोगोका
आना अच्छा रहता । वह भा जाते, अपना
मिलजुलकर जाते। आब्दिर पाच क्यों
के लिये यहा आते हैं तो इतने समय मे उनकी
यहा कुछ स्थिति हो जाती है और
वह हार गये तो तो वह तो चाहे इधर
के हो चाहे उधार के हो, दोनो तरफ के हारे
हाँ। यह लेम इक सेशन होना बहुत कररी
था। आज जो सकलीफ नये मैम्बरो

को हो रही है वह इसिलय हो रही है कि वह लोग आ मही पाये और आते तो अपना सरेडर करते। वैसे अब अप्रैल तक उनके लिए हो रहा है। तो यह जो उन्होंने कहा, उसकी मैं ताईद करता ह। ऐसा आगे नहीं होना चाहिए।

Shri Sonavane: The life of the Third Lok Sabha was also cut short

Shri G Viswanatham (Wandiwash). Mr Deputy-Speaker, it was unanimously agreed by all parties including the Congress Party, to cancel the lame duck session. It is surprising to know that some hon Members—I hope, they are Congress. Members—oppose the cancellation of the lame duck session. Probably, they want another lame duck session to be recalled I do not know. This was agreed to by all parties and there is no necessity of opposing that at this late moment.

Mr Deputy-Speaker: The question

"That the Bill further to amend the Representation of the People Act, 1951, be taken into consideration"

Shri S M Banerjee: Sir Members have spoken let the hon Minister reply

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There was no point made, so, he does not want to reply

Shri S M. Banerjee Why?

Shri Sonavane: If it is irrelevant, he must get up and say that it is irrelevant

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The question

Shri Sonavane: He must reply to the debate

Mr. Deputy Speaker: When no new point has been made, it is not necessary for him to reply. Mari Somawane: He has to meet the points raised as to why the life of the Third Lok Sabha was cut short, where the economy was effected, why the inconvenience was caused to the Members and all that.

shri V. Krishnamoorthi (Cuddalore): The Minister had piloted the Bill and some hon. Members participated in the debate. Some points were made. It is the duty of the Minister to give reply to them.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: At the third reading stage, he will say something.

Shri V. Krishmumoorthi: He must reply at the first reading stage.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I have already put the motion to the vote of the House. At the third reading stage, he will make some observations.

Shri Sonavane: On a point of order, Sir...(Interruption.)

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order order.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Sir, you are an eminent parliamentarian apart from being the Deputy Speaker. As you know, there have been some past traditions and conventions. The Minister may simply say, "I have nothing to add to what I have said before." But he should reply to the debate. Otherwise, it will be a dangerous thing and any Bill can be passed like that.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I have accepted your suggestion. As I have already said, I put the question to the vote of the House. At the third reading stage, he will say something and reply to the points made here.

Shri Sonavane: On a point of order, Sir. On every debate, at the first reading stage, the Ministers do reply. The Ordinance was required to be issued. He has explained the reasons. But when some points are made in the course of the debate on the Bill, it is exential that the Minister should reply to that. We should know what were the compelling reasons....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Let me complete this process and then I wou'd request the hon. Minister to say something at the final stage of the Bill, that is, the third reading of the Bill.

Shri Dattatraya Kunte (Kolaba): If he is to make the observations at the third reading stage, these will be out of order at that stage.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: No, no. The question is....

Shri Sonavane: Do we assume that the Minister has no reply to this?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: As I said, he will say something at the concluding stage. P'ease resume your seat.

Shri Somvane: You should give your ruling on my point of order at the proper stage. The Minister must reply at this stage. I demand that your ruling should be there.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It is not a question of ruling.

The question is:

"That the Bill further to amend the Representation of the Pepole Act, 1951, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: We will now take up the clause-by-clause consideration of the Bill. Before I put clauses to vote, I would request the Minister to say some thing (Interruption).

Shri Deorao Patil (Yeotmal): Why should the Chair request him?

मंत्री महोदय अगर उत्तर देना आहु? हैं तो वह अपना उत्तर दे देगें बाकी अगर वह नहीं देना बाहते हैं तो नहीं देगें।

Shri V. Krishnamoerihi: It is duty of the Minister to give reply. There should be no request from the Chair. (Interruption).

106 (ai) LED 9.

Shri Govinda Menon: I have absolutely no objection in giving a reply. Actually, I wanted to give a reply. But by that time, you, Sir, had put the question to vote and, therefore, I thought that it would be irregular-and discourteous to you if I got up to make a speech at that stage. I do not want to show any discourtesy to Members of this House. I shall be the last person to show any discourtesy. I am completely in agreement with the Members who said....

Shri V. Krishnamoorthi: Is he making a speech on the first reading or second reading or third reading?

Shri Dattatraya Kunte: I would like to know what proceedings are going on in the House.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He is speaking on clause 2.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Nobody has spoken on clause 2.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There is no amendment.

Shri Dattatraya Kante: Then, all clauses be put to the House.

Shri Tulsidas Jadhav (Baramati): I want to speak on clause 2.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He may speak. Let the Minister conclude.

Shri Tuishidas Jadhav: The First Reading is over. I think, now the Second Reading is going on.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Clause-byclause consideration is going on. Does he want to speak?

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: Yes; I want to speak,

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, फर्स्ट रीडिंग के ऊपर कई माननीय सदस्यों ने ऐतराज उठाये ...

Shri G. Vishwanathan: On a point of order. There is no amendment to this. When there is no amendment, how can a member speak?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He can speak.

नी तलशी दास बाबव : जो कई माननीय सदस्यों ने बाक्षेत्र किया उस में तथ्य है चीर मिनिस्टर को फन्टं रोडिंग के बक्त जवाब में कुछ कहना चाडिए था। अब जो लास्ट सैगन होता है, पांच साल के अन्त में जो लास्ट सैगन हो गा है तो उस में कोई मैंम्बर को यह ख्याल नहीं रहता कि हम बापिस नहीं मापेंगे भीर इतिए वह भपने मकान भीरसामान भादि का इंतजान भी मनातिब तरी के से नहीं करता हैं। यह जो लेम हक सैगन ह्या करता है उस में धाकर यह सब बंदोबस्त करके चला जाता है। धब यह जो लेम इक सैशन हत्रा करता था वह सब के नहीं हुआ है जोर वह हुमेशा के लिए बंद होने वाला है। इस के लिए मेरा कहना है कि पराने मैंस्बरों को उसके न होने से होने बाली धमुविधायों को ध्यान में रखतें हुए धगर लेम हक सैगन भायन्या किया जाय तो उनित ही होगा और इनकी मांग भी कई माननीय सदस्यों ने की है क्योंकि ऐगा न होते से मैन्बरों को बड़ी ग्रसविद्याओं का सामना करना पड़ता है। अब पांच साल के असे में मैंम्बरों का यहां काफी घटैनमैंन्ट हो जाता है, लेना देना हो जाता है और अभी तक जो रिटन नहीं होते थे वे इत लेग बर सैगन में धपना सारा लेनदेन साफ कर सेते थे धीर वापिस फर्नेट खाली करके चले जाया करते थे। लेकिन ऐसी इत बार लेम इक की व्यवस्था न होते से उन मैंन्यरों को काको धन्यिया का सामना करना पड़ रहा है न्योंकि उन को अपने फरीइत आदि खाती करने घोर घरना सब बाइंड बाह करने के लिए बर्वा करना होता है और सामान प्रादि से जाने में भारी समुनिधा होती है।

इत के अतिरिक्त यह जो आइडे-टिफिनेसन कार्डत मैंग्बरों को दिवे जाते हैं वह एक निक्तित सर्वास के निए होते हैं। सब जब उस का कुछ काम नहीं रहता क्वोंकि लेम डक सैनन है नहीं तो वह धायेगा किस लिए? यब उस को खास तीर से धपना फलैट खाली करने और सामान बादि उठाने के लिए भाना पड़ता है। जो उन के आहर्देटिकिकेशन कार्डस की मुख्त है वह 16 बर्जन तक रहती है। यहां भी ऐना कहा गया है कि वह भी नहीं चलेगा क्योंकि सैशन दूसरा चाल हो जाने से वह धाइडै-टिफितेशन कार्डस रह हो जाते हैं और इस से उन लोगों को खद अपना खर्बा करके यहां पर भाना पड़ना है। भ्रव उस हालत में जब उनको सहलियत होगी तभी वह यहां पर बावेंगे ब्रोट उस कारण उनके फरी-दस में जो उनका ताला लगा होता है जब तक बह उने खाली करके धाप को न दे तब तक बाप चन की नये मैम्बर्स को एनाट नहीं कर सकते हैं घीर इस कारण नये घाने वाले बैंम्बर्स को भो उचित भावास सम्बन्धी व्यवस्था नहीं मिल पाती है। इन कारगहम देव रहे हैं कि एक एक मकान में दो, दो मैंम्बर्स रह रहे हैं भीर उन कारग उन्हें जी तकलोफ हो रही है उस को भी हमें देखना होगा। घव जैना मैं ने कहा अगर वह लोग आ जायं पराने मैम्बर्स और उनके बाइडेंटिफिकेशन कार्डस ज्यादा दिन तक वैलिड रहे लाकि वह यहां पर सहनियत से भा सके भौर भपने मकान खानों करके घपना सामान लेकर धाने वरों को वापिस जा सकें यह सहिवयत तन मैंम्बर्स को धाप दे।

Shri Govinda Menon: As I was beginning to say, I meant no discourtesy to the House or to any Member of the House in not reply to the points raised by my friends on the earlier occasion. I should say that although it is not legal'y obligatory on the part of the Mover of a motion to make a reply, a reply can be made because a point was made....

Shr! Sonavane: No debate is at all necessary?

Shri Govinda Menon: Even without a debate, a motion can be moved and passed in Parliament. There is no legal objection.

Shri V. Krishnamoorthi: Provided nobody talks on the motion. When somebody talks, the Minister is bound to reply

Shri Govinda Menon: Usua'ly a debate takes p.ace and it is open to the mover either to reply or not. That is the legal position. A reply is usually given, but it is not obligatory to make a reply. I did not mean any discourtesy to the House. Because you had put the matter to vote, I thought that I should not intervene at that stage. I am very sorry if any misunderstanding has arisen on that account.

As was referred to by one hon. Member there was a political decision by al' the different parties in this House that it would be advisaable not to have the lame duck session. The lame duck session had been called to meet some time in the middle of March. But at that time elections to all the constituencies except four had taken place, and it was thought that it would be anoma!ous if after having elected all but four of the five hundred odd Members of the Fourth Lok Sabha, the old members were called to meet. That was the point raised and that was agreed to by the leader of the Congress Party. That was how it happened.

I do agree to the sentiments of my hon, friends that it would have been a great social occasion if the Members of the Third Lok Sabha had met, as they had done earlier in a lame duck session in 1982, 1957 and 1952. I do not remember whether on the previous occasions the elections had been completed in the way in which they were completed this time, but my recollection is that they were not completed in that manner. Therefore it was decided that since all but four Members of the Fourth Lok Sabha had been elected there

[Shri Govinda Menon]

was no reason why the Fourth Lok Sabha should not be constituted.

For enabling the constitution of the Fourth Lok Sabha this amending ordinance was issued. Some inconvenience has ensued, as has been referred to by some hon Members here. But that is no reason why this anachronism should have been allowed to prevail.

Shri Sonavane: Why was it not contemp'ated earlier?

shri Govinda Menon: After the lame duck session had been called, these aspects of the matter were pointed out to the Prime Minister, and all the leaders of the different groups in this House agreed that this course might be adopted. That was the situation under which the ordinance was issued, and now it is necessary that the ordinance should be replaced by a regular Act of Parliament, and that is the resson for this Rill.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That clauses 1 to 3, the Enacting Formu'a and the Title stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1 to 3, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

Shri Govinda Menon: I move.

"That the Bill be passed".

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved

"That the Bill be passed"

Shri K. G. Deshmukh: I want to speak.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He cannot apeak now. The hon Minister has already replied. The question is....

Shri Senavane: This is the third reading stage. Every Member has a right to speak.

shri K. G. Deshmukh: This is the third reading stage, and if you permit me, I have a right to speak.

Shri Sonavune: He wi'l take only two minutes and let him say what he wants.

Shri K G. Deshmukh: If there is no time that is a different matter, but I have a right to speak.

Mr Deputy-Speaker: All right, let him have his say also.

बी हुल्ल गुलाब बेशमृत : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं ने मत्री महोदय का भाषण घ्यान से मुना है। उस से ऐपा मालूम होता है कि ध्रभी जो हमारे चनाव हुए है धाँर उस ये बहुत से नये मेम्बर चुन कर धा गये, इस कारण से यह सेणन बुलाया गया। लेकिन इस सम्बन्ध में मैं धाप से कहना चाहना हूं कि कुछ ऐसे भी प्रदेश हैं जहा ध्रभी एनेक्शन नहीं हुए हैं धार उम के कारण वहा के मेम्बर इस सेशन में नहीं ध्रा सके हैं। ध्रमी जो बतलाया गया है कि सब एनेक्शन्स हो गये यह बात सही नहीं है।

दूसरे अगर हमेशा ऐगा ही होना रहेगा तो एक साथ तो कभी भी सब जगह चुनाव नहीं होगा क्यों कि हमारा देश इनना बड़ा है और क्लाइमेट ऐमी है कि एक माथ सब जगहों पर चुनाव नहीं हो सकते । अगर लेम डक सेशन हमेशा के लिये बन्द कर दिया गया तो मैं ऐगा ममम गा हूं कि कभी भी चुनाव में सब लोक एक साथ चुन कर नहीं आ सकेंगे और वे मेम्बर पहले सेशन में भाग नहीं ले सकेंगे और उन का नुस्तान होगा। इसलिये मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि इस को परमानेन्ट फीचर न किया जाये।

Shri K. Narayana Rao (Bobbil): I am not sure whether this particular piece of legislation was at all necessary. I say so—I speak subject to correction—because under the Constitution of India, it is within the dis-

3039

cretion and power of the President to summon Parliament or to dissolve it. In ordinary circumstances, the Parliament has to be in session for a period of five years. It is not necessary to bring in a separate Bill

Shri Sonavane: Under what article the President is to exercise the power? Talking offhand does not help.

Shri R. Narayana Rao: This is my I do not have the document readily available. This is his prerogative under the Constitution. President has the power to prorogue, dissolve or call Parliament. If he wants me to explain the legal position with detailed references to the relevant articles. I may not be able to do it because I have not the document with me now.

Be that as it may, what I mean to suggest is this, that it is rather intriguing that necessity for such a Bill was felt at all. The Third Parliament was summoned in April, 1962. and in normal circumstances it would be in existence for a period of five years. But due to certain circumstances the President is pleased or the President considers that the new Parliament should be called into session earlier. What he could do within his powers under the Consitution he did. So I do not know why for this purpose any Ordiance and a subsequent enactment were considered necessary. May be I am wrong in my view. But this is what I feel.

Kumar Chatterjee Shri Krishna (Howrah): The hon, Member who has just spoken has not taken into consideration the fact that an Ordinance was promulgated by the President. That being so, naturally a Bill to replace it has to come before the House. That Bill has already passed two stages. So naturally this question which he has raised should not have been raised.

Shri Govinda Menon: I wou'd like to state for the information of the hon. Member who raised the constitutional question that as soon elections are over, it is not open the President to call the members who have been elected by that process. Under section 73 of the presentation of the People Act 1951, Parliament or Assembly has to be constituted, and that constitution is effected by a notification by the Election Commission. It is that notification that was proposed to be sometime in April after all the elections were over. Since it had to be done earliar, it became necessary to amend section 73 of the relevant Act. What is attempted here is to give effect to that amendment.

Shri J. Ahmad (Dhubri): I want to speak on this. I am in support of. . . .

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: No. No, he has no right to speak now.

The question is:

"That the Bill be passed". The motion was adopted.

17.48 hrs.

LAND ACQUISITION (AMEND-MENT AND VALIDATION) BILL

Tre Minister of State in the Ministry of Food. Agriculture, Community Development and Co-operation (Shri Annasahib Sinde): I beg to move:

"That the Bill further to amend the Land Arquisition Act, 1894 and to validate certain acquisit on of land under the said Act, be taken into consideration"

The Land Acquisition Act, 1894, in applicable to the whole of India except the States of Kerala, Mysore, Rajasthan and Jammu and Kashmir which have their own laws on the subject. For acquisition of land for a public purpose, the procedure prescribed under the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, is that a preliminary notification is issued under section 4(1) of the Act which indicates that a partiAPRIL 5, 1987

[Shri Annasahib Shinde]

3018

cular area of land is a needed, or is likely to be needed, for a public purpose. The issue of the preliminary notifications empowers the officers of the Government to enter upon the land and make surveys etc. and enables the person interested to file objections with regard to the proposed acquisition. The Collector, after hearing these objections, makes a report to the Government and thereupon the Government makes one or more declarations under section 6 in respect of land specified in the preliminotification stating that such land is needed for a public purpose or for a company. In case of large projects, this procedure is adopted in a phased manner and a declaration under section 6 is published only when a particular part of the land is actually needed for the project, whether for setting up of the plant, development of township, roads and other ancilary requirements. Here I may point out that the Act does not precribe any time-limit within which declaration under section 6 should be published in respect of land covered by the initial notification under section 4(1).

Compensation which is payable by the authority or company requiring such land, is determined with reference to the market value at the date on which the preliminary notification under section 4(1) is published, irrespective of the date of issue of section 6 declaration

In their judgment dated 9.2.1966 in the case: the State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Vishun Prasad Sharma and others the Supreme Court held that once a decralation under section 6 of the Act is issued, whether it be in respect of a part of the land or in respect of the whole of it as notified under section 4 (1), the effect of the preliminary notification is exhausted and further declarations under section 6 of the Act would be invalid. In other words, Government cannot acquire land by means of successive declara-Mana.

The said decision of the Supreme Court posed a serious problem not only in respect of pending cases of acquisition of land for various public purposes, but also for the acquisition of land in future. Whenever land is notified for acquisition under section 4(1), it is not always possible, nor desirable, to issue the declaration under section 6 for the entire area all at once. Acquisition has to be done in stages, consistent with the requirements of the situation. The object of the preliminary notification under section 4(1) is not only to make it widely known that a particular area is required, or likely to be required, for a public purpose and to invite objections, but also to fix a date with reference to which compensation is to be fixed. The market value at the date of such notification is taken as the guiding factor to fix compensation under section 23 of the Act. This is an essential part of the scheme of the Act. It takes note of the fact that as soon as Government's intention to acquire any land becomes widely known the land prices tend to increase sharply Even speculation in land is not unknown. The preliminary notification under section 4 (1) therefore has the effect of pegging thte prices of land. The preliminary notification is then followed by one or more declarations under section 6 in accordance with the phasing of the project in hand.

17.55 hrs.

[SHRI G. S. DHILLON in the Chair]

In the nature of things, it would be impossible to reopen all such cases where one preliminary notification under section 4(1) has been followed by successive declarations. To issue fresh preliminary notifications under section 4(1) would seriously dislocate projects for which part of land has already been acquired and compensation paid,

Consequently, to overcome the difficulties arising from the interpretation of the principal Act, and also to validate the cases immediately in band, 9043

an Ordinance was promulgated on the 20th January, 1967. In the Bill which has followed, we propose to provide for submission of more than one decteration under section 6 and incidentally to provide for submission of one or more reports under section 5A. The amendment is of a permissive character.

At the same time, care has been taken to ensure that the land acquisition proceedings, after the issue of the preliminary notification under section 4(1), do not linger on for unduly long time. The Bill, therefore, provided that no declaration under section 6 can be issued after the expiry of three years from the date of preliminary notification under section 4(1). In case of pending proceedings, it has been provided in the Bill that no declaration in respect of any part of the land covered by the preliminary notification, may be issued after the expiry of two years from the commencement of the Ordinance. To relieve the hardship of those owners of land in whose case there has been a time-lag of more than three years from the date of preliminary notification it is proposed to make a provision in the Bill for which I am moving a separate amendment for payment of interest at the rate of 6 pcr cent per annum on the compensation.

It will thus be noticed that opportunity has been taken of the amendment to prescribe a firm time-limit within which section 6 declaration must be published and in case of the pending proceedings which are sought to be validated by the Bill, interest will be payable in the event of delay beyond three years. The publication of last declaration under section 6 in pending cases is also proposed to be restricted to within two years of the date of the Ordinance.

The Government of India have been conscious of the various problems which attend the acquisition of land, not the least of which is the question of administrative delays. This course much hardship to the owners of land.

In cases of large projects the problem of rehabilitation of the evicted families also calls for remedial measures. Further, it has been the policy of the Government to avoid acquisition of good agricultural land as far as possible. There are however instances in which good agricultural land has been acquired, resulting in loss of agricultural production.

In the past, the Law Commission of India examined the scheme of the Land Acquisition Act and made certain recommendations. More recently, my Minister also set up a Committee of officials to examine remedial measures against delays and other difficulties caused by the acquisition of land for various development projects. Although the Committee has submitted its report, in view of the wide public concern. Government would like to constitute a Committee of Members of both Houses to go into the entire scheme of the principal Act. Since the subject is in the Concurrent List, representatives of various States will have to be associated with such a Committee. To conform to the Constitutional provisions, it would also be necessary to associate legal experts with the Committee, I would like to give this assurance to the House on behalf of the Government while commending the Bill to be passed.

The House may consider the Bill in the light of this situation,

Mr. Chairman; Motion moved:

"That the Bill further to amend the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 and to validate certain acquisitions of land under the said Act be taken into consideration."

There are some amendments for eliciting public opinion and others for reference to the Select Committee. We can take them as moved

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur); But are the Members moving those amendments?

3045

Shri Dattatraya Kunte (Kolabo): Sir, I rise to oppose this Bill.

An hon. Member: Let the motion for circulation be moved first.

Mr. Chairman: The first motion is in the name of Mr. Yashpal S:ngh. Is he here? No. So, it is not moved. Various Members have sent in their motions: Shri Kanwarlal Gupta, Snri Imam; they are not present here in Yashpal Singh, Shri Mohammad the House; so they are not moved.

18 hrs.

Shri Dattatraya Kunte: Sir. I rise to oppose the Bill. I have very patiently heard the hon, Minister, while he was making his observations, and I have not found any valid reason in support of the Bill which he has moved. He has only pointed out ceitain administrative difficulties which are of the making of the officers of the Government. This is an old Act, as old as 70 years, but during the last 20 years, we have found that the principle and the policy underlying this Act have been flagrantly abused by the powers that be, and therefore, on more than one occasion, it has become necessary for the Supreme Court to bring the Government to the right path, but the result has been that when the Supreme Court has given its decisions, this Government has misused the legislative powers of this House to amend the Act m such a manner as to get over the lawful interpretations of the Act put in by the Supreme Court. It will be found that the words "public purpose" were so interpreted by the officers of the Government in different States that this House has to take seriously into consideration what exactly the "public purpose" was. Here is a Bill which is trying to validate Acts which are void ab initio. If we look into the scheme of the Act, we find that it lays down that there shall be a notification under section 4, and then when that notification is issued the

public ought to take into consideration that this land is wanted for a specific purpose. The Minister in charge said, because of a phased programme, there is no clear picture, and then he gives away his own case, saying that therefore there is no specific public purpose for which that notification is issued. Under these circumstances, one would expect the Government and those who require that this legislation should be passed. that they will go into specific recommendations and suggestions and then only the notification under section 4 will issue. Once the notification under section 4 is issued it has become very difficult for the owner of the land to enter into any transaction anywhere, which means his fundamental right of disposing of his land anyway he likes is completely curtailed. But the scheme of the Act, as interpreted by the Supreme Court is very clear: that there shall be a notification, and on the notification there shall be a report, and on that report there shall be recommendation and thereafter the final order or the declaration. Here, the Minister is coming and asking us that because it is a phased programme and therefore got to be more than there has one report and more than one declaration, let us validate them and let us pass this Bill because an ordinance has been passed.

I really want to question the very intentions of this Bill. Is it not a fact that the Government is trying to validate Acts which are ab initio void? And I do not think that this amendment would give them the result that they are wanting to have.

Then there is another point; the Minister was saying as if all these acquisitions of land are meant for projects which have a definite purpose. I want to refer to a very old case; the Badrinarayan Temple has certain lands in the city of Bombay. These lands are in the possession of the Defence Department; they are so for the last few years: I do not know

whether it is from the first world war or the second world war. The land comprises as many as 17 acres. They are only paying them some annual rental, and the only use that has been made of these 17 acres for the past 25 years and more is that a small railway link passes through this land. Beyond this, all the 17 acres are put to no use and the Badrinarayan Temple is paid some rent on the basis of some old prices. The very fact that the notification under section 4 makes it incumbent that the owner of the land will not get more compensation than the price prevailing at the time when the notification is issue makes it necessary for this House to take a serious note of this matter.

There is another very recent case. Across the island of Bombay, there is another place called Uran in my constituency where the defence department at one stage for their naval depot acquired 1100 acres of land. To the displaced persons compensation was paid, but they were not looked after. Government did not care to build houses for them or to give alternative service to them, though promises were made. As much as 75 per cent of this land is today not used for the purpose for which it was acpuired. From year to year it is being let out to those old owners on a rental basis for cultivation. Yet, the defence department has again come forward for acquiring another 300 acres of land adjoining the 1100 acres for another branch of the defence de-When it was pointed out partment. to them that here is 900 acres of land not being used and the other branch of the defence department wants to have it, but the first branch does not want to part with those 900 acres of land.

How was the notification issued? It is a funny picture. On the coast of Uran, there are certain bungalows of officers belonging to the defence department who do not belong to that place at all. They do not work in Uran; they are serving some where clea. They are excluded from the

notification under section 4. other lands where the people have got houses have ben notified. Before we consider this Bill seriously, I would like to know whether the minister has applied his mind to such problems at He has not cited even one case. He does not even know, I am afraid, how many such cases are pending for how many years. Beyond paraphrasing the statement of objects and resons, he has not given any details. He should have really supplied information to this House about how many cases are pending in how many States and who is responsible for the delay. To say an officer dose not know how much of the land will be required is begging the question. It only means that while issuing the notification, neither the Government nor the persons who ask for the acquistion are clear in their minds. The Land Acquestions Act is absolutely abused and therefore the Supreme Court has to come down a heavey hand every time.

The minister was pleased to tell us that there was no time-limit before for those reports and declarations and now there will be a time-limit. amendment about giving interest at 6 percent is an after thought; it is not before the House at this stage and therefore, I would not like to consider it. What is the intention of the Government? Wag it the intention of the framers of the Act in 1894 that the owner of the land get the price prevalling on the date when the notification was issued under section 4? If that was not the intention of the farmers of the Act, Government might well come up with a new Act and not try to read something which dose not exist in the original Act. This is really an absolute misuse of the powers that are there especially when lands are being acquired, it is expected that additional compensation will be paid.

I see from the notices of Bills that have been circulated, there is a Bill by a certain hon. Member wherein he wants the prevailing land price to be paid—'prevailing' means at the time APRIL 5, 1967

[Shri Dattatraya Kunte]

3049

when final declaration comes and not at the time when the notification is issued under section 4.

An hon. Member: It is a non-official Bill.

Shri Dattatraya Kunte: It is a nonofficial Bill. It it is a healthy suggestion the Government ought to It is expected of Governaccept it. ment to accept healthy suggestions, and that is why I made a reference to that.

I want to ask does the Minister know how many cases are there. I am prepared to yield place to him in case he is prepared to give some cases at least in respect of one State. Last time when the Supreme Court passed the judgment in the Kanpur case it was found that "public purpose" was something different from what is in the dictionary. Now we find that the Act says, there shall be a notification, there shall be a report and there shall be a declaration. The scheme is complete by itself. But to imagine something which is not part of the Act and to super-impose it because certain officers of the Government have not understood the Act, is really an injustice to the owners of the land. Therefore, one would have expected this Government to say, all right, our officers have committed mistakes and therefore we will again notify those lands. Nothing would have happened as it has happened in a number to cases. I know, for instance, when I was Chairman of the Housing Board, Bombay. Because certain lands which were notified did not include certain lands which were surrounded by the lands included in fresh notifications the notification, were issued simultaneously and the first notifications withdrawn. Instead of coming with such a legislation because an ordinance was hurriedly issued, rather wa expected the bon. Minister . . .

Mr. Chairman: The hon. Member should try to conclude now.

Shri Dattatraya Munte: Sir. this is an important Bill and complete discussion ought to be allowed on this matter.

Shri K. G. Deshmukh (Amravati): But we have to finish within the time allotted.

Shri Dattairaya Kunte: This is very serious matter. 'Land acquisition is not a simple matter,

Shri K. G. Deshmukh: The hon. Member ought to have persuaded the Business Advisory Committee to give more time for this.

Shri Dattatraya Kunte: When such an important legislation is being considered if the Business Advisory Committee did not understand the import of it, it does not mean that a Member should not have his full say in the matter. The hon. Minister said that it is Government's policy not to acquire agricultural lands. Then why are lands in Uran being acquired when bungalows are there. ls he prepared to give a promise that people in this area will not be dishoused before alternative accommodation is provided for them and also suitable services are offered to them? Otherwise, Sir, it will not be correct to pass such Bills. While passing such Bills they make very laudable declarations, but once they become Acts and those Acts are enforced they forget those laudable declarations. That has been the tale of the past, I expected that in the first Session of the Fourth Lok Sabha that tale would not be repeated and not having seen that I have the solemn duty to oppose this Bill with all my strength.

Some hon. Members ross-

Mr. Chairman: Shri Madhok -.. ..

An Hon. Member: Sir, I gave my name first.

305I

Shri Chintawani Panigrahi (Bhubeneshwar): Sir, I have given some smeedments.

Mer Chairman: I know.

की बल्राज वर्षेक (दक्षिण दिल्ली) : समापति महोदय, मैं इस विधेयक का विरोध करने के लिए खड़ा हमा है। यह विश्वेषक बदालत के एक फैतले को रह करने के लिए साया जा रहा है। भारत में श्रंबेओं ने कान्त बनाया या भूमि को अधियहण करने के लिए 1894 में एक कानून बनाया था। उस की बारा 4 के मताबिक गर्वनमेंट किसी जमीन को नोटिकाई कर सकती है कि हमें सरकारी काम के लिए या पब्लिक काम के लिए ग्रावश्यक होगी। उन के ऊपर धारा 5 के म् गाविक कुछ धावजेवशन करने की द्याजत है भीर फिर धारा 6 के मनाबिक गवनेमेंट बोवणा कर सकती है कि वे इस जमीन के लिये कितना मुप्रावजा देगें ? कुछ विशेष परिन्यितियों के अन्दर यह कानन बनाया गया होगा । उस के बाद हालात बदल च हे हैं मगर भिम अधिय उण कानन बही चला भा रहा है। उसी कानून के मराबिक आअशे के बाद सरकार ने जगह जगह पर जमोनों को नोटिफाइ करना शरू किया । यह विल मध्य प्रदेश में भूमि चित्रहण के विषय में उच्च न्यायालय में हवे एक फीला के अरुद्ध लाया गया है। बहां 1949 में 11 गावों की नोटिफाई कर दिशा गया जाके बाद सरकार बाहिस्ता २ जमीन लेनी गई और उसमें से 600 एकड अमीन 1966 में ली गई। नोटिफाई किया 1949 में धौर जमीन ली गई 1966 में श्रीर कव्येन्सेशन वहीं दिया गया जो कि 1949 में था ।

उस पर बहां के लोग प्रदालत में मामला के गए। भदालत ने उन की बात ठीक समझी चीर कहा कि मसत है। चाहिए तो यह वा कि सरकार अवासत के कैसले के सामने सिर ज्ञकाली और इस खामी को दर करती और उन नोगों के साथ जिन की जमीन 1966 में सी गई लेकिन कम्पेन्सेशन 1949का विवा गया, न्याय करती । मगर ऐसा न कर के न्यायालय के फैनले को रह करने के लिये यह एक प्राहितेंस ले प्राये और प्रव उसी आर्डिनेन्स को कानन की शकल देने के लिए यह बिल लाया गया है।

समापति महोदय, इस कानून के बारे में जो सब से बड़ी भापति की बात है बह यह है कि इस के धनसार जो जमीन का मालिक है जिस की जमीन नोटिफाई की जाती है उस का कोई प्रधिकार छोड़ा ही नहीं गया । हमें अपने संविधान के भन्दर कुछ फंडामेंटल राइटस दिए गए हैं। यह ठीक है कि सार्वजनिक कार्य के लिए हम जमीन ले सकते हैं मगर पब्लिक परपज क्या है इसका जस्टिफिहेशन क्या है यह कोई बताने को तैयार नहीं है। मध्य प्रदेश के यह 11 गांव जो 1949 में नोटिफाई किए गए मगर 1966 तक प्रविषहण की कार्यवही नहीं मक मल नहीं की गई। मैं जानना चाहता है कि 17 साल तक क्या होता रहा ? जिस की जमीन नोटिफाई की बादी है वह कुछ कर नहीं सकता. उसे बेच नहीं सकता । उसका विकास नहीं कर सकता और इस प्रकार देश की लाखों एकड जबीन जाया पड़ी हुई है। जो जमीन के मालिक हैं उन को उस का मधावजा नहीं भिलता धीर उस अमीन का जिलत उपयोग भी नहीं हो रहा है।

इस की सब से भयानक निसाल देख की राजधानी विल्ली में निलती है। 1959में दिल्ली की श्रमणग 34 हजार एकड जमीन इसी धारा 4 के मृताबिक कीज कर दी गई. बन्द कर दी गई । एक साम बाद 16 हजार एकड़ जमीन और कीख कर की

श्री बल्पाज मधीक]

बर्ड । इम प्रकार लगभग जितनी जमीन दिल्ली की है जिस के ऊपर मकान बनाये जा सकते थे या जो खेती के घन्दर थी उसे फीज कर दिया गया मगर उसे डेक्लप नही किया गया । सगभग 52 हजार एकड जमीन जी फीज की गई उस में से धमी तक एक्वायर की गई केवल 22 हजार एकड धौर जो एक्बायर की गई है उसमें से भी टेवला की गई है कॅवल 5070 एकड और बाकी सारी जमीन खाली पड़ी हुई है। उस जमीन पर खेती हो सकती है न उस जमीन का मालिक उस जमीन की बेच सकता है भीर नही उस का मधावजा मिला है। जब जब सरकार का जी करता है वह उस की एक्वायर करती जाती है । जमीन एक्वायर अब करते हैं मगर ममावजा कहते हैं कि वही देंगे जो 1959 में देते । परिणाम क्या हो रहा है ? धमी यहा पर कुछ कोभापरेटिव सोमाइटीज बनाई गई । उन्ह जमीन देने की बात हुई । उन की कहा गया कि तम्हें जमीन दी जायगी 8 रुपये गज वर्ग के जिसाब से लेकिन वह जमीन गव मंदर ने जिन लोगों से ली हुई है उन को 60 पैसे, 80 पैसे, एक इपया, दो रुपया गत्र मझाबजा दिया गया। मगर वही जमीन कोग्रापरेटिव सोसायटी की 8 रुपये गज देने और प्लाटेड एरिया की कीमत तो उस से दूनी यानी 16 काये गज होगी। इनना ही नही, वह जमीन जो सरकार ने खद डेबलप की उस में खर्चा होता है 6 रुपये था 8 रुपये गज और सरकार उसे बेच रही है 30 रुपये से लेकर 300 और 500 रुपये गज तक । दिल्ली के धन्दर जितना प्रावलम हाउसिंग का पैदा हुआ है वह इसी करण वैदा हुया है कि सादी अभीन सरकार ने ले सी और फिर उसका **डलेक** बार्केटिंग शुरु किया, प्राफिटि- यरिंग शुद्ध किया । इस का लाभ केवल क्वनंभेंट को हुआ। उस से कन्जयमर थी बरा, बकान बनाने बाला भी गरा धीर जिसकी जमीन थी, जो मालिक या उसके साय प्रन्याय हुया। इतना ही नही कछ जमीन ऐसी थी जो कि लैंड झोनसं ने उस के पहले या फीज आर्डर के तरन्त पहले कुछ लोगों को बेच दी थी और वहा पर कुछ कालोनाइजर्स ने कालोनीज खड़ी कर दी थी। कुछ प्राहवेट लोगों ने भी जमीन ली भी भार रुपये गता. 6 रुपये गज 8 रूप रे गज। बहा पर उन्होंने भपने मकान बनाये और इस बक्त दिल्ली के घन्दर लगभग । लाख मकान इस प्रकार की जमीन पर बने हए हैं और उनमें लोग रह रहे हैं। ग्रव जो ये सारी जमीने एक्वायर की जा रही हैं, यह उन लोगों के ऊपर एक दोहरी मार हैं-ए ह तो वह जमीन. जिस पर वे मकान बना कर बैठे हए हैं, उनसे वे मकान छीने जा रहे है, उन को बेघर बनाने की बात की जा रही है, दूसरे कहा जाता है कि तम्हें बेघर भी करेगे, जमीन भी छीनेगे, अगर कम्पेन्सेशन देगे, वह जो 1959 में था। उन्होंने 15 रू० 20 रू० गज में वह जमीन पड़ी है, लेकिन उन को देने एक इनये. दो रुपये गज । इस प्रकार से लाखों लोगों के बेघर श्रीर कगाल होने की सम्भावना पैदा हो गई है।

दिल्ली में किस प्रकार इम कानून का दुरुपयोग हो रहा है, इसका एक भीर उदाहरण भापके सामने रखना चाहता हं। दिल्ली में बहत से गांव हैं, इन गांबों का बन्दोबस्त सन 1908 में हथा था भीर तब उन गावों का लाल-होरा तय हुमा था, उन गांवों की सीमा निश्चित की गई थी। उस के बाद जिस तरह से बाबादी बही, यह बाप सब जानतें हैं। लेकिन लालडोरा वहीं सीमित रहा है और उसके बाहर की जमीनों को सरकार द्वारा एक्वायर कर लिया गया है। शब गांववालों के परिवार बढ़ गयें हैं सगर वे धपने बढ़े हुए परिवार के लिये मकान बनाना चाहतें हैं. ती बना नहीं सकते, इम् कारण आज बहुत है गांव उजड़ रहे हैं। नर्रना गांव दिल्ली का एक बड़ा गांव है, उस के इर्द-गिर्द जितनी जसीन है, जहां पर लोगों के मकान बने हुए हैं, उन को लिया जा रहा है, लोगों के मकान तोड़े जा रहे हैं और उन को मुमावजा न के बराबर दिया जा रहा है।

दिल्ली का जो सिवेज का पानी जाता था, उस से इद-गिर्व के इलाके में काफी प्रच्छी बेती होती थी। भोखले के पास कुछ गांव हैं जहा उस पानी को इस्तेमाल कर के काफी झच्छी खेती होती थी उस से कारपोरेशन को भी 10-15 हजार रुपये माल की धामदनी होती थी क्योंकि वे उस पानी को खेती के काम के लिये दे देते थे। इस पानी से हजारों एकड धनाज पैदा होता था । लेकिन वह जमोन भी लेली गई है। अब वहां न खेती श्री सकती है और न भनाज पैदा हो सकता है और इम तरह से कारपोरेणन को जो भामदनी होती थी वह भी खत्म हो गई है। बाली जमीन पडी हुई है, उसे नोटिफाई कर दिया गया है, किसानों से जमीन छीन ली गई हैं लेकिन कम्पेन्सेशन नहीं मिला है वहा पर खेरी नहीं होने दी जाती जो धनाज वहां पर पैदा हो सकता दा, जो तीन-बार फमलें हा सकती भी वह सब नहीं हो सकतो। यह कैसी नीति है ? उसका कोई भी जस्टीफिकेशन नहीं है। यह "डाग इन दी मेन्डर" की नीति है, न हम कुछ करेगे और न इसरों को करने देगे।

धण्छा तो यह वा कि हाई कोर्ट ने को फैसला किया था, उसकी लाइट में इस कानून में संशोधन करते, लेकिन ऐसा करने के बजाय धापने धार्कि से निकाल कर उस फैसले को खत्म कर दिया, और बब इस को कानून की सक्त देने जा रहे हैं। यह एक गलत कार्य-बाही है। मंत्री महोदय ने कहा है कि वे एक कवेडो मू कर्नर करने को इस बारे में पुनिक्चार करेती, सनर मैं पूछना बाहता हूं कि इस

बिल को लाने की जल्दी क्या बी. बोडा इन्तजार किया जा सकता था। सुझ.व दिया गया है कि इस विल को पब्लिक घोपीनियम जेने के लिये प्रसारित किया जाय. में भी यहीं कहना चाहता हूं कि थोडा इन्तजार कर लीजिये, जल्दी न कीजिये, भीर एक कम्ब्रीहेन्सिक कानुन बनाइये जो जरूरियात को पुराकर सके। प्राज जो विल पेश किया गया है यह हमारी धावश्यकताधी को. जरूरियात को परा नहीं कर सकता, इस लिये एक कमेटी मकरिए कीजिये, उस में पालिया-मेंन्ट के मेम्बर्स हों राज्य के मेम्बरस भी लिये जा सकते हैं, क्योंकि यह कानकरेक्ट सब्जेक्ट है वह कमेटी इस पर विवार करे, उस के बाद सुझाव दे और तब उस के घाषार पर एक नया कम्त्रीहेन्सिव कानून बने और उसके प्रनुसार धार्गे काम किया जाय । इस समय लोगों के साथ जो घन्याय हो रहा है. उस को बन्द किया जाय।

जो जमीन आपने ली है, उस के सम्बन्ध में जब कहा गया है कि डिक्नेप्ररेशन तीन साल में करेंने, तीन साल में निर्णय करेंगे तीन साल क्यों? जिस बक्त जमीन को एक्बायर करने हैं, नोटिफाई करते हैं, उस बक्त आपके पास प्लान होना चाहिये कि कितनी जमीन की आपको जकरत है। गर्वमेंन्ट के पास इतने रिसर्सेंग्र हैं, इनने साधन है, जिर भी वे तय नहीं कर मकतें कि उसको कितने सालों में डे अलप करेगे। जब गबमेनंट का यह हाल है, तो प्राइवेट घादमी क्या कर सकता है। तीन साल का समय लेने का कोई सबाल नहीं है, यह तो केवल धार्खों में जूल झोंकने वाली बात है, इस से कुछ नहीं बनेगा।

इस लिये मेरा धनुरोध है कि इस विक को बायस तिया जाय, अयर वापस नहीं किया जाता तो इसे पब्लिक घोपीनियन जानने के जिये परिवृत्तित किया जाय इस बीज जिस कमेटी का सुझाव विवा गया है [बी बलर च मधोक]

छस को नकरिए किया जाय और उसे कमेटी के इस कानून के तमाम पहलुखों पर विचार करने के बाद इस बिस को उसे के मताबिक ढाला बाय और तब तक जिनकी जमीनें भी गई है. उन को कम्पेसेशन दिया जाय । यह कम्पेसेलन पाज की जो मार्केट बैल्य है उसके बताबिक दिया जाय । गर्बमेंन्ट इसरों को कहती है कि ब्लैक मार्केट करते हैं, प्राफिटीय-रिंग करते हैं. लेकिन यहां पर वह खद प्राफि-टीवरिंग और ब्लैक मार्केटिंग करना बाहती है, इस लिये गवनं मेंट खद इस ब्लैक मार्केंटिंग की बन्द करे बरना दिल्ली के घन्दर हाउसिंग ब्राबलम और भयानक होता चला जायगा में जम्मीद करता हूं कि इस सुझाव पर मंत्री महोदय विचार करेगें और इस विस की बाबी पास होने के लिये जिद नहीं करेगें।

श्री बसा प्रकाश (बाह्य-दिस्सी): वेयरमैन साहब. जिस तरह से ग्रीर जिस शक्त में यह दिल सामने धाया है. मैं उसका विरोध करने के लिये खड़ा हचा हं क्योंकि यह बिल काम्तकारों के साथ.....

Shri Sonavane (Pandharpur): Sir. there is no quorum in the House.

Mr. Chairman: Let the bell be rung.... now there is quorum. The hon. Member may continue speech.

बी बहा प्रकाश: मैं कह रहा वा कि यह जो बिल है यह दिल्ली के और हिन्दरतान के काम्तकारों के साथ बढ़ी जबरदस्त बेइन्साफ़ी है भीर जिस शक्त में यह बिल भाया है वह उस मन्त्रा के खिलाफ़ है जो हाई कोर्ट चौर सुप्रीम कोर्ट के फैसलों में बाहिर हुई है । यह बिल इस सम्बन्ध में भी मा-कमीशन की रिपोर्ट है, उस के भी खिलाफ है और घणी गवर्नमेंट ने को क्षपन्नी एक कमेटी एक्सपर्टंस की बनाई बी कांकवियम कमेटी धीर जिसकी रिपोर्ट

थी शायद महीना भर हथा है, निक्सी है यह उसकी मन्त्रा के भी खिलाफ है। धजीब बात है कि हाई कोर्ट के फैसले, स्प्रीम कीर्ट के फैसले. ला-बामीश की रिपोर्ट जो कि गवर्नमेंट का मकरिर किया हुआ व मीशन था या एवसपर्ट कमेटी की निपोर्ट, इन सब की मन्या के खिलाफ यह विल यहां पर पेश किया जाय, इस को देख कर मुझे धफसोस होता है।

मझे दिल्ली के कुछ बोड़े से मामले मालम है कि यहां किया तरह से मह समझ कर कि 1981 तक वा दिल्ली का बबेलपमेंट का प्लान चलाना है, लिहाजा उस के लिये जमीनें धभी से एनवायर कर दी जायं, सन 1959 में कोई 35 हजार एकड जमीन एक्वायर की गई मीर मन तक कोई 60 हजार एकड़ जमीन एक्बायर हो चुकी है। जिनमें ऐसे यहत से केसेज पडे हए हैं कि 5-6 साल बीत जाने पर भी उन का कम्पेन्सेशन शभी तक नहीं दिया गया है और कम्पेन्सेशन देने का जो तरीका है, वह भी गलत है ला-कमीशन और एवस-कमेटी की रिपोर्ट के मताबिक। यह जो कहा गया है कि खेती की जमीन न ली जाये क्योंकि कमीशन की रिपोर्ट है भीर धौर एक्सपर्ट कमेटी की रिपोर्ट है कि जहां तक हो सके खोती की जमीन न ली जाये

श्री हरम चन्द्र कह्माय (उज्जैन) : सभापति महोदय यहां कैविनेट का कोई मंत्री नहीं है। यह इतने महत्व का विषय हैं लेकिन फिर भी मंत्रिमंडल का कोई मंत्री उपस्थित नहीं है। ... (श्यवदान) मंत्रिमंडल का मंत्री उपस्थित होना अकरी है। मैं निवेदन ककंगा कि त्रन्त मंत्री महोदय को बुलाबा जाये।

शिता मंत्रालय में ए ध्य-मंत्री (बी भाग्यस झा बाजाव) : इस की कोई वकरत मधीं है।

भी हुकम पाम काइकास: भ्राप कींलग को देखिये तो पता चलेगा कि सदन के सन्दर कोई कैंदिनेट का मंत्री जरूर उपस्थित रहना चाहिये।

भी डा॰ ना॰ तिबारी: (गोपालगंज) राज्य-नंती बिल के इंचार्ज हैं वह उप-स्थित हैं।

बी हुकम बन्व कछवाय: यह सदन की भवहेलना है। मंत्री नहीं हैं।

Shri Annasahih Shinde: I have moved the Bill. I am here.

18.31 hrs.

[MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

भी बहा प्रकाश: मैं कह रहा था कि जो उसल लैंड ऐनिवजिशन के सम्बन्ध में ला कमिशन भीर एक्सपट कमेटी ने रक्खे हैं उन उसलों का इस बिल में ध्यान नहीं रक्खा गया है, और इस बिल की इन्ह से हिन्दुस्तान के हजारों, लाखों किसानों के कपर एक तरह से बड़ा अन्याय है। इस बिल में कहा गया है कि तीन साल के घन्दर भन्दर भगर दफा 4 की नोटिस न आये तो तीन साल के बन्दर कोई दूसरा नोटि-फिकेशन प्रा जाये । लेकिन दूसरे नोटिस के लिये कोई समय निर्धारित नहीं किया गया है। वह पांच साल भी चल सकता है, छ: साल भी चल सकता है और दिस साल भी चल सकता है। इस लिये यह बडी वबर्दस्त कमी है भीर तीन साल की ओ पाबन्दी लगाई गई है उस का कोई मतलब नहीं रह जाता ।

एक उसून रखा गया है कि जमीन उत्तरी ही ऐक्वायर होनी चाहिये जितने को ऐक्वायर करने के लिये बाप के पास बबट में बाविजन हो। जितना धाप क्यया केर के ऐक्वायर कर सकें। मैं यह कह सकता हूं कि दिन्ती की जमीन की ऐक्यायर काने के लिये जो नीटिंस दिया गर्मा है उस को ऐक्याःर करने के लिये कम से कम 100 करोड़ रुपया चाहिये। 100 करोंड़ रुपया चाहिये। 100 करोंड़ रुपया चामले 30 या 40 सालों मं भी गवर्नमेंट देने को तैयार नहीं होगी ताकि उतना देकर जमीन को ऐक्यायर किया जा सके।

माप को मालम ही होगा कि जिस जमीन के लिये नोटिस दिया जाता है आम वीर से किसान उस पर इत्वेस्टमेंट करना छोड़ देता है। यहां में धर्ज करना बाहता हं कि जिस जमीन के लिये वका 6 के मातहत धापकी तरफ से नोटिस दिया गया और जिसका कब्जा से लिया गया. वह बेकार पड़ी हुई है सालों से। देहात की जो खेती की जमीन है जिसमें कूंएं हैं. पानी है, प्रच्छी सब्जियां वहां उगती थीं। हम ने कहा कि यह जमीन धाप काम्तकारों के हवाले कर दें भीर जब तक धाप इस्तेमाल न करें. जब तक आपके पास उसका इस्तेमाल नहीं है, तब तक के लिये बह फल फल उना सकें, सब्जियां उना रखें. तो उस को भी मना कर दिया गया और कहा गया कि धगर द्वारा उसे काश्तकारी की दे दिया आयेगा तो वह लोग बाद में उस पर कब्बा कर लेंगे और उसको वापस नहीं करेंगे । लिहाचा वह जमीन बेकार पड़ी हई है।

जहां कहा गया कि हम एक कमेटी बनायेंगे, तो मैं कहता हूं कि प्राप उस कमेटी की रिपोर्ट का इन्तजार करें। पालिया-मेंटरी कमेटी का बहुत प्रच्छा सुझाब है धौर इस बिल को इस बक्त पास करने की जरूरत नहीं है। इस को घाप इस्तबा में डास बीजिये धौर कमेटी मुकर्रर कर दीजिये। जमीन को हमेशा के लिये केने का जो फैसला है वह हमेशा के लिये न किया जाये। कमेटी में घीजिये जिस में कि कितने समय के लिये लिया खाने बही नहीं बसिक [की बहा प्रकाश]

3061

कितना कम्पेन्सेशन दिया आये इस का बी फैसला ठीक तरह से हो सके।

बातें तो बहुत सी कहते की हैं मैं उन सब बातों के धन्दर नहीं जाना चाहता. जो सा कमीशन की रिपोर्ट और एक्सपर्ट कमेटी की रिपोर्ट पढेंगे उन के सामने साफ हो जायेगा कि यह जो बिल है यह सब तरह से उन उसलों के खिलाफ है जो कि इसमे दिये गये हैं। लिहाजा मैं मंत्री महोदय से प्रार्थना कहंगा कि इस बिल के पाम करने में वह जल्दी न करें भीर पालियामेटरी कमेटी जल्दी से जल्दी' नियक्त कर दें जो कि ग्रगले सेशन तक प्रपनी रिपोर्ट दे दे ताकि एक वीसमील बिल नहीं बल्कि एक काम्प्रिहेन्सिव बिल लैड ऐक्विजिशन पर श्रा सके ताकि जहां हिन्दूस्तान के किसानों के साथ भ्रन्याय न हो, जहां दिल्ली के किसानों के साथ भी अन्याय न हो वहां इस मद के लिये वह जमीन इस्तेमाल होगी उस के साथ भी भ्रन्याय न हो।

इन शब्दों के साथ जो कारण मैं ने दिये हैं उन की वजह से मैं इस बिल का विरोध करता हूं।

Shri V. Krishnamoorthi (Cuddalore): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I rise to point out some of the technical difficulties which are likely to arise if we pass this Bill in its present form. Therefore, I would suggest that the Bill may be referred to a Select Committee in order that it may be improved upon and not passed it very hastily in this manner.

This Bill has been brought forward by the hon. Minister in order to obviate the difficulties pointed out by the Supreme Court in the manner of notification, report and determination of the acquisition and also in order to shor'en the period for the notification under section 4 and the declaration under 6 of the Act.

I would like to state that we do require land for public purpose. We are, therefore, not at all questioning that. There should not be any obstacle in the way of acquisition of land for reasoable purposes at reasonable prices and within a reasonable time. But I have to pity the land-owners of Madhya Pradesh whose lands were notified in 1949 and who would be paid compensation only at the 1949 price. The Supreme Court has nullified the notifica'ion and has stated that it is unjust to put these land-owners to loss because under the Act as it stands now, the owners of land in Madhya Pradesh have to receive compensation only at the price prevalent in 1949. My appeal to the Government as well as to you is this. Is it just on our part to make the people suffer in this manner? Then the Supreme Court has decided it as unjust. we should not try to cirumvent it by again validating those provisions which the Supreme Court has decided as unjust in this particular case my summission is that the owners of the land have been deprived of the right to own property and the right to get just market value for the land.

Therefore, I would plead that the hon. Minister may circulate the Bill for elicitng public opinion thereon, or have it referred to a Select Committee. Clause 4 of the Bill sceks validate some of the provisions some of the notifications which were struck down by the Madhya Pradesh High Court and upheld by Supreme Court also. It is not at all correct. I represent a party which is the ruling party in Madras. We also find some obstacles in the way of acquiring lands for public purposes. But we hold the view that we should not be hasty in bringing forward legislation in this manner and should not give room for such legislation to be questioned again ia Supreme Court.

Here the hos. Minister has introduced a provision revalidating some of the notifications which have been declared ultra vires by the Supreme Court and struck down. In Madras we had a similar case in 1964. famous Vajravelu Mudaliar which was decided by the Supreme Court here. There the previous Government issued a notification under sec. 4 and then it waited for years together and then it passed the award in 1964 which was questioned by the Madras High Court. It was taken up again by the Madras Government and brought to the Supreme Court. Then the Supreme Court gave a judgment which is really worth noting. I say this because we should not give room for this Bill also facing the same dificulty in the Supreme Court again

There is a fundamental right given to owners of property and they will go to the court in order to uphold it. Recently there was a decision by the Supreme Court to the effect Parliament has no right to pass any law abridging the fundamental rights guaranteed in Part III of the Consti-In the face of that decision, here is a Bill which seeks to validate some of the notifications declared to be unjust by the Supreme Court. The case I referred to earlier the Vajravelu Mudaliar case, is similar to the present one. This Bill concerns the judgment in the Madhya Pradesh case where a notification was assued in 1949 but final declaration was made in 1963 or 1964 after a gap of so many years. The same was the case in relation to the Madras case. There the Supreme Court has ruled like this:

"If a law says that though a be House is acquired, it shall valued as a land or that though a house site is acquired it shall be valued as an agricultural land and that though it is acquired in 1950 its value in 1930 should be given, then the principle does not pertain to the domain of adequancy".

Also:

"If the compensation is illusory or the principles prescribed are irrelevant to the value of the pro-

perty at or about the time of its acquisition, it can be said that the legislature has committed a fraud on the power and therefore the law is bad".

Again they have stated:

"When the court says that a particular legislation is colourable, it means the regislature has transgressed its legislative powers and in an overt or indirect manner it adopts a device to outstep the limits of its powers".

My humble submission is that the judgement given in the Vajravelu Mudaliar case by the Supreme Court clearly gives a loophole to impugn section 4 of this provision. The hon. Minister can very well say that the Government will have to face so many proceedings. As my hon, friend suggested, it is open to Government co notify afresh. We are not questioning the sovereignty of Parliament or denying the right of Government; it is open to Government, both at the Cetre and in the States, to afresh and then proceed in the manner suggested, but they should validate a thing which has been adjudged unjust by the Sureme Court. So, in the light of that decision, this section 4 clearly abridges or takes away the fundamental rights guaranteed under the Constitution. Hence, it must be referred to the Select Committee.

Secondly, in order to obviate difficulties, he has introduced a provision clause 3 to add the following words:

"and different declarations may be made from time to time in respect of different parcels. .." There is also a proviso:

"Provided that, no declaration in respect of any particular land covered by a notification under Section 4, Sub-Section (1), publicommencement shed after the of the Land Acquaition (Amendment and Validation) Ordinance, 1967, shall be made after the axpiry of three years from the date of such publication:"

There is also a further provise. My submission that the duration is really 3065

APRIL 5, 1967

(Shri V. Krishnamoorthi.) too long, and I have therefore given amendment that the period should be reduced to one year and six months respectively.

If my house is to be acquired by the Government, I must get the market value of the previous If the Government want to acquire a company, it pays the market value of the shares on the previous day, but if the Government wants to acquire land it desires to pay compensation at the rate prevailing on the date of the preliminary notification, not that prevailing on the date of the notification. Why? a piece of land may cost Rs. 1,000 in one locality, and within one year it may cost Rs. 10,000. Why should the Government deprive the right of the individual guaranteed under Constitution? That is my objection. And the duration of time between the notifications preliminary and final should be shortened, in my submission, is it should not exceed more than six months in any case.

The Minister should not be in haste in proceeding with this Bill, because it will be challenged again in the Supreme Court, and once a writ is filed and a stay is granted it takes another two or three years. So, it is not going to solve the problem, to serve the purpose the Minister has in view. So, we should not be hasty. We can very well pass this legislation after referring to the Select Committee, in the next session. Nothing will happen. The ordinance is there, which has got a life of another two or three months. So, my submission is that the Bill be referred to a Select Committee in order to overcome the difficulties pointed out by me and by other hon. Members of the Opposition.

श्री बसवन्त (भिवंडी) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, सदन कें सामनें भूमि ग्रधिग्रहण का जो त्रिल ग्राया है, जो मेंरी राय इसके सम्बन्ध में है उसको में ग्राप कें सामने रखना चाहता हं। मेरे अपने निर्वाचन क्षेत्र की जो कठिनाइयां हैं उनको ही मैं सदन के सामने रखना चाहंगा । उन सब को देखते हुए यह अच्छा होगा कि भूमि अधिग्रहण बिल को रखने की अनुमति दी जायें या न दी जाए।

पहले तो मैं यह बतलाना चाहता हूं कि भूमि ऋधिग्रहण तीन उद्देश्यों को लेकर किया जाता है। एक तो सरकार खुद ग्रपने कामों के लिए जमीन लेती है। दूसर कोई नहर या पार्क बनवाना होता है तो उसके लिए भूमि का अधिग्रहण किया जाता है ग्रौर सार्वजनिक उद्देश्य को लेकर कर ऐसा किया जाता है। तीसरे जब कम्पनियां बिठाने की बात होती तो एम॰ म्राई० डी० सी० के नाम के ऊपर उद्योग-पतियों को सस्ती भूमि देकर उन कम्पनियों को बिठाया जाता है।

जहां तक पहली दो बातों का सम्बन्ध है, राष्ट्रीय भावना को ध्यान में रखते हर्ए यह ठीक मालूम पड़ता है कि भूमि का अधिग्रहण किया जाए ग्रौर ऐसा करने के बाद भूमि के ठीक दाम चुकायें जायें। लेकिन जहां तक तीसरी बात का सम्बन्ध है, उसके सम्बन्ध में मैं ग्रपने क्षेत्र के कुछ मामले ग्रापके सामने रखंगा तो ग्रच्छा होगा

30 ग्रगस्त, 1962 को इस सदन में भूमि ग्रधिग्रहण बिल पर विचार हम्रा था। मैंने उसी समय अपनी कुछ राय प्रकट की थी। उस समय पाटिल साहब कृषि मंत्री थे। उन्होंने बहुत प्रामिसिज किये थे। लेकिन मैं ग्रापको बताना चाहता हूं कि 1962 से लेकर 1967 तक उस कानून के म्रन्तर्गत किस तरह कार्यवाही की गई श्रौर उन प्रामि-सिज को किस हद तक पूरा किया गया।

थाना डिस्ट्रक्ट में बेलापुर पट्टी, ग्राजदे गोलीवली, ग्रम्बरनाथ मोरीवली, वांगणी, खारवाई ग्रीर मानकीवली गांवों में कम से कम पच्चीस हजार एकड़ भूमि का ऋधिग्रहण किया गया । जब बेलापुर पट्टी में काम काम शुरू होने लगा, तो पार्लियामेंट का सदस्य होने के नाते मुझे भी बुलाया गया ग्रौर मुझे कहा गया कि चूकि वहां पर फैक्टरी खड़ी करनी है, अच्छा काम हो रहा है, इसलिए मैं किसानों को कहूं कि वे नोटिस मिलने पर ग्रपनी भूमि को कब्जे में दे दें, ताकि वहां पर काम शीघ्र ही शुरु कर दिया जाये।

मझे यह भी भाश्यासन दिया गया कि फैक्टरी तैयार होने पर साठ फीसबी कर्मचारी वहां के सोगों में से रखे जायेंगे। 1962 के बाद क्या हुआ ? वहां पर बाई० सी० बाई० भीर मुकतलाल यप की फैक्टरियां खडी की गई । उन फैक्टरियों में शरू गठ में कुछ धाविमयों को रख लिया गया, लेकिन बाद में कहा गया कि वे प्रादमी हमारे काम के नहीं है और फैक्टरियों के पूरा होने पर उन की निकाल दिया गया । उन में दस परसेंट कर्मचारी भी वहा के लोगों में से नहीं रखे गए।

Land

भनि प्रधियहण के समय एम० प्राई० डी विशे ने यह बात तय की बी कि जब हम बीस हजार एकड जमीन ले रहे हैं. तो हम वहा पर एक इंडस्डियल देनिंग सेंटर भी खोलेंगे भीर जिन किसानो की भूमि ली आयेगी, उन के लड़कों को भी हम शिक्षा देंगे और उन को फैक्टरी में काम पर मी लगायेंगे। नेकिन माखिर मे क्या हुआ ? टेनिंग सेंटर के लिए भूमि मुकरेर की गई। जब तक बिल्डिंग नहीं बनी, नब नक के लिए एक शार्ट-टर्म कोर्स तैयार किया गया. और 60 विद्याचियों को छ महीने नक प्रशिक्षण विया गया। उन में में भभी तक केवल दो को सबिस में लिया गया है और बाकी के 58 बेकार घुम रहे हैं। जहां तक लांग-दर्म कोर्स का सम्बन्ध है, एम॰ बाई॰ डी॰ सी के से केटरी ने मझे कहा कि हम ने एज-केशन डिपार्ट मेंट को कह दिया है कि इस सम्बन्ध में जो भूमि निष्यत की गई है, वहां पर माई॰ टी॰ माई॰ सेंटर खोल दिया जाये. जिस में उन लोगों को प्रशिक्षण दिया जाय । व समझता हं कि ऐसे उपदेश देने से एज केशन डियार्टमेंन्ट काम नहीं करेगा । यह काम तो एस० बाई० डी० सी० को स्वयं अपने हाथ में लेना चाहिए था, क्योंकि उसी ने इस का बाबदा किया या ।

बेरे कहने का तात्पर्य यह है कि भूमि के मिलवे सक तो कई प्रकार के बारवायन विये बाते हैं कि हम यह करेंगे, वह करेंगे, लेकिन बाह वे का बीवों के साब विकासकात किया जाता है भीर धगर मैं यह कहं कि उन का गला बोंटा जाता है, तो कोई सन्बित बान नहीं होती।

खरीवली भीर मानकीवली गांबों में 400 एकड भूमि के अधियहण का प्रश्न था। सरकार की धोर से कहा गया कि किसान उस अमीन को बालन्टेरिली दे दे । सार्व जनिक कार्य करने वाले हम लोगों को बहां ले जाया गया और इस के परिणामस्वरूप वह जमीन सरकार को दे दी गई। बाद में हमें पता चला कि उस जमीन का दाम एक एकड चर्चात पाच हजार गज के लिए चार सी हत्ये के हिसाब से दिया गया, जबकि महाराष्ट गवर्नमेंन्ट ने दो साल पहले सचिवालयके सामने जो जमीन बेची , उस का दाम बाठ हजार रुपैव प्रति-गज के हिसाब से लिया । में कोई गलत या झुठ बात नहीं कह रहा है। में ने चीफ मिनिस्टर से भपील की कि इस जमीन का उचित मधावजा विया जाना बाहिए। बीफ मिनिस्टर ने 15-3-67 को मझे एक बत लिखा, जो कि मराठी में है। उम जन में उन्होंने कहा, "या बाबतचे कागद पत्र भी पाहिले । विशेष भू-संपादन प्रविका-याने स्वाचेसमोर पानेला सर्वपरावा लक्षात चेकनच नुकसान मरपाईची रक्कम जाहीर केलेली बाहे बसे दिसून येते"। इस का मतलब यह है कि सरकार का इस से ज्यादा मधावजा देने का न तो विचार है और न ही वह दे सकती है।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय : माननीय सदस्य इस का हिन्दी में तर्ज्भा कर वें।

की बसबन्त: मैं ने मुख्य मंत्री को बत लिखा था कि उन लोगों को चार सौ रुपये गज प्रति -एकड के हिसाब से मधावजा विया जा रहा है वह बिल्कुस कर्म है और वह स्वयं इस मामले को देख लें। तीन महीने के बाद उन्होंने मुझे यह उत्तर दिया कि उन्होंने सारी वातें देख सी हैं और उन की ऐसा सगता है कि सरकार कानुनी वीर के इस से समिक मुसायका नहीं है संस्थी है ?

व्यी वसवन्त]

इस से बिल्कुल प्रत्यक्ष हो जाता है कि उद्योगपतियों के लिए सरकारी प्रधिकारियों के हारा जिन किसानों की श्रमि सी जाती है. उन की पूरा दाम नहीं दिया जाता है। न तो उन को पूरा मुधावजा दिया जाता है भीर न ही सरकार का ऐसा विचार है। जो भूमि बम्बई के नजदीक है, स्टेशन धौर रोड के समीप हैं उसी को पहले एम • बाई • डी॰ सी॰ के नाम से छीन लिया जाता है। उद्योगपति कहते हैं कि पहले उस जमीन को ले लिया जाये, चाहे वह जमीन कितनी धण्छी भीर उपजाक ही क्यों न हो । उद्योगपति ऊंची-नीची भीर हर स्थित जगहो पर श्रमि को लेने के लिए तैयार नहीं होते है।

मैं यह निवेदन करना चाहता हु कि भूमि-धर्जन के धन्तर्गत ऐसी कार्यवाहिया डी रही हैं, जिन से किसानों को बहुत हानि होती है। इस सम्बन्ध में जो भाववासन दिये गए थे. उन की 1962 से ले कर प्राज तक पूरा नहीं किया गया है। मैं मदन से निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि हम लोग किसानो के बोटों से चन कर यहां चाए हैं। उद्योग-पतियों के लिए, मफतलाल ग्रुप जैसे करोड-पतियों के लिए दो तीन घाने गक के हिसाब से किसानों से भूमि लेना घच्छी बात नही है। इसलिए इस प्रकार के भाम धर्जन बिस को मान्यता देने में हम ग्रसमर्थ है।

जिन किसानों की भूमि बली जाती है वे और उन के लड़के तो कभी सर्विस नहीं करते हैं, उन को कोई जानकारी नहीं होती है। पहली मर्तवा उनको नौकरी में में लिया जाता है लेकिन उन के छोड जाने के बाद भीर लोगों को भर्ती कर लिया जाता है। फैक्टरी में बाठ दस हजार धादमी काम करने बाले होते हैं। यद्यपि बम्बई में प्राहिबिशन है लेकिन जिस को नांव की सराव कहते हैं, उस का धंधा किसानों के सकतों के हाच में था जाता है।

वेरी प्रार्थना है कि सरकार की बीर से जो धांभवचन विये गए हैं कृषि मंत्रालय को उन को पूरा करना चाहिए। जिन उद्योगपतियों के लिए चूबि ली जाती है उन के ऊपर इस प्रकार का कानूनी वाबित्व डाला जाना चाहिए कि जिन किसानों की मुनि ली जाती है जन को सिखाने पढाने, जन को प्रशिक्षण देने और उन को नौकरी पर लगाने की व्यवस्था करना जरूरी है न कि उनका गला बीटा जाए ।

काग्रेस दल का सदस्य होते मै इस बिल का विरोध करता है। धाप ने मझे जो समय दिया है उसके लिए मै यापका ग्रामारी हं।

भी महाराज सिंह भारती (मेरठ) : उपाध्यक्त महोदय धाज परे देश में जो प्लानिंग चल रहा है उस का मतलब नो यह होना चाहिए कि देश के हर एक भंग का ध्यान रखा जायें धौर एक नियोजित ढंग से देश का विकास किया आये। लेकिन जब सरकार को कोई नियोजन का हिमाब याद नही रहता है है और मल्क अपने ही ढंग से बढ़ना शरु होता है तब इस प्रकार की परेशानी होती है कि दिल्ली शहर इतना बढ ग-ा है इस को धौर कहां तक तक बढाया जाये और लोगों की इक्र्रतें कैसे पूरी की जाये । जीधरी बह्य प्रकाश के यहां जमीन कम विखाई देती हैं तो फिर चले जाते हैं मेरठ की तरफ। हम तो उन बदिकस्मत इन्सानों में से हैं जिन के कागज पर मालिक निष्यनक बाले हैं ग्रीर मौके पर मालिक दिल्ली बाले हैं। लखनक बासे तो इसलिए हमारी उपेका करते हैं कि हमारा सारा दूख, सब्की धीर सब बीखे बिल्ली में जाती हैं धीर बिल्ली वासे इसलिए हवारी उपेक्षा करते हैं कि कावस पर विक्ली ने हजारा कोई बाइता नहीं है।

हम हो उत्तर प्रदेश शते हैं। वकरत पहार बचाने की, विक्ली से हुक्त नया कि विक्ली के बास्टर प्यान में गांवियाबाद का मास्टर प्यान फिट कर दिया जाय। वह फिट कर दिया गया। 52 गांवों को हुक्म दिया गया कि 6 पैसे गांवा माना माना मिलेगा, सरकार तुम्हारी बमीन ले रही है। मंती जी बैठे हुए हैं, राम सुष्पण सिंह जी भुक्तकोगी हैं, इन को बीच में डाला गया था। दो सौ डाई सौ किसान यहां प्राकर पालामेन्ट को चेरे पढ़े वे। बेढ़ दो महीने पढ़े रहे। खब वह एक स्पये और दो रुपये गंज तक खाये वे और बाज वही जमीन 50 स्पये गंज मिल रही है।

19 hre.

कहां कहर बसाये जायं, कैसे बसाये जायं, कहां बढ़ाये जायं, हमारे प्लानिंग के अन्वर कोई इस का हिसाब नहीं है। भूमि अर्जन करना है, भूमि लेना है, लेकिन यह कोई नहीं जानता कि शहरों के जारों तरफ की ही भूमि इस देख में सब से ज्यादा कीमती भूमि है जो सब्बी पैदा कर सकती है, कई फसन पैदा कर सकती है। उस से अच्छी चूमि कहीं नहीं होती। जब किसान 15-20 सास मरने पचने के बाद उस को बढ़िया बनाता है उस में धपने कुएं बैठाता है, उस की ठीक करता है, सब्जी पैदा करने जगता है. भीर जब गाजियाबाद की पैदा की हुई गोभी न सिर्फ दिल्ली वाले बल्कि फलकला बाले भी बाने लगते हैं, तब उन से यह कहा जाता है कि हम को बंगला बनाने के लिए जमीन चाहिए भौर वही बढिया जमीन इस तरह धीरे धीरे जली जायेगी जहर के बढने में। हमारी सरकार के नियोजन ने इस बात का ध्यान नहीं रखा कि शहरों का बढाना रोक दिया जाय । पडी हुई जमीन पर शहर बसाबे जायं। मौजूदा शहर न फैले। एक लाख को दस लाख मे न बदला जाय । नये सिरे से एक लाख बनाया जाय या कही इस हजार बीस हजार में बदल दिया जाया । पर इस तरह की बीज कोई नियोजन में है नहीं । नतीजा यह होता है कि नेरठ के लोग इस धाप के बढते हए शहर के शिकार हो जाते हैं।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He may continue tomorrow.

19.02 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Thursday, April 6, 1967/Chaitra 16, 1889 (Saka).